Convergence of Solutions of Bistable Nonlinear Diffusion Equations to Travelling Front Solutions

Dirk van Kekem

May 23, 2012

Outline

Progression

Problem

Asymptotic behavior as $t \to \infty$ of solutions $u(x,t)$ of the *bistable* nonlinear diffusion equation

$$\begin{aligned} u_t - u_{xx} - f(u) &= 0, \qquad & x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \\ u(x,0) &= \varphi(x) \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where

$$f(0) = f(1) = 0, \qquad f'(0) < 0, f'(1) < 0. \tag{2}$$

Moreover, $f \in C^1$ and has only one zero for $u = \alpha \in (0,1)$.



Simple Examples

Example

1. Fisher's equation: $f(u) = u(1-u)$
   to describe the spreading of biological populations. (not $f'(0) < 0$)
2. Newell-Whitehead-Segel equation: $f(u) = u(1-u^2)$
3. Zeldovich equation: $f(u) = u(1-u)(u-\alpha)$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$



Simple Examples

Example

1. Fisher's equation: $f(u) = u(1-u)$
2. Newell-Whitehead-Segel equation: $f(u) = u(1-u^2)$ to describe Rayleigh-Benard convection. (not $f'(0) < 0$)
3. Zeldovich equation: $f(u) = u(1-u)(u-\alpha)$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$



Simple Examples

Example

1. Fisher's equation: $f(u) = u(1-u)$
2. Newell-Whitehead-Segel equation: $f(u) = u(1-u^2)$
3. Zeldovich equation: $f(u) = u(1-u)(u-\alpha)$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$ that arises in combustion theory.



Result (Uniqueness of Solution)

If φ piecewise continuous and $0 \leq \varphi(x) \leq 1$, then there exists one and only one bounded classical solution $u(x,t)$ of

$$\begin{aligned} u_t - u_{xx} - f(u) &= 0, \qquad & x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \\ u(x,0) &= \varphi(x), \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

with $0 \leq u(x,t) \leq 1$ for all x, t.

Result (Uniqueness of Solution)

If φ piecewise continuous and $0 \leq \varphi(x) \leq 1$, then there exists one and only one bounded classical solution $u(x,t)$ of

$$\begin{aligned} u_t - u_{xx} - f(u) &= 0, \qquad & x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \\ u(x,0) &= \varphi(x), \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

with $0 \leq u(x,t) \leq 1$ for all x, t.

Fix these conditions on φ, f, so that we are concerned only with this unique bounded solution.

Progression

Travelling Fronts

Definition (Travelling Front)

A *travelling front* is a solution U of

$$\begin{aligned} u_t - u_{xx} - f(u) &= 0, \qquad & x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \\ u(x,0) &= \varphi(x) \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

of the form

$$u(x,t) = U(x - ct) = U(\xi), \tag{5}$$

with $U(-\infty) = 0, U(\infty) = 1$.
c is *speed* with opposite sign as $\int_0^1 f(u)du$.

Travelling Fronts

Definition (Travelling Front)

A *travelling front* is a solution U of

$$\begin{aligned} u_t - u_{xx} - f(u) &= 0, \qquad & x \in \mathbb{R}, t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \\ u(x,0) &= \varphi(x) \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

of the form

$$u(x,t) = U(x - ct) = U(\xi), \tag{5}$$

with $U(-\infty) = 0, U(\infty) = 1$.
c is *speed* with opposite sign as $\int_0^1 f(u)du$.

Limits of U when $x \to \infty$ should exist and be unequal.
Connects the homogeneous states.
These solutions move with constant speed without changing their shape.

Let U be a travelling front. Then $P := \frac{dU}{d\xi}$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} P' + \frac{f}{P} &= -c \\ P(0) = P(1) &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Let U be a travelling front. Then $P := \frac{dU}{d\xi}$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} P' + \frac{f}{P} &= -c \\ P(0) = P(1) &= 0, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Lemma

Let α be small, and let $P_1(U), P_2(U)$ be solutions of (6) with corresponding speed c_1, c_2. Assume $P_1(U), P_2(U) > 0$ for $U \in (0, U_0]$ we have

$$P_1(U) \leq P_2(U) \qquad \text{if } c_1 \leq c_2. \tag{7}$$

Moreover, with our conditions on f, there exists at most one solution which is positive in $(0, 1)$.

Uniqueness

Theorem

Suppose for $\alpha \in (0,1)$ that one of the following holds:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(a) \quad f \leq 0 \text{ in } (0,\alpha),\ f > 0 \text{ in } (\alpha,1),\ \int_0^1 f(u)du > 0;\\
&(b) \quad f < 0 \text{ in } (0,\alpha),\ f \geq 0 \text{ in } (\alpha,1),\ \int_0^1 f(u)du < 0;\\
&(c) \quad f < 0 \text{ in } (0,\alpha),\ f > 0 \text{ in } (\alpha,1).
\end{aligned}
$$

Then there is a unique solution of (6) which is positive in $(0,1)$.

Uniqueness

Theorem

Suppose for $\alpha \in (0,1)$ that one of the following holds:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(a) \quad f \leq 0 \text{ in } (0,\alpha),\ f > 0 \text{ in } (\alpha,1),\ \textstyle\int_0^1 f(u)du > 0;\\
&(b) \quad f < 0 \text{ in } (0,\alpha),\ f \geq 0 \text{ in } (\alpha,1),\ \textstyle\int_0^1 f(u)du < 0;\\
&(c) \quad f < 0 \text{ in } (0,\alpha),\ f > 0 \text{ in } (\alpha,1).
\end{aligned}
$$

Then there is a unique solution of (6) which is positive in $(0,1)$.

Note: we can reconstruct U from a solution P by integrating: $U'(\xi) = P(U), U(0) = \frac{1}{2}$.

Uniqueness

Theorem

Suppose for $\alpha \in (0,1)$ that one of the following holds:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(a) \quad f \leq 0 \text{ in } (0,\alpha),\ f > 0 \text{ in } (\alpha,1),\ \textstyle\int_0^1 f(u)du > 0;\\
&(b) \quad f < 0 \text{ in } (0,\alpha),\ f \geq 0 \text{ in } (\alpha,1),\ \textstyle\int_0^1 f(u)du < 0;\\
&(c) \quad f < 0 \text{ in } (0,\alpha),\ f > 0 \text{ in } (\alpha,1).
\end{aligned}
$$

Then there is a unique solution of (6) which is positive in $(0,1)$.

Note: we can reconstruct U from a solution P by integrating:
$U'(\xi) = P(U), U(0) = \frac{1}{2}$.
Can have different types of convergence. Will concentrate only on two possibilities:

1. Convergence to travelling wave front with only one zero-point α
2. Starting with a function which has sufficiently large part above α. This yields two diverging travelling fronts.

Progression

Uniform Convergence

Theorem

Let, as before, $f(u) < 0$ for $0 < u < \alpha$; $f(u) > 0$ for $\alpha < u < 1$.
Let U be a travelling front solution with speed c and suppose

$$\limsup_{x \to -\infty} \varphi(x) < \alpha, \qquad \liminf_{x \to \infty} \varphi(x) > \alpha, \tag{8}$$

then solution $u(x,t)$ of (1) satisfies

$$|u(x,t) - U(x - ct - \xi_0)| < Ke^{-\omega t}, \tag{9}$$

for some constants $K, \omega > 0$ and ξ_0.

Proof of Uniform Convergence

Lemma

There exists constants ξ_1, ξ_2 and $q_0, \mu \geq 0$ such that

$$U(\xi - \xi_1) - q_0 e^{-\mu t} \leq v(\xi, t) \leq U(\xi - \xi_2) + q_0 e^{-\mu t}. \tag{10}$$

Proof.

Define $v(\xi, t) = u(x, t), \xi = x - ct$; satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned} v_t - v_{\xi\xi} - cv_\xi - f(v) = 0, \qquad & \xi \in \mathbb{R}, t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \\ v(\xi, 0) = \varphi(\xi). & \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Proof.

Define $v(\xi, t) = u(x, t), \xi = x - ct$; satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned} v_t - v_{\xi\xi} - cv_\xi - f(v) = 0, \qquad & \xi \in \mathbb{R}, t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \\ v(\xi, 0) = \varphi(\xi). & \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Construct subsolution $\underline{v}(\xi, t) := \max(0, U(\xi - z(t)) - q(t))$, for suitably chosen $q(t) \geq 0$ and $z(t)$.

Proof.

Define $v(\xi, t) = u(x, t), \xi = x - ct$; satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned} v_t - v_{\xi\xi} - cv_\xi - f(v) = 0, \qquad & \xi \in \mathbb{R}, t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \\ v(\xi, 0) = \varphi(\xi). & \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Construct subsolution $\underline{v}(\xi, t) := \max(0, U(\xi - z(t)) - q(t))$, for suitably chosen $q(t) \geq 0$ and $z(t)$.
If $\underline{v} > 0$ then for $q(t) = q_0 e^{-\mu t}$ and with a clever choice of $z(t), \xi_1, \xi_2$ this results in

$$\underline{v}_t - \underline{v}_{\xi\xi} - c\underline{v}_\xi - f(\underline{v}) \leq 0.$$

Proof.

Define $v(\xi, t) = u(x, t), \xi = x - ct$; satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned} v_t - v_{\xi\xi} - cv_\xi - f(v) = 0, \qquad & \xi \in \mathbb{R}, t \in \mathbb{R}_+, \\ v(\xi, 0) = \varphi(\xi). & \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Construct subsolution $\underline{v}(\xi, t) := \max(0, U(\xi - z(t)) - q(t))$, for suitably chosen $q(t) \geq 0$ and $z(t)$.
If $\underline{v} > 0$ then for $q(t) = q_0 e^{-\mu t}$ and with a clever choice of $z(t), \xi_1, \xi_2$ this results in

$$\underline{v}_t - \underline{v}_{\xi\xi} - c\underline{v}_\xi - f(\underline{v}) \leq 0.$$

Hence,

$$U(\xi - \xi_1) - q_0 e^{-\mu t} = U(\xi - \xi_1) - q(t) \leq \underline{v}(\xi, t) \leq v(\xi, t). \tag{12}$$

Can take $q_0 = O(\varepsilon)$ such that $|v(\xi, t) - U(\xi - \xi_0)| < \omega(\varepsilon)$, for a constant ξ_0 and a function ω.

Can take $q_0 = O(\varepsilon)$ such that $|v(\xi, t) - U(\xi - \xi_0)| < \omega(\varepsilon)$, for a constant ξ_0 and a function ω.
Moreover, we can estimate for $\pm z < 0$:

$$|1 \pm v(\xi, t)|, \quad |v_\xi(\xi, t)|, \quad |v_{\xi\xi}(\xi, t)|, \quad |v_t(\xi, t)| < C \left(e^{\left(-\frac{c}{2} \pm \sigma\right) z} + e^{-\mu t} \right), \tag{13}$$

for positive constants $\sigma > \frac{|c|}{2}, C, \mu$.

Lemma

There exists a value ξ_0 such that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} |v(\xi,t) - U(\xi-\xi_0)| = 0. \tag{14}$$

Lemma

There exists a value ξ_0 such that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} |v(\xi,t) - U(\xi-\xi_0)| = 0. \tag{14}$$

Proof.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ satisfy $|c|\varepsilon < 2\mu$. Define truncated function w by

$$w(\xi,t) = \begin{cases} 0 \text{ for } z \le -\varepsilon t - 1, \\ v(\xi,t) \text{ for } |z| \le \varepsilon t, \\ 1 \text{ for } z \ge \varepsilon t + 1, \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

with a smooth connection between the different parts.

Lemma

There exists a value ξ_0 such that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} |v(\xi,t) - U(\xi-\xi_0)| = 0. \tag{14}$$

Proof.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ satisfy $|c|\varepsilon < 2\mu$. Define truncated function w by

$$w(\xi,t) = \begin{cases} 0 \text{ for } z \le -\varepsilon t - 1, \\ v(\xi,t) \text{ for } |z| \le \varepsilon t, \\ 1 \text{ for } z \ge \varepsilon t + 1, \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

with a smooth connection between the different parts.
Then w can be used to find a limit function $\widetilde{v}(\xi) = \lim_n w(\cdot, t_n')$, which satisfies $\widetilde{v}_{\xi\xi} + c\widetilde{v}_\xi + f(\widetilde{v}) = 0$.

Lemma

There exists a value ξ_0 such that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} |v(\xi,t) - U(\xi-\xi_0)| = 0. \tag{14}$$

Proof.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ satisfy $|c|\varepsilon < 2\mu$. Define truncated function w by

$$w(\xi,t) = \begin{cases} 0 \text{ for } z \le -\varepsilon t - 1, \\ v(\xi,t) \text{ for } |z| \le \varepsilon t, \\ 1 \text{ for } z \ge \varepsilon t + 1, \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

with a smooth connection between the different parts.
Then w can be used to find a limit function $\widetilde{v}(\xi) = \lim_n w(\cdot, t_n')$, which satisfies $\widetilde{v}_{\xi\xi} + c\widetilde{v}_\xi + f(\widetilde{v}) = 0$.
Moreover, $\widetilde{v}(-\infty) = 0, \widetilde{v}(\infty) = 1$, so by uniqueness of travelling fronts, $\widetilde{v}(\xi) = U(\xi - \xi_0)$ for some ξ_0. □

Proof of Exponential Rate

Define

$$h(\xi, t) := w(\xi, t) - U(\xi - \xi_0 - \alpha(t)),$$

where $\alpha(t)$ is chosen so that h is orthogonal to $e^{c\xi}$ for large t. Existence of α follows from the *Implicit Function Theorem*.

Proof of Exponential Rate

Define

$$h(\xi, t) := w(\xi, t) - U(\xi - \xi_0 - \alpha(t)),$$

where $\alpha(t)$ is chosen so that h is orthogonal to $e^{c\xi}$ for large t. Existence of α follows from the *Implicit Function Theorem*.
The estimates

$$|h(\xi, t)|, \quad |\alpha(t)| < Ce^{-\nu t}, \qquad \nu > 0$$

imply that w converges exponentially to $U(\xi - \xi_0)$.

Proof of Exponential Rate

Define

$$h(\xi, t) := w(\xi, t) - U(\xi - \xi_0 - \alpha(t)),$$

where $\alpha(t)$ is chosen so that h is orthogonal to $e^{c\xi}$ for large t. Existence of α follows from the *Implicit Function Theorem*.
The estimates

$$|h(\xi, t)|, \quad |\alpha(t)| < Ce^{-\nu t}, \qquad \nu > 0$$

imply that w converges exponentially to $U(\xi - \xi_0)$.
From (13) and the definition of w it follows that

$$|v(\xi, t) - w(\xi, t)| < Ce^{-\widetilde{\nu} t}.$$

Hence, $v(\xi, t)$ converges exponentially to $U(\xi - \xi_0)$.

□

Progression

Simple Example

If $0 \leq \varphi(x) < \alpha$ for all x, then

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} u(x,t) = 0. \tag{16}$$

Simple Example

If $0 \leq \varphi(x) < \alpha$ for all x, then

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} u(x,t) = 0. \tag{16}$$

Let $\varphi(x) \leq \alpha - \delta < \alpha$, then u is bounded by the supersolution $\overline{u}(t)$ defined by

$$\begin{cases} \overline{u}(t) = f'(\overline{u}), \\ \overline{u}(0) = \alpha - \delta, \end{cases} \tag{17}$$

and the subsolution $\underline{u}(t)$ of the same equation, with $\underline{u}(0) = \inf \varphi(x)$. Obviously, $\underline{u}, \overline{u} \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$.

Simple Example

If $0 \leq \varphi(x) < \alpha$ for all x, then

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} u(x,t) = 0. \tag{16}$$

Let $\varphi(x) \leq \alpha - \delta < \alpha$, then u is bounded by the supersolution $\overline{u}(t)$ defined by

$$\begin{cases} \overline{u}(t) = f'(\overline{u}), \\ \overline{u}(0) = \alpha - \delta, \end{cases} \tag{17}$$

and the subsolution $\underline{u}(t)$ of the same equation, with $\underline{u}(0) = \inf \varphi(x)$.
Obviously, $\underline{u}, \overline{u} \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$.
Similarly, if $\alpha < \varphi(x) \leq 1$ for all x, then

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} u(x,t) = 1. \tag{18}$$

Statement

Theorem

Let f as before, with $\int_0^1 f(u)du > 0$. Let φ satisfy

$$\limsup_{|x|\to\infty} \varphi(x) < \alpha \qquad \varphi(x) > \alpha + \eta \text{ for } |x| < L, \tag{19}$$

where $\eta, L > 0$.
If $L(\eta, f)$ large enough, then solution $u(x,t)$ of (1) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x,t) - U(x - ct - \xi_0)| &< Ke^{-\omega t}, & x < 0, \\ |u(x,t) - U(-x - ct - \xi_1)| &< Ke^{-\omega t}, & x > 0, \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

for some constants $K, \omega > 0$ and ξ_0, ξ_1.

Situation



Situation



Situation



Proof of the Theorem

Lemma

There exist constants $q_0, \mu > 0$ and ξ_1, ξ_2, such that

$$\begin{aligned} U(x - ct - \xi_1) + U(-x - ct - \xi_1) - 1 - q_0 e^{-\mu t} &\leq u(x,t) \\ &\leq U(x - ct - \xi_2) + U(-x - ct - \xi_2) - 1 + q_0 e^{-\mu t}. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Proof of the Theorem

Lemma

There exist constants $q_0, \mu > 0$ and ξ_1, ξ_2, such that

$$\begin{aligned} U(x - ct - \xi_1) + U(-x - ct - \xi_1) - 1 - q_0 e^{-\mu t} \leq u(x,t) \\ \leq U(x - ct - \xi_2) + U(-x - ct - \xi_2) - 1 + q_0 e^{-\mu t}. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Lemma

There exist functions $\omega(\varepsilon)$, $T(\varepsilon)$, defined for small $\varepsilon > 0$ and with $\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \omega(\varepsilon) = 0$, such that if

$$|u(x, t_0) - U(x - ct_0 - x_0)| < \varepsilon, \tag{22}$$

for some $t_0 > T(\varepsilon)$, some x_0 and all $x < 0$, then

$$|u(x, t) - U(x - ct - x_0)| < \omega(\varepsilon), \tag{23}$$

for all $t > t_0, x < 0$.

Proof of the Theorem (continued)

Define the *left truncated function* by

$$u_l(x,t) = \begin{cases} u(x,t) & x < 0, \\ 1 - \zeta(x)(1 - u(x,t)) & x \geq 0, \end{cases} \tag{24}$$

with $\zeta(x) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \zeta(x) \equiv 1$ for $x \leq 0$ and $\zeta(x) \equiv 0$ for $x \geq 1$.

Proof of the Theorem (continued)

Define the *left truncated function* by

$$u_l(x,t) = \begin{cases} u(x,t) & x < 0, \\ 1 - \zeta(x)(1 - u(x,t)) & x \geq 0, \end{cases} \tag{24}$$

with $\zeta(x) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \zeta(x) \equiv 1$ for $x \leq 0$ and $\zeta(x) \equiv 0$ for $x \geq 1$.
Moreover, $v_l(\xi,t) = u_l(x,t) = u_l(\xi + ct, t)$.

Proof of the Theorem (continued)

Define the *left truncated function* by

$$u_l(x,t) = \begin{cases} u(x,t) & x < 0, \\ 1 - \zeta(x)(1 - u(x,t)) & x \geq 0, \end{cases} \tag{24}$$

with $\zeta(x) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \zeta(x) \equiv 1$ for $x \leq 0$ and $\zeta(x) \equiv 0$ for $x \geq 1$.
Moreover, $v_l(\xi,t) = u_l(x,t) = u_l(\xi + ct, t)$.
The rest of the proof follows by slightly modifying the proofs of the lemma's in the uniform convergence case.

□

Progression

Conclusion

We have the following:
Consider f with only three zeroes, at $x = 0, \alpha, 1$,

Conclusion

We have the following:

Consider f with only three zeroes, at $x = 0, \alpha, 1$,

1. If $\varphi(x) < \alpha$ or $\varphi(x) > \alpha$ for all x, then $u(x, t)$ converges to 0 or 1, resp.

Conclusion

We have the following:
Consider f with only three zeroes, at $x = 0, \alpha, 1$,

1. If $\varphi(x) < \alpha$ or $\varphi(x) > \alpha$ for all x, then $u(x,t)$ converges to 0 or 1, resp.
2. If $\varphi(x)$ is below α for $x \to -\infty$ and above α for $x \to \infty$, then the solution $u(x,t)$ converges uniformly to a travelling front solution $U(x - ct)$.

Conclusion

We have the following:
Consider f with only three zeroes, at $x = 0, \alpha, 1$,

1. If $\varphi(x) < \alpha$ or $\varphi(x) > \alpha$ for all x, then $u(x,t)$ converges to 0 or 1, resp.
2. If $\varphi(x)$ is below α for $x \to -\infty$ and above α for $x \to \infty$, then the solution $u(x,t)$ converges uniformly to a travelling front solution $U(x - ct)$.
3. If $\varphi(x)$ is bigger than α on a bounded interval $|x| < L$, then $u(x,t)$ converges to a pair of fronts, moving in opposite directions.

Conclusion

We have the following:
Consider f with only three zeroes, at $x = 0, \alpha, 1$,

1. If $\varphi(x) < \alpha$ or $\varphi(x) > \alpha$ for all x, then $u(x,t)$ converges to 0 or 1, resp.
2. If $\varphi(x)$ is below α for $x \to -\infty$ and above α for $x \to \infty$, then the solution $u(x,t)$ converges uniformly to a travelling front solution $U(x - ct)$.
3. If $\varphi(x)$ is bigger than α on a bounded interval $|x| < L$, then $u(x,t)$ converges to a pair of fronts, moving in opposite directions.

From the last statement, we see that $u(x,t)$ takes in the end on a large, but finite, interval the value 0 or 1.

Further Reading

Other possibilities under slightly different conditions:

Fife, Paul C. and McLeod, J.B.
The Approach of Solutions of Nonlinear Diffusion Equations to Travelling Front Solutions Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 65, 1977.

Fife, Paul C.
Long Time Behavior of Solutions of Bistable Nonlinear Diffusion Equations 1978.

Fife, Paul C. and McLeod, J.B.
A Phase Plane Discussion of Convergence to Travelling Front Solutions for Nonlinear Diffusion Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 75, 1981.

Further Reading

Other possibilities under slightly different conditions:


Fife, Paul C. and McLeod, J.B.
The Approach of Solutions of Nonlinear Diffusion Equations to Travelling Front Solutions Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 65, 1977.


Fife, Paul C.
Long Time Behavior of Solutions of Bistable Nonlinear Diffusion Equations 1978.


Fife, Paul C. and McLeod, J.B.
A Phase Plane Discussion of Convergence to Travelling Front Solutions for Nonlinear Diffusion Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 75, 1981.

Thank you for your attention!