



## Measure and Integration 2012-13-Selected Solutions Chapter 5

1. (**Exercise 5.8, p.39**) Consider the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  over  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $\lambda^n$  be the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . For  $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $t > 0$ , define  $t \cdot B = \{tb = (tb_1, tb_2, \dots, tb_n) : b = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in B\}$ .

- (i) Show that  $t \cdot B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for all  $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , and  $t > 0$ .  
(ii) Show that  $\lambda^n(t \cdot B) = t^n \lambda^n(B)$ .

**Proof (i)** Let  $\mathcal{B}_t = \{B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) : t \cdot B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)\}$ . It is easy to check that  $\mathcal{B}_t$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra containing  $\mathcal{I}$ , the collection of all right-open rectangles. Since  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \sigma(\mathcal{I})$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing  $\mathcal{I}$ , then  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{B}_t$  for all  $t > 0$ . But by definition,  $\mathcal{B}_t \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , thus  $\mathcal{B}_t = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for all  $t > 0$ , i.e.  $t \cdot B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Proof (ii)** Define  $\nu$  on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by  $\nu(B) = \lambda^n(t \cdot B)$ . Notice that  $\nu(\emptyset) = 0$  and if  $B_1, B_2, \dots$ , is a disjoint sequence in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $t \cdot B_1, t \cdot B_2, \dots$ , is also a disjoint sequence in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . By  $\sigma$ additivity of  $\lambda^n$ , we get

$$\nu(\cup_n B_n) = \lambda^n(t \cdot \cup_n B_n) = \lambda^n(\cup_n t \cdot B_n) = \sum_n \lambda^n(t \cdot B_n) = \sum_n \nu(B_n).$$

The above shows that  $\nu$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Now, let  $I = \prod_{i=1}^n [a_i, b_i)$  be a right-open rectangle. Then,

$$\nu(I) = \lambda^n(\prod_{i=1}^n [ta_i, tb_i)) = \prod_{i=1}^n (tb_i - ta_i) = t^n \prod_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i) = t^n \lambda^n(I).$$

It is easy to see that the set function  $\mu$  defined on  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by  $\mu(B) = t^n \lambda^n(B)$  is a measure that agrees with  $\nu$  on  $\mathcal{I}$ . Furthermore,  $\mathcal{I}$  is stable under finite intersections and  $\prod_{i=1}^n [-k, k)^n$  is an exhausting sequence with finite  $\nu$  (and hence  $\mu$ ) measure. Thus, by Theorem 5.7 we see that  $\nu = \mu$ , i.e.,  $\lambda^n(t \cdot B) = t^n \lambda^n(B)$ .

2. (**Exercise 5.9, p.39**) Let  $(X, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$  be a finite measure space where  $\mathcal{A} = \sigma(\mathcal{G})$  with  $\mathcal{G}$  stable under finite intersections. Assume  $\phi : X \rightarrow X$  is a map with the property that  $\phi^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{A}$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . Prove

$$\mu(G) = \mu(\phi^{-1}(G)) \quad \forall G \in \mathcal{G} \implies \mu(A) = \mu(\phi^{-1}(A)) \quad \forall A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

**Proof** Define  $\nu$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  by  $\nu(A) = \mu(\phi^{-1}(A))$ . Then,  $\nu(\emptyset) = 0$  and if  $A_1, A_2, \dots$  is a disjoint sequence in  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $\phi^{-1}(A_1), \phi^{-1}(A_2), \dots$  is also a disjoint sequence in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Hence,

$$\nu(\cup_n A_n) = \mu(\phi^{-1}(\cup_n A_n)) = \mu(\cup_n (\phi^{-1}(A_n))) = \sum_n \mu(\phi^{-1}(A_n)) = \sum_n \nu(A_n).$$

Hence,  $\nu$  is a measure. Since  $\phi^{-1}(X) = X$ , it follows that  $\nu(X) = \mu(X) < \infty$ , and by assumption  $\mu(G) = \nu(G)$  for all  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ . Now, let  $\mathcal{G}' = \mathcal{G} \cup \{X\}$ . Then,  $\mathcal{G}'$  is stable under finite intersections,  $\mu(G) = \nu(G)$  for all  $G \in \mathcal{G}'$ , and  $X, X, \dots$  is an exhausting sequence in  $\mathcal{G}'$  of finite  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  measure. Hence, by Theorem 5.7, we have  $\mu = \nu$ , i.e.,  $\mu(A) = \mu(\phi^{-1}(A))$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ .

3. (**Exercise 5.10, p.39**) Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$  be a probability space, and suppose  $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{A}$  are stable under finite intersections. Let  $\mathcal{B} = \sigma(\mathcal{G})$ , and  $\mathcal{C} = \sigma(\mathcal{H})$ . Prove

$$P(B \cap C) = P(B)P(C) \quad \forall B \in \mathcal{B}, C \in \mathcal{C} \Leftrightarrow P(B \cap C) = P(B)P(C) \quad \forall B \in \mathcal{G}, C \in \mathcal{H}$$

**Proof** The necessity of the condition is trivial since  $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{C}$ . Conversely, suppose  $P(B \cap C) = P(B)P(C) \quad \forall B \in \mathcal{G}, C \in \mathcal{H}$ . Fix any  $C \in \mathcal{H}$ , and define  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  by  $\mu(B) = P(B \cap C)$  and  $\nu(B) = P(B)P(C)$ . It is easy to check that  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are finite measures on  $\mathcal{B}$ . Since  $C \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{G}$  are independent, then for any  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ ,  $\mu(G) = \nu(G)$ . Furthermore, since  $P$  is a probability measure, then  $\mu(X) = \nu(X)$ . Thus,  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are two measures on  $\mathcal{B}$  agreeing on  $\mathcal{G} \cup \{X\}$  and admitting an exhausting sequence, namely  $X, X, \dots$ , of finite  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  measure. Hence by Theorem 5.7, we have  $\mu = \nu$  on  $\mathcal{B}$ , i.e.  $P(B \cap C) = P(B)P(C)$  for all  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . Since  $C \in \mathcal{H}$  is arbitrary, we have  $P(B \cap C) = P(B)P(C)$  for all  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  and all  $C \in \mathcal{H}$ .

Now fix  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  and define  $\rho, \tau$  on  $\mathcal{C}$  by  $\rho(C) = P(B \cap C)$  and  $\tau(C) = P(B)P(C)$ , then it is easy to see that  $\rho$  and  $\tau$  are measures on  $\mathcal{C}$ , and for any  $C \in \mathcal{H}$  one has  $\rho(C) = \tau(C)$ . Also  $\rho(X) = \tau(X)$  so that  $\rho = \tau$  on  $\mathcal{C}$ . Thus  $P(B \cap C) = P(B)P(C)$  for all  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ . Since  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  is arbitrary, then  $P(B \cap C) = P(B)P(C)$  for all  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ .