Boedapestlaan 6

3584 CD Utrecht

Measure and Integration 2012-13-Selected Solutions Chapter 6

1. (Exercise 6.5(iv), p.46) Let P be a probability measure on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$. Prove that P can be written as $P = \mu + \nu$, where μ is a non-atomic measure $(\mu(\{x\})) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$), and ν is purely atomic, i.e., there exist positive real numbers ϵ_j and points $x_j \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $A \in \mathcal{B}$, one has $\nu(A) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \epsilon_j \delta_{x_j}(A)$, where δ_{x_j} is Dirac measure concentrated at the point x_j .

Proof If P has no atoms, then $P = \mu$ and $\nu = 0$. Assume P has atoms, and let $A = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : P(\{x\}) > 0\}$ be the set of all atoms of P. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $A_n = \{x \in A : P(\{x\}) \geq 1/n\}$. Then, $A = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$. Furthermore, since P is a probability measure, then A_n can have at most n elements (otherwise A would have measure greater than 1), hence A is countable. Write $A = \{x_1, x_2, \ldots, \}$. Define ν on $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$\nu(B) = \sum_{j} P(\{x_j\}) \delta_{x_j}(B) = \sum_{x_j \in B} P(\{x_j\}) = P(B \cap A).$$

Then, ν is a measure (see Example 4.7(iv), p.27), and $\nu(B) \leq P(B)$. Now define μ on $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ by $\mu(A) = P(A) - \nu(A)$. Then, it is easy to see that μ is a measure, and μ is non-atomic since if $x = x_j$, then $P(\{x_j\} = \nu(\{x_j\}))$, and if $x \neq x_j$ for all j, then $\nu(\{x\}) = 0 = P(\{x\})$.

2. (Exercise 6.7, p.46) Let $\lambda = \lambda^1$ be Lebesgue measure on $([0,1], \mathcal{B}[0,1])$. Show that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a dense open set $U \subset [0,1]$ with $\lambda(U) \leq \epsilon$.

Proof Let $\epsilon > 0$ and let $\{q_j\}$ be an enumeration of $\mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1]$. Define the set

$$U = \bigcup_{j} (q_j - \epsilon 2^{-j-1}, q_j + \epsilon 2^{-j-1}) \cap [0, 1].$$

Then, U is open and dense in [0,1] (notice that U contains all the rationals in the unit interval and these are dense in [0,1]). Furthermore by σ -subadditivity and monotonicity, one has

$$\lambda(U) \le \sum_{j} \lambda((q_j - \epsilon 2^{-j-1}, q_j + \epsilon 2^{-j-1})) = \sum_{j} \epsilon/2^j = \epsilon.$$

3. (Exercise 6.8, p.46) Let $\lambda = \lambda^1$ be Lebesgue measure on $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$. Show that $N \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ is a null-set (i.e. $\lambda(N) = 0$) if and only if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is an open set U_{ϵ} such that $N \subset U_{\epsilon}$ and $\lambda(U_{\epsilon}) < \epsilon$.

Proof If for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is an open set U_{ϵ} such that $N \subset U_{\epsilon}$ and $\lambda(U_{\epsilon}) < \epsilon$, then $\lambda(N) \leq \epsilon$ for all $\epsilon > 0$, hence $\lambda(N) = 0$.

Suppose now that $N \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ is a null-set, and let $\epsilon > 0$. Since

$$\lambda^*(N) = \lambda(N) = \inf \left\{ \sum_n \lambda(I_n) : (I_n) \subset \mathcal{I}, \text{ and } N \subset \bigcup_n I_n \right\},$$

 \mathcal{I} is the collection of half-open intervals, then there exist a cover $(I_n) \subset \mathcal{I}$ such that $N \subset \bigcup_n I_n$ and $\sum_n \lambda(I_n) \leq \lambda(N) + \epsilon/2 = \epsilon/2$. It is easy to see that for each n, one can find an open interval I'_n containing I_n and such that $\lambda(I'_n) \leq \lambda(I_n) + \epsilon/2^n$. Let $U_{\epsilon} = \bigcup_n I'_n$, then U_{ϵ} is open, $N \subset U_{\epsilon}$, and

$$\lambda(U_{\epsilon}) = \lambda^*(U_{\epsilon}) \le \sum_n \lambda(I'_n) \le \sum_n \lambda(I_n) + \epsilon/2 \le \epsilon.$$

4. (Exercise 6.9, p.46) (Borel-Cantelli Lemma) Let (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) be a probability space. Prove that if $(A_j) \subset \mathcal{A}$ is a sequence of measurable sets with $\sum_n P(A_n) < \infty$, then $P(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{j=n}^{\infty} A_j) = 0$.

Proof Let $B_n = \bigcup_{j=n}^{\infty} A_j$, then clearly B_n is a decreasing sequence in \mathcal{A} . Since P is a probability measure, then $P(A) \leq 1 < \infty$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence by Theorem 4.4(iii)', we have

$$P(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \cup_{j=n}^{\infty} A_j) = P(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} P(B_n).$$

Since P is σ -subadditive, we have $P(B_n) \leq \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} P(A_j)$. But $\sum_{j=n}^{\infty} P(A_j)$ is the tail of a convergent series, hence

$$P(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{j=n}^{\infty} A_j) = \lim_{n \to \infty} P(B_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} P(A_j) = 0.$$