

# Mastermath midterm examination

## Parallel Algorithms. Solution.

Teacher: Rob H. Bisseling, Utrecht University

October 25, 2017

*Each of the four questions is worth 10 points. The solutions also contain the subdivision of the points for the different parts of the questions.*

1. The four parameters of the BSP model are:
  - [1 pt]  $p$ , the number of processors of the parallel computer.
  - [1 pt]  $r$ , the computing rate in floating-point operations (flops) per second of a single processor.
  - [2 pt]  $g$ , the communication cost per data word in flop time units incurred for sending a word into the communication network, or receiving a word.
  - [2 pt]  $l$ , the global synchronisation cost in flop time units.

[4 pnt] The parameters  $p, g, l$  are relevant for the design of a BSP algorithm because their ratio influences the relative fraction of computation, communication, and synchronisation time. The parameter  $r$  is merely a normalisation constant that does not influence the algorithm design (but it influences how long you have to wait for your program to finish!).

2. [7 pt] The following algorithm for processor  $P(s)$  computes the value  $r$  of the runner-up, i.e., the second-largest numerical value. We assume that as a result we want the value  $r$  replicated over all processors. We use the cyclic distribution, but a block distribution also works.

**Input:**  $\mathbf{x}$  : vector of length  $n$ ,  $\text{distr}(\mathbf{x}) = \phi$ , with  $\phi(i) = i \bmod p$ , for  $0 \leq i < n$ .

**Output:**  $r$  = runner-up value of  $\mathbf{x}$ ,  $\text{repl}(r) = P(*)$ .

$m_s := \max \{x_i : 0 \leq i < n \wedge \phi(i) = s\};$  ▷ Superstep (0)  
 $r_s := \max \{x_i : 0 \leq i < n \wedge \phi(i) = s \wedge x_i < m_s\};$

**for**  $t := 0$  **to**  $p - 1$  **do** ▷ Superstep (1)  
    put  $m_s, r_s$  in  $P(t)$ ;

$m := \max \{m_t : 0 \leq t < p\};$  ▷ Superstep (2)  
 $t_m := \text{argmax} \{m_t : 0 \leq t < p\};$   
 $r := \max \{m_t : 0 \leq t < p \wedge m_t < m\} \cup \{r_{t_m}\};$

[3 pt] The cost analysis of the algorithm is as follows. In an implementation, superstep (0) is done by one loop, which keeps the local maximum and the local runner-up encountered so far. Each array value needs at most two comparisons, so the cost is  $2\lceil n/p \rceil + l$ . Superstep (1) is a  $2(p-1)$ -relation with cost  $2(p-1)g + l$ . Superstep (2) is carried out by all processors redundantly and it costs  $2p + l$ . The total cost of the algorithm is  $2\lceil n/p \rceil + 2p + 2(p-1)g + 3l$ .

Since we did not specify which processors need the answer, an alternative solution is possible, which is even faster: just put  $m_s$  in superstep (1), which saves  $(p-1)g$  in the cost, then compute  $m$  redundantly and compute  $r$  only on processor  $P(t_m)$ . This processor can then print  $r$ , if needed.

3. [5 pt] The parallel algorithm starts with a sequential mergesort on the local blocks  $x(0 : n/2 - 1)$  and  $x(n/2 : n - 1)$  of processors  $P(0)$  and  $P(1)$ , respectively. Then each processor sends all its values to the other. After that,  $P(0)$  performs a merge of its own values with those of  $P(1)$  starting at the lowest value, until it has reached  $n/2$  output values.  $P(1)$  does the same, but starting at the highest value.

[3 pt] The sequential mergesort costs  $(n/2) \log_2(n/2) + l$ . The communication superstep costs  $(n/2)g + l$ . The final superstep costs  $n/2 + l$ . The total cost is thus  $(n/2)(\log_2 n + g) + 3l$ . In terms of memory, we double the local size required, since we store the values of both processors.

We can reduce the communication cost by having  $P(0)$  send its  $k$  largest values to  $P(1)$ , and  $P(1)$  its  $k$  smallest values to  $P(0)$  and then perform the merge of the final superstep. If we choose a sufficiently large  $k$ , this

will provide all information needed to the two processors. Otherwise, we need to send more values and perform another superstep. A good value of  $k$  (for random input) would be a value slightly larger than  $n/4$ .

[2 pt] A possible extension of the algorithm to four processors starts by running the algorithm for two processors on the processor pairs  $P(0 : 1)$  and  $P(2 : 3)$ , in parallel. This costs  $(n/4)(\log_2 n - 1 + g) + 3l$ . Then a communication superstep is performed, where  $P(0)$  sends all its data to  $P(2)$ , and vice versa, and the same for  $P(1)$  and  $P(3)$ . This costs  $(n/4)g + l$ .  $P(0)$  and  $P(3)$  can then obtain their final  $n/4$  values, with cost  $n/4 + l$ . In the same superstep,  $P(1)$  and  $P(2)$  can determine their remaining  $n/4$  values (those not ending up in  $P(3)$  and  $P(0)$ , respectively), at no extra cost. Finally,  $P(1)$  and  $P(2)$  perform a two-processor merge, with cost  $(n/4)g + n/4 + 2l$ . The total cost is thus  $(n/4)(\log_2 n + 1 + 3g) + 7l$ . In terms of memory, we still only double the local size required.

4. [3 pt] First, we determine a suitable distribution  $\phi$  of the vector  $\mathbf{x}$  of length  $N = kn$ . Since the matrix  $B \otimes A$  consists of blocks of size  $n \times n$  which are either  $A$ ,  $-A$ , or  $0$ , it is convenient to have complete blocks of  $\mathbf{x}$  of length  $n$  assigned to a processor. There are  $k$  such blocks. Furthermore, blocks at block distance  $k/2$  are combined by the multiplication operation, so it is convenient if these are also on the same processor. A cyclic distribution of blocks achieves this, because  $k/2$  is a multiple of  $p$ . The resulting distribution is

$$\phi(i) = (i \operatorname{div} n) \bmod p, \text{ for } 0 \leq i < N.$$

This is called the *block-cyclic distribution* with block size  $n$ . Any block size  $b$  with  $n \leq b \leq N/(2p)$  will work.

[4 pt] The algorithm for processor  $P(s)$  is:

**Input:**  $\mathbf{x}$  : vector of length  $n$ ,  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}_0$ ,  $\operatorname{distr}(\mathbf{x}) = \phi$ .

**Output:**  $\mathbf{x} = (B \otimes A)\mathbf{x}_0$ .

```

for  $r := s$  to  $k/2 - 1$  step  $p$  do                                ▷ Superstep (0)
   $\mathbf{X} := Ax(rn : (r + 1)n - 1)$ ;
   $\mathbf{Y} := Ax(rn + N/2 : (r + 1)n - 1 + N/2)$ ;
   $x(rn : (r + 1)n - 1) := \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{Y}$ ;
   $x(rn + N/2 : (r + 1)n - 1 + N/2) := \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y}$ ;

```

Here,  $r$  is the block number and  $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}$  are auxiliary vectors of length  $n$ ; their use saves some computations.

[3 pt] The cost analysis is as follows. There is only one superstep, namely a computation superstep, and no communication, because of the choice of  $\phi$ . The computation consists of  $k/(2p)$  iterations of the main loop, where each iteration performs two matrix-vector multiplications of cost  $2n^2$  flops, one vector subtraction of cost  $n$ , and one vector addition of cost  $n$ . Thus, the total cost is  $(k/(2p)) \cdot (4n^2 + 2n) + l = kn(2n + 1)/p + l$ .