

## MANIFOLDS(WISB342) EXAM (NOVEMBER 8, 2022)

**Exercise 1** (1pt). You know already, from one of the homeworks, that  $\mathbb{P}^2$  can be embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^4$ . Show now that  $\mathbb{P}^2$  can be embedded in  $S^4$ . (Hint: do not look for complicated formulas).

**Exercise 2** (2pt). Let  $f : M \rightarrow N$  be a smooth map between two manifolds of dimensions  $m$  and  $n$ , respectively, let  $N_0 \subset N$  be a (smooth, embedded) submanifold and we are interested in the pre-image

$$M_0 = f^{-1}(N_0) := \{x \in M : f(x) \in N_0\}.$$

The RVT (regular value theorem) tells us that if  $N_0$  consists of a single point and we require  $f$  to be a submersion at all points in  $M_0$ , then  $M_0$  is a submanifold of  $M$  of dimension  $n - m$ , whose tangent spaces are given by the kernels of the differentials of  $f$ :

$$T_x M_0 = \{v \in T_x M : (df)_x(v) = 0\} \quad (\text{for } x \in M_0).$$

Here we want to generalise the RVT to more general submanifolds  $N_0$ . To that end, we replace the submersion condition by the condition that “ $f$  is transverse to  $N_0$ ” by which we mean: for each  $x \in M_0$  one has  $(df)_x(T_x M) + T_{f(x)}N_0 = T_{f(x)}N$  or, more explicitly: any element  $w \in T_{f(x)}N$  can be written as

$$w = (df)_x(v) + w_0, \quad \text{with } v \in T_x M, w_0 \in T_{f(x)}N_0.$$

- a) Further assuming that  $N_0 = g^{-1}(z)$  for some submersion  $g : N \rightarrow P$  and  $z \in P$  into yet another manifold  $P$ , show that, indeed,  $M_0$  is a submanifold of  $M$ .
- b) Describe the dimension of  $M_0$  in terms of the dimensions of  $M$ ,  $N$  and  $N_0$ .
- c) Describe the tangent spaces of  $M_0$  in terms of the ones of  $M$ ,  $N$ ,  $N_0$  and the differential of  $f$ .
- d) Finally, show that the conclusions above hold in general (without assuming  $g$ ).

**Exercise 3** (7pts). Consider the following curve in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ :

$$\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3, \quad \gamma(t) = (t^2, t^3, t).$$

- a) Show that the following is a submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  containing  $\gamma$ :

$$M = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : y = xz\}.$$

- b) Show that the following defines a vector field on  $M$

$$V := 2z \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + (x + 2z^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$

- c) Show that  $\gamma$  is an integral curve of  $V$ .
- d) Here are three more subspaces of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  that contain  $\gamma$ :

$$\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x = z^2\}, \quad \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x^3 = y^2\}, \quad \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : y = z^3\}.$$

Among them, only one is not a submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Which one, and why is it not?

- e) Find vector fields  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  which, at each point in  $M$ , give a basis of the tangent space of  $M$ .
- f) Compute  $[X, Y]$ .
- g) <sup>1pt</sup> Compute the flow of  $V$ , describing explicitly all the diffeomorphisms  $\phi_V^t$  induced by  $V$ .
- h) Find a non-zero 1-form  $\theta \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$  such that  $\theta|_M = 0$ .
- i) Find a 1-form on  $M$  which is not exact, i.e. cannot be written as  $df$  for some  $f \in C^\infty(M)$ .
- j) Show that  $\mu = (dx \wedge dz)|_M$  is a volume form.
- k) For  $\omega = e^y(x \cdot dy \wedge dz + y \cdot dz \wedge dx + z \cdot dx \wedge dy)|_M \in \Omega^2(M)$  find  $f \in C^\infty(M)$  such that  $\omega = f \cdot \mu$ .
- l) Compute  $i_V(\omega)$ .
- m) Also compute  $L_V(\omega)$ , writing the result in the form  $g \cdot \mu$  (with  $g$  a function explicitly computed).

**Exercise 4** (1pt). Let's wonder whether a manifold  $M$  can admit a volume form  $\mu$  of type  $\mu = \theta \wedge \theta$ , for some other differential form  $\theta$  on  $M$ . Show that if this happens then the dimension of  $M$  is divisible by 4. Then show that this can happen already on  $M = \mathbb{R}^4$  (provide an explicit example!).

Exercise 1 : From homework  $\Rightarrow$  an embedding  $\varphi: \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ . (I)

On the other hand, the stereographic proj.  $\Rightarrow$  a diffeo  $\psi: \mathbb{R}^4 \xrightarrow{\sim} S^3$  (north pole  $\Rightarrow \exists$  an embedding  $\pi \circ \varphi$  of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  in  $S^3 \setminus \{p_N\}$ , hence also in  $S^3$ .

Exercise 4 : Say  $\theta$  has degree  $k: \theta \in \Omega^k(M)$ .

Then  $\mu \in \Omega^{2k}(M)$  hence  $\dim(M) = 2k$ . }  $\Rightarrow \dim(M) \leq 4.$   
 $\theta \wedge \theta = (-)^k \theta \wedge \theta \Rightarrow \mu = (-)^k \mu \quad \text{but } \mu \neq 0 \quad \Rightarrow k = \text{even}$

On  $\mathbb{R}^4$  can take  $\theta = dx \wedge dy + dz \wedge dt$ , with  $\theta \wedge \theta = 2 dx dy dz dt$

Exercise 2 : Under the assumption  $N_0 = g^{-1}(z)$  we have :

- $M_0 = \{x \in M : f(x) \in N_0\} = \{x \in M : g(f(x)) = z\} = (g \circ f)^{-1}(z)$ .
- we also claim that  $g \circ f: M \rightarrow P$  is a submersion at all  $x \in M$   
i.e. the composition  $T_x M \xrightarrow{(df)_x} T_{f(x)} N \xrightarrow{(dg)_{f(x)}} T_z P$  is surjective  
 $(d(g \circ f))_x$  (chain rule).

pf of claim :  $u \in T_z P \Rightarrow u = (dg)_{f(x)}(v)$  for some  $v \in T_{f(x)} N$   
(since  $(dg)_{f(x)}$  is surj). By hypothesis,  $v = (df)_x(u) + v^0$   
as in the statement. Hence  $u = (dg)_{f(x)}(df)_x(u) + (dg)_{f(x)}(v^0)$   
 $\Rightarrow$  we found  $v$  s.t.  $u = (g \circ f)_x(v)$   $\square$   $T_x N =$   $\overset{\text{def}}{=} \text{ker } dg$ .

Therefore, by the RVT, ~~we ded~~ ~~we ded~~ ~~we ded~~ for  $g \circ f$  (and  $f$ ) :

a).  $M_0$  = smooth submanifold.

b).  $\dim M_0 = \dim M - \dim P$ . But  $\dim N_0 = \dim N - \dim P \Rightarrow \dim M_0 = m-n + \dim M$ .

c).  $T_x M_0 = \{v : (g \circ f)_x(v) = 0\} = \{v : (dg)_{f(x)}((df)_x(v)) = 0\}$ .

$= \{v : (df)_x(v) \in \underset{\text{ker } dg}{\underbrace{T_{f(x)} N_0}\}_{\text{def}}\}$ .

$\Rightarrow T_x M_0 = \{v : (df)_x(v) \in T_{f(x)} N_0\}$ .

For d) : remember that being a submanifold (and the tangent spaces) is local: can be checked in neighborhoods of points. Moreover, any submanifold  $N_0 \subseteq N$  is, locally, of type  $g^{-1}(z)$ . In more detail: for  $x \in M_0$ ,  $y = f(x) \in N_0$ , choose a chart  $u$  of  $N$  adapted to  $N_0$ . Then apply the first part to  $f^{-1}(u) : f^{-1}(u) \rightarrow u$  and  $g: u \rightarrow ?$  the projection ~~gives~~ ~~gives~~  $f^{-1}(u) \cap N_0$  you get looking at the def. of adapted chart (...

Exercise 3 :

[II]

a). Write  $M = F^{-1}(0)$  where  $F: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $F(x, y, z) = y + xz$ .

The differential of  $F$  at an arbitrary  $(x, y, z)$  is:

$$(dF)_{x,y,z}: T_{(x,y,z)} \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

$$u \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) + v \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) + w \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) \mapsto u \cdot \frac{\partial F(x, y, z)}{\partial x} + \dots$$

but I am not going to write  $y/p$  anymore.  $= u \cdot z + v \cdot (-1) + w \cdot *$

This is clearly surjective ( $-\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$  is sent to  $1 \in \mathbb{R}$ !)

$\Rightarrow M$  is smooth and

$$T_{(x,y,z)} M = \left\{ u \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + w \frac{\partial}{\partial z} : uz + vx = v \right\}.$$

b).  $V_{x,y,z} = \underbrace{u^2}_{u} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \underbrace{(x+z^2)}_{v} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial z}}_{w=1}$ . to be tangent to  $M \Leftrightarrow uz + vx = v \Leftrightarrow 2z \cdot z + 1 \cdot x = x + 2z^2$  ??

c). We have to show that

$$\gamma(t) = X_{\gamma(t)}. \quad \gamma(t) = \underbrace{x}_{\gamma(t)} = \underbrace{x}_{(t^2, t^3, t)} = \underbrace{2t \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{t^2+2t^3}{3t^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{2t} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}}$$

$$\underbrace{\frac{(t^2)^2}{2t} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{(t^3)^2}{3t^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{t^2}{2t} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}}_{OK!}.$$

d). If we ~~can't~~ apply the regular value theorem, you see the first and last are not smooth submanifolds. Hence the problematic one seems to be  $M' = \{(x, y, z) : x^3 = y^2\}$ . To show it is indeed problematic one shows that one cannot find nice charts around  $0 = (0, 0, 0) \in M'$ . Indeed, if  $x: U \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{R}^2$  would be a diff.,

(which would exist if  $M'$  was submanifold!) then

$$U \setminus \{0\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \text{a point}.$$

$$\text{But } U \setminus \{0\} = U_+ \cup U_- \text{ where } U_+ = \{(x, y, z) \in M' : y > 0\}$$

$$U_- = \{(x, y, z) \in M' : y < 0\}$$

are both open hence  $U \setminus \{0\}$  is not connected while  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \text{a point}$  is.

e). In a) we described the  $T_p M$  for  $p=(x,y,z)$ , arising at the equation  $r=uz+vx$  so that:

$$T_{p_i} M = \left\{ u \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)_p + (uz+vx) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + v \frac{\partial}{\partial z} : u, v, w \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

*I will omit it from now on*

$$= \left\{ u \cdot \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + z \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) + v \cdot \left( x \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right) : u, v \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

$$= \text{Span} \{ X, Y \} \text{ where } \begin{cases} X = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + z \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \\ Y = x \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}. \end{cases}$$

f). Various ways. For instance use the def: (i)  $f$ -smooth  $\in C^\infty(\Omega)$

$$\begin{aligned} L_{[X,Y]}(f) &= L_X(L_Y(f)) - L_Y(L_X(f)) = \\ &= L_X \left( x \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \right) - L_Y \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + z \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right) = \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( x \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \right) + z \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( x \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \right) - x \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + z \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right) - z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} + z \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right) \\ &= \cancel{\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}} + x \cancel{\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}} + \cancel{\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial z}} + z \cancel{\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial z}} + z \cancel{\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial z}} - x \cancel{\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x}} - \\ &\quad \cancel{x z \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial z}} - \cancel{\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial x}} - \cancel{\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial y}} - \cancel{z \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial y}} = 0 \\ \Rightarrow [X, Y] &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

can be done nicer using the properties of  $[., .]$  !!

g). Integral curves

$$\gamma(t) = (x(t), y(t), z(t))$$

are solutions of  $\dot{\gamma}(t) = X_{\gamma(t)} = 2z(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + (x(t) + z^2(t)) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$

i.e.  $\dot{\gamma} : \begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = 2z(t) \\ \dot{y}(t) = x(t) + z^2(t) \\ \dot{z}(t) = 1 \end{cases}$ . Prescribing  $\begin{cases} x(0) = a \\ y(0) = b \\ z(0) = c \end{cases}$

have:  $\int \dot{z}(t) dt = 1 \Rightarrow \boxed{z(t) = t + c}$ . Then  $\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = 2z(t) = 2(t+c) \\ x(0) = a \end{cases} \Rightarrow$

$\Rightarrow \boxed{x(t) = t^2 + 2ct + a}$ . Finally,  $\boxed{y(t) = xt + \frac{1}{2}z(t)^2}$

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y}(t) = x(t) + z(t) = t^2 + 2ct + a + \cancel{2t^2 + 4ct + 2c^2} = 3t^2 + 6ct + a + 2c^2 \\ y(0) = b \end{cases} \Rightarrow \boxed{y(t) = t^3 + 3ct^2 + (a + 2c^2)t + b}$$

Hence  $\varphi_v^t(a, b, c) = \varphi_v(t, a, b, c) = (t^2 + 2ct + a, t^3 + 3ct^2 + (a + 2c^2)t + b, t + c)$

IV) h). On  $M$ ,  $f = y - xz$  is zero, hence  $df|_M = 0$ . Hence one can take  $\theta = df = dy - xdz - zdx \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ .

i). Take for instance  $\varphi = x \ dy |_M$ . If  $\varphi$  was exact, we would have  $d\varphi = 0$ .

$$(dx \wedge dy)|_M = 0.$$

But  $(dx \wedge dy)|_M(x, y) = \dots = \underbrace{1 \cdot x - 2 \cdot 0}_{} = x \neq 0$  not the zero function!  
CONTRAD.

j). We have to show that  $\mu_p \neq 0 \forall p \in \mathbb{R}^3$ .

Let  $p = (x, y, z)$  and compute:

$$\mu_p(x_p, y_p) = \dots = 1 \cdot 1 - 0 \cdot 0 = 1.$$

Hence  $\mu_p \neq 0 \forall p$ .

$$k). \omega = e^y \cdot (x \ dy \wedge dz + y \ dz \wedge dx + z \ dx \wedge dy) |_M$$

Remember that, on  $M$ :  $dy = x \ dz + z \ dx$ .

$$\Rightarrow \omega = e^y \left( x \underbrace{(xdz + zdz)}_{dz \wedge dz = 0} \wedge dz + y \ dz \wedge dx + z \ dx \wedge (xdz + zdz) \right) |_M$$

$$= e^y (xz \ dx \wedge dz + y \underbrace{dz \wedge dx}_{-dx \wedge dz} + zx \ dx \wedge dz) |_M =$$

$$= e^y (2xz - y) dx \wedge dz |_M = y e^y dx \wedge dz |_M.$$

Hence  $f(x, y, z) = y e^y$  on  $M$ .

$$\ell). i_V(\omega) = f i_V(dx \wedge dz) = f i_V(dx) \wedge dz - f dx \wedge i_V(dz) =$$

$$V = 2z \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + (x+2z^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \quad dz = f(2z \ dz - dx) \\ = y e^y (2z \ dz - dx)$$

$$m). L_V(\omega) = d(i_V(\omega)) + i_V(d\omega).$$

$$L_V(\omega) = L_V(f) \mu + f L_V(\mu) = d(ye^y) \wedge (2z \ dz - dx) +$$

$$+ ye^y \wedge d(2z \ dz - dx) =$$

$$= (ye^y + e^y) dy \wedge (2z \ dz - dx) + ye^y \wedge (\dots)$$

$$= (y+1)e^y \cdot (2z \ dz \wedge dx - x \ dz \wedge dx + 2z^2 dx \wedge dz - z dx \wedge dx)$$

$$= (y+1)e^y \cdot (2z^2 + x) dx \wedge dz. \Rightarrow \theta = (y+1)e^y (x + 2z^2).$$

OR, better:  
 $L_V(\omega) = L_V(f) \mu + f L_V(\mu)$   
and compute  $L_V(\mu)$   
with  $i_V(f) = 0$   
 $\Rightarrow L_V(\omega) = L_V(f) \mu$