Solution of Exercise 3.36. We introduce

$$F: \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$$
 by $F(x; a) = x - \int_x^a f(t) dt$.

Then $F(0;b) = -\int_0^b f(t) dt = 0$. Furthermore, the Fundamental Theorem 2.10.1 of Integral Calculus on **R** implies

$$\frac{d}{dx}\int_{x}^{a} f(t) dt = -f(x),$$
 hence $D_{x}F(0;b) = 1 + f(0) \neq 0.$

On the basis of the Implicit Function Theorem 3.5.1 there exists, for a in \mathbb{R} sufficiently close to b, a unique solution $x = x(a) \in \mathbb{R}$ for F(x(a); a) = 0 with x(a) near 0. Furthermore, the Fundamental Theorem implies that F is a C^1 function; therefore, it is a consequence of the Implicit Function Theorem that $a \mapsto x(a)$ is a C^1 function. We have

$$x'(b) = -D_x F(0;b)^{-1} D_a F(0;b) = \frac{f(b)}{1+f(0)}$$

Finally, suppose f(t) = 2t - 1 and b = 1. Then 1 + f(0) = 0 and $\int_0^1 (2t - 1) dt = [t^2 - t]_0^1 = 0$; that is, the conditions of the Implicit Function Theorem are violated. Anyway, suppose there exists a solution $x = x(a) \in \mathbf{R}$ of F(x; a) for every a in some neighborhood V of 1. Then we have, in particular, for $a \in V$ satisfying 0 < a < 1,

$$x = \int_{x}^{a} (2t-1) dt = [t^{2}-t]_{x}^{a} = a^{2} - a - x^{2} + x, \quad \text{that is} \quad x^{2} = a(a-1) < 0.$$

This contradiction shows the absence in this case of a solution x = x(a) defined for all a near 1.