## Measure and Integration solutions of extra problems

1. Consider the measure space $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), \lambda)$, where $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ is the Borel $\sigma$-algebra over $\mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda$ is Lebesgue measure on $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$. Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } x<0 \\ 2^{-k} & \text { if } x \in[k, k+1), k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \geq 0\end{cases}
$$

(a) Show that $f$ is measurable, i.e. $f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ for all $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$.
(b) Determine the values of $\lambda(\{f>1\}), \lambda(\{f<1\}$ and $\lambda(\{1 / 4 \leq f<1\}$.
(c) Determine the value of $\int f d \lambda$.
$\operatorname{Proof}(\mathbf{a}):$ It is enough to show that $f^{-1}((-\infty, a]) \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Now,

$$
f^{-1}((-\infty, a])= \begin{cases}\emptyset & \text { if } a<0 \\ (-\infty, 0] \cup[k+1, \infty) & \text { if } \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} \leq a<\frac{1}{2^{k}}, k \geq 0 \\ \mathbb{R} & \text { if } a \geq 1\end{cases}
$$

In all cases one sees that $f^{-1}((-\infty, a]) \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$. Thus, $f$ is measurable.

## Proof(b):

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda(\{f>1\})=\lambda(\emptyset)=0 . \\
\lambda\left(\{f<1\}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda\left(\left\{f=2^{-k}\right\}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda([k, k+1))=\infty .\right. \\
\lambda(\{1 / 4 \leq f<1\})=\lambda(\{f=1 / 2\})+\lambda(\{f=1 / 4\})=2 .
\end{gathered}
$$

$\operatorname{Proof}(\mathbf{c})$ : Notice that $f=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \boldsymbol{1}_{[k, k+1)}$. Thus, by Corollary 9.9, $f$ is measurable and

$$
\int f d \lambda=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int 2^{-k} \mathbf{1}_{[k, k+1)} d \lambda=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k}=2
$$

2. Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ be a measure space, and $\left(G_{n}\right)_{n} \subset \mathcal{B}$ such that $\mu\left(G_{n} \cap G_{m}\right)=0$ for $m \neq n$. Show that $\mu\left(\bigcup_{n} G_{n}\right)=\sum_{n} \mu\left(G_{n}\right)$.

Proof: Let $A_{1}=G_{1}, B_{1}=\emptyset$. For $n \geq 2$, set $A_{n}=G_{n} \backslash \bigcup_{m=1}^{n-1} G_{m}$ and $B_{n}=$ $G_{n} \cap \bigcup_{m=1}^{n-1} G_{m}=\bigcup_{m=1}^{n-1}\left(G_{n} \cap G_{m}\right)$. Then,

- $G_{n}=A_{n} \cup B_{n}$ for all $n \geq 1$,
- $A_{n} \cap A_{m}=\emptyset$ for $m \neq n$,
$-\mu\left(B_{n}\right)=0$ for all $n \geq 1\left(\right.$ since $\mu\left(G_{n} \cap G_{m}\right)=0$ for $\left.n \neq m\right)$, hence $\mu\left(G_{n}\right)=\mu\left(A_{n}\right)$ for all $n \geq 1$,
- $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} G_{n}$ : clearly the left handside is a subset of the right handside. Now, let $x \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} G_{n}$, then $x \in G_{n}$ for some $n$. Let $n_{0}$ be the smallest positive integer such that $x \in G_{n_{0}}$, then $x \in A_{n_{0}} \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}$.
Hence,

$$
\mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} G_{n}\right)=\mu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu\left(A_{n}\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu\left(G_{n}\right) .
$$

3. Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, \nu)$ be a measure space, and suppose $X=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{n}$, where $\left\{E_{n}\right\}$ is a collection of pairwise disjoint measurable sets such that $\nu\left(E_{n}\right)<\infty$ for all $n \geq 1$. Define $\mu$ on $\mathcal{B}$ by $\mu(B)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \nu\left(B \cap E_{n}\right) /\left(\nu\left(E_{n}\right)+1\right)$.
(a) Prove that $\mu$ is a finite measure on $(X, \mathcal{B})$.
(b) Let $B \in \mathcal{B}$. Prove that $\mu(B)=0$ if and only if $\nu(B)=0$.

Proof (a): Clearly $\mu(\emptyset)=0$, and

$$
\mu(X)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \nu\left(E_{n}\right) /\left(\nu\left(E_{n}\right)+1\right) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n}=1<\infty .
$$

Now, let $\left(C_{n}\right)$ be a disjoint sequence in $\mathcal{B}$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu\left(\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} C_{m}\right) & =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \nu\left(\left(\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} C_{m}\right) \cap E_{n}\right) /\left(\nu\left(E_{n}\right)+1\right) \\
& =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \nu\left(C_{m} \cap E_{n}\right) /\left(\nu\left(E_{n}\right)+1\right) \\
& =\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \nu\left(C_{m} \cap E_{n}\right) /\left(\nu\left(E_{n}\right)+1\right) \\
& =\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mu\left(C_{m}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\mu$ is a finite measure.
Proof (b): Suppose that $\nu(B)=0$, then $\nu\left(B \cap E_{n}\right)=0$ for all $n$, hence $\mu(B)=0$.
Conversely, suppose $\mu(B)=0$, then $\nu\left(B \cap E_{n}\right)=0$ for all $n$. Since $X=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{n}$
(disjoint union), then

$$
\nu(B)=\nu\left(B \cap \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{n}\right)=\nu\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(B \cap E_{n}\right)\right)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \nu\left(B \cap E_{n}\right)=0
$$

4. Let $(E, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ be a measure space, and $\overline{\mathcal{B}}^{\mu}$ be the completion of the $\sigma$-algebra $\mathcal{B}$ with respect to the measure $\mu$ (see exercise 4.13, p.29). We denote by $\bar{\mu}$ the extension of the measure $\mu$ to the $\sigma$-algebra $\overline{\mathcal{B}}^{\mu}$. Suppose $f: E \rightarrow E$ is a function such that $f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\mu\left(f^{-1}(B)\right)=\mu(B)$ for each $B \in \mathcal{B}$. Show that $f^{-1}(\bar{B}) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}^{\mu}$ and $\bar{\mu}\left(f^{-1}(\bar{B})\right)=\bar{\mu}(\bar{B})$ for all $\bar{B} \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}^{\mu}$.

Proof: Let $\bar{B} \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}^{\mu}$, then there exist $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $A \subseteq \bar{B} \subseteq B, \mu(B \backslash A)=0$ and $\bar{\mu}(\bar{B})=\mu(A)$. Then, $f^{-1}(A), f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{B}$ satisfy $f^{-1}(A) \subseteq f^{-1}(\bar{B}) \subseteq \underline{f}^{-1}(B)$ and $\mu\left(f^{-1}(B) \backslash f^{-1}(A)\right)=\mu\left(f^{-1}(B \backslash A)\right)=\mu(B \backslash A)=0$. Thus, $f^{-1}(\bar{B}) \in \overline{\mathcal{B}}^{\mu}$ and $\bar{\mu}\left(f^{-1}(\bar{B})\right)=\mu\left(f^{-1}(A)=\mu(A)=\bar{\mu}(\bar{B})\right.$.
5. Let $X$ be a set, and $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$. Consider $\sigma(\mathcal{C})$, the smallest $\sigma$-algebra over $X$ containing $\mathcal{C}$, and let $\mathcal{D}$ be the collection of sets $A \in \sigma(\mathcal{C})$ with the property that there exists a countable collection $\mathcal{C}_{0} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ (depending on $A$ ) such that $A \in \sigma\left(\mathcal{C}_{0}\right)$.
(a) Show that $\mathcal{D}$ is a $\sigma$-algebra over $X$.
(b) Show that $\mathcal{D}=\sigma(\mathcal{C})$.

Proof (a): Clearly $\emptyset \in \mathcal{D}$ since $\emptyset$ belongs to every $\sigma$-algebra. Let $A \in \mathcal{D}$, then there is a countable collection $\mathcal{C}_{0} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ such that $A \in \sigma\left(\mathcal{C}_{0}\right)$. But then $A^{c} \in \sigma\left(\mathcal{C}_{0}\right)$, hence $A^{c} \in \mathcal{D}$. Finally, let $\left\{A_{n}\right\}$ be in $\mathcal{D}$, then for each $n$ there exists a countable collection $\mathcal{C}_{n} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ such that $A_{n} \in \sigma\left(\mathcal{C}_{n}\right)$. Let $\mathcal{C}_{0}=\bigcup_{n} \mathcal{C}_{n}$, then $\mathcal{C}_{0} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$, and $\mathcal{C}_{0}$ is countable. Furthermore, $\sigma\left(\mathcal{C}_{n}\right) \subseteq \sigma\left(\mathcal{C}_{0}\right)$, and hence $A_{n} \in \sigma\left(\mathcal{C}_{0}\right)$ for each $n$ which implies that $\bigcup_{n} A_{n} \in \sigma\left(\mathcal{C}_{0}\right)$. Therefore, $\bigcup_{n} A_{n} \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ is a $\sigma$-algebra.

Proof (b): By definition $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{C})$. Also, $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ since $C \in \sigma(\{C\})$ for every $C \in \mathcal{C}$. Since $\sigma(\mathcal{C})$ is the smallest $\sigma$-algebra over $X$ containg $\mathcal{C}$, then by part (a) $\sigma(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}$. Thus, $\mathcal{D}=\sigma(\mathcal{C})$.
6. Let $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ be a probability space, i.e. $\mu(X)=1$. Let $f: X \rightarrow[0,1)$ be a measurable function such that $\mu\left(f^{-1}\left(\left[\frac{k}{2^{n}}, \frac{k+1}{2^{n}}\right)\right)=\frac{1}{2^{n}}\right.$ for $n \geq 1$ and $k=0,1, \cdots, 2^{n}-1$. Show that $\int_{X} f^{2} d \mu=\frac{1}{3}$.

Proof Let $A_{k, n}=f^{-1}\left(\left[\frac{k}{2^{n}}, \frac{k+1}{2^{n}}\right)\right)$, for $n \geq 1$ and $k=0,1, \cdots, 2^{n}-1$. For $n \geq 1$, let $g_{n}=\sum_{k=0}^{2^{n}-1} \frac{k^{2}}{4^{n}} 1_{A_{k, n}}$. Then, $g_{n}$ is a sequence of non-negative measurable functions such that $g_{n}(x) \uparrow f^{2}(x)$ for all $x \in[0,1)$. Furthermore,

$$
\int_{X} g_{n} d \mu=\sum_{k=0}^{2^{n}-1} \frac{k^{2}}{8^{n}}=\frac{2^{n}\left(2^{n}-1\right)\left(2^{n+1}-1\right)}{6 \cdot 8^{n}}
$$

By Beppo-Levi,

$$
\int_{X} f^{2} d \mu=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{E} g_{n} d \mu=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^{n}\left(2^{n}-1\right)\left(2^{n+1}-1\right)}{6 \cdot 8^{n}}=\frac{1}{3} .
$$

