

Goresky-Pardon lifts of Chern classes and associated Tate extensions

EDUARD LOOIJENGA

ABSTRACT. Let X be an irreducible complex-analytic variety, \mathcal{S} a stratification of X and \mathcal{F} a holomorphic vector bundle on the open stratum \mathring{X} . We give geometric conditions on \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{F} that produce a natural lift of the Chern class $c_k(\mathcal{F}) \in H^{2k}(\mathring{X}; \mathbb{C})$ to $H^{2k}(X; \mathbb{C})$, which, in the algebraic setting, is of Hodge level $\geq k$. When applied to the Baily-Borel compactification X of a locally symmetric variety \mathring{X} and an automorphic vector bundle \mathcal{F} on \mathring{X} , this refines a theorem of Goresky-Pardon. In passing we define a class of simplicial resolutions of the Baily-Borel compactification that can be used to define its mixed Hodge structure. We use this to show that the stable cohomology of the Satake (=Baily-Borel) compactification of \mathcal{A}_g contains nontrivial Tate extensions.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be an irreducible complex-analytic variety, \mathring{X} a nonsingular Zariski open-dense subset of X and \mathcal{F} a holomorphic vector bundle on \mathring{X} . In this paper we give conditions under which the rational Chern classes $c_k(\mathcal{F}) \in H^{2k}(X; \mathbb{Q})$ extend in a canonical manner as *complex* classes to X , even (and especially) in situations where \mathcal{F} is known *not* to extend to X as a complex vector bundle. The passage to complex cohomology is not just an artefact of our method, for we find examples for which the imaginary part of such an extension is nonzero. Before we say more about what is in this paper, we mention the situation that is both the origin and the motivation for addressing this question. This is when \mathring{X} is a locally symmetric variety, $X = (\mathring{X})^{\text{bb}}$ its Baily-Borel compactification, and \mathcal{F} an automorphic vector bundle on \mathring{X} . Mumford [10] defined in 1977 Chern numbers for an automorphic bundle \mathcal{F} as integrals of Chern forms relative to some metric on \mathring{X} (using his toroidal compactifications to prove their absolute convergence) and proved them to have properties that Hirzebruch had earlier established in case \mathring{X} is compact. A quarter of a century later Goresky and Pardon [7] proved that the Chern classes of such an \mathcal{F} can be naturally extended to X in such a manner that the associated Chern numbers (i.e., polynomials in these classes evaluated on the fundamental class of X) yield those of Mumford.

Returning to the content of this article, it has four principal results. The first one may be characterized as putting the result of Goresky and Pardon in (what we feel is) its natural setting. This has in any case the effect of making statements more transparent and proofs shorter. Key to this approach are the rather simple concepts formulated in Section 2. Our point of departure is not just X with its Zariski open-dense subset, but rather an analytic stratification \mathcal{S} of X for which \mathring{X}

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14G35, 14F43, 32S35.

Key words and phrases. Baily-Borel compactification, Goresky-Pardon Chern class, Tate extension.

is the union of the open strata. We introduce (in 2.2) certain analytic control data on (X, \mathcal{S}) embodied in the notion of a *system of local retractions*. For a stratification (X, \mathcal{S}) thus endowed, we define (in 2.4) a corresponding notion for a holomorphic vector bundle on \mathring{X} , namely that of an *isoholonomic flat structure*. This structure may also be regarded as a set of control data (in the sense of stratification theory), but now on the vector bundle and compatible with the local retractions. Both notions are analytic in character and have algebraic counterparts. Proposition 2.5 states that this last structure suffices to produce a natural lift to X of the complex Chern classes. We then show that such structures are present on the Baily-Borel stratification resp. an automorphic vector bundle, so that this recovers the result of Goresky-Pardon. We work this out in the case of the symplectic group.

The second main result pertains to the complete, complex-algebraic setting, where we prove (Theorem 2.8) that these Chern class lifts have the expected Hodge level, provided that (X, \mathcal{S}) admits (what we have called) a *stratified resolution* (Definition 2.10). This leads to a simplicial resolution of X by complete nonsingular varieties which satisfies cohomological descent so that it can be used to describe the mixed Hodge structure on the cohomology of X .

The third part applies this to Baily-Borel compactifications: Theorem 4.4 states that some of Mumford's toroidal resolutions of a Baily-Borel compactification give rise to a stratified resolution. Since these can be used to identify the mixed Hodge structure on the cohomology of a Baily-Borel compactification, we hope that this will find other applications as well.

Our fourth contribution is an application of the preceding to the stable cohomology of the Satake (=Baily-Borel) compactification $\mathcal{A}_g^{\text{bb}}$ of \mathcal{A}_g . Charney and Lee [4] have shown that for a fixed k , $H^k(\mathcal{A}_g^{\text{bb}}; \mathbb{Q})$ stabilizes as $g \rightarrow \infty$ and that the resulting stable cohomology H^\bullet has the structure of a \mathbb{Q} -Hopf algebra. This is in fact a polynomial algebra with primitive basis $\widetilde{ch}_{2r+1} \in H^{4r+2}$ ($r \geq 0$) and $y_r \in H^{4r+2}$ ($r > 0$), although the \widetilde{ch}_{2r+1} is not canonically defined (it is a lift of the corresponding Chern character of the Hodge bundle on \mathcal{A}_g) and y_r is only defined up to sign. So H_{pr}^{4r+2} is of dimension 2 when $r > 0$. Jiaming Chen and the author [5] have recently shown that H^\bullet has a natural mixed Hodge structure that gives H_{pr}^{4r+2} the structure of a Tate extension: it is an extension of $\mathbb{Q}(-2r-1)$ (which has the image of \widetilde{ch}_{2r+1} as generator) by $\mathbb{Q}(0)$ (which has y_r as generator). With the help of the results described above, we find that the one-dimensional space $F^{2r+1}H_{\text{pr}}^{4r+2}$ is in fact spanned by the Goresky-Pardon Chern character of the Hodge bundle on \mathcal{A}_g ($g \gg r$). We then use the theory that underlies the construction of the Beilinson regulator to compute the class of this Tate extension (Theorem 5.1) and find it to be nonzero. At the same time we show that the Goresky-Pardon Chern character in question has a real part that is rational (so lies in H_{pr}^{4r+2}), but that its imaginary part is nonzero. This answers (negatively) the question asked by Goresky-Pardon ((1.6) of [7]) whether their lift always lives in rational cohomology. Our examples leave open the possibility that this is so for the real part of this class (say, in the setting of an automorphic vector bundle over the interior of a Baily-Borel compactification).

We close this introduction with a brief discussion of how this is connected with other work in this area. Goresky and Tai proved in [8] that an automorphic vector bundle on a locally symmetric variety \mathring{X} extends naturally to what is called the

reductive Borel-Serre compactification of \mathring{X} . This compactification, which we shall denote for the purpose of this introduction by \widehat{X} , has a real-analytic structure and dominates X in the sense that the latter is naturally a quotient of \widehat{X} , but lives by no means in the complex-analytic category. Goresky and Tai predicted that the Chern classes of their extension are simply pull-backs of the Goresky-Pardon Chern classes to \widehat{X} and this was later proved by Zucker [14] (with some corrections supplied by Ayoub and Zucker [2], see also [11]). Shortly afterwards Zucker [15] showed that the quotient map $\widehat{X} \rightarrow X$, despite not being in any sense a morphism of complex-algebraic varieties, behaves from a cohomological point of view as if it were, for he proved that $H^\bullet(\widehat{X})$ carries a natural mixed Hodge structure such that the induced map $H^\bullet(X) \rightarrow H^\bullet(\widehat{X})$ is a morphism in this category. Very recently Arvind Nair showed in [12] that the Chern classes of the Goresky-Tai extension have the expected Hodge level and he there formulated our second main result as a conjecture (a conjectural picture is formulated in subsection (4.3) of [12]), something we had not been aware of while working on this project. In light of Zucker's result, our theorem implies the property proved by Nair, but is not equivalent to it, as the map $H^\bullet(X) \rightarrow H^\bullet(\widehat{X})$ may not be injective.

In correspondence with Klaus Hulek and others in connection with [5] we had wondered about the possibly nontrivial nature of the above Tate extension. Via audience feedback to a talk of his at the IAS (that apparently had made mention of this question), we learned that the work of Nair might shed light on this and indeed, when we wrote Nair, he informed us (in April 2015) that his techniques—which involve among other things local Hecke operators and analytic results due to Franke—enable him to determine the class of this extension (which turned out to be nonzero). The proof given here was found thereafter (September 2015), but is, we understand, quite different from his.

It is a pleasure to acknowledge the numerous conversations with Spencer Bloch on this material. He drew my attention to the Chern class extensions defined by Goresky-Pardon, and also suggested (at a time when neither of us was aware that Nair had in fact conjectured this) that these classes might have the Hodge level property that is established here. I am also indebted to Mark Goresky, who pointed out to me a subtlety regarding the partial flat structures on automorphic bundles that I had overlooked.

I am also grateful to the comments of two referees.

2. CHERN CLASSES IN A STRATIFIED SETTING

Isoholonomic relative connections. Let $\rho : M \rightarrow S$ be a submersion of complex manifolds and let \mathcal{F} be a holomorphic vector bundle on M of rank r . We need the following three notions relative to ρ .

Definition 2.1. We say that a C^∞ -differential form on M is ρ -*basic* if it is locally the pull-back along ρ of a form on S .

A ρ -*connection* on \mathcal{F} is a holomorphic connection along the fibers of ρ , i.e., is given by a $\rho^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S$ -linear map $\nabla_\rho : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \Omega_\rho \otimes \mathcal{F}$ satisfying the Leibniz property: $\nabla_\rho(\phi s) = \phi \nabla_\rho(s) + d_\rho(\phi) \otimes s$. We say that it is *flat* if its curvature form (an

\mathcal{O}_M -homomorphism $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \Omega_\rho^2 \otimes \mathcal{F}$) is identically zero. We say that such a flat ρ -connection on \mathcal{F} is *isoholonomic* if we can cover S by open subsets V such that $\nabla_\rho|_{\rho^{-1}V}$ can be lifted to a flat holomorphic connection on $\mathcal{F}|_{\rho^{-1}V}$.

Let us comment on these definitions. We begin with observing that if ρ factors through a submersion $\rho' : M \rightarrow S'$ and one of the three properties above holds for ρ , then that property also holds for ρ' .

Next we note that we can drop the adjective ‘locally’ in the definition of a ρ -basic form if the fibers of ρ are connected: it is then just the pull-back of a form on S . This is still true if the set of $v \in S$ for which $\rho^{-1}(v)$ is connected contains an open-dense subset of S (we then say that a *general fiber of ρ is connected*).

For a flat ρ -connection ∇_ρ on \mathcal{F} , its flat local sections make up a subsheaf $\mathbb{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$. This is a locally free $\rho^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S$ -submodule of rank r with the property that the natural map $\mathcal{O}_M \otimes_{\rho^{-1}\mathcal{O}_S} \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is an isomorphism. The converse also holds: a subsheaf $\mathbb{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ with these properties determines a flat ρ -connection on \mathcal{F} . This also amounts to giving a (maximal) atlas of local holomorphic trivializations of \mathcal{F} whose transition functions factor through ρ . In the situations that we shall consider, ρ will be topologically locally trivial with connected fibers, and then a given flat ρ -connection is isoholonomic precisely if its holonomy along $\rho^{-1}v$ (given as a $\mathrm{GL}(r, \mathbb{C})$ -orbit in $\mathrm{Hom}(\pi_1(\rho^{-1}v), \mathrm{GL}(r, \mathbb{C}))$) is locally constant in $v \in S$ in an evident sense. Whence the terminology.

Let be given an isoholonomic ρ -connection ∇_ρ on \mathcal{F} . We then have an open covering $\{V_\alpha\}_\alpha$ of S and for every α a flat holomorphic connection $\nabla^\alpha : \mathcal{F}|_{\rho^{-1}V_\alpha} \rightarrow \Omega_M \otimes \mathcal{F}|_{\rho^{-1}V_\alpha}$ which lifts $\nabla_\rho|_{\rho^{-1}V_\alpha} : \mathcal{F}|_{\rho^{-1}V_\alpha} \rightarrow \Omega_\rho \otimes \mathcal{F}|_{\rho^{-1}V_\alpha}$. If $\{\phi_\alpha\}_\alpha$ is a C^∞ partition of unity on S with $\mathrm{supp}(\phi_\alpha) \subseteq V_\alpha$, then $\nabla := \sum_\alpha \rho^*(\phi_\alpha)\nabla^\alpha$ is a C^∞ -connection on \mathcal{F} which globally lifts ∇_ρ in a particular way: in terms of a local trivialization in the atlas described above, this connection is given by a matrix of ρ -basic forms of type $(1, 0)$. Its curvature is therefore given by a matrix of ρ -basic 2-forms of Hodge level ≥ 1 (i.e., is a sum of forms of type $(2, 0)$ and $(1, 1)$). Hence the Chern form $C_k(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$ is a ρ -basic $2k$ -form of Hodge level $\geq k$. Note that this remains so if we alter the connection ∇_ρ by adding to it a nilpotent relative differential η (i.e., a section of $\Omega_\rho \otimes \mathrm{End}(\mathcal{F})$ that takes values in the nilpotent endomorphisms), for then the curvature form of ∇ will be nilpotent along the fibers of ρ and so $C_k(\mathcal{F}, \nabla + \eta)$ will map to zero in Ω_ρ^{2k} . Since $C_k(\mathcal{F}, \nabla + \eta)$ is also closed, it is then still ρ -basic. So when the general fiber of ρ is connected, $C_k(\mathcal{F}, \nabla + \eta)$ is the pull-back of one on S . In particular, the complex k th Chern class of \mathcal{F} lies in the image of $\rho^* : H^{2k}(S; \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^{2k}(M; \mathbb{C})$.

But as we will see in our main application, it is possible for \mathbb{F} to have nontrivial holonomy along the fibers of ρ and so \mathbb{F} need not be a sheaf pull-back of a holomorphic vector bundle on S .

We next extend this to a stratified setting. This naturally leads us to consider ‘germ versions’ of the notions we just introduced. Let X be a complex-analytic variety endowed with a stratification \mathcal{S} , by which we mean a finite partition of X into connected nonsingular locally closed subvarieties, called *strata*, such that the closure of a stratum is a subvariety that is a union of strata. We partially order the collection of strata by letting $S' \leq S$ mean that $S' \subseteq \bar{S}$.

Definition 2.2. A system of retractions $\rho = (\rho_S)_S$ for (X, \mathcal{S}) assigns to each $S \in \mathcal{S}$ an analytic retraction $\rho_S : X_S \rightarrow S$ with the property that when $S' < S$, then $\rho'_S \rho_S = \rho'_S$ on $X_{S'}$. We then say that (X, \mathcal{S}, ρ) is a *rigidified stratified variety*¹.

Here X_S denotes the germ of X at S and so this means that ρ_S is represented by an analytic retraction whose domain is an unspecified neighborhood U_S of S in X . If the stratification \mathcal{S} satisfies Whitney's (a) condition, then we may take U_S so small such that for every $S' \in \mathcal{S}$, $\rho_S|_{U_S \cap S'}$ is a submersion. Note that for every $S \in \mathcal{S}$, the collection $\{\rho_{S'}|_{\bar{S}}\}_{S' < S}$ is a system of retractions for $(\bar{S}, \mathcal{S}|\bar{S})$. A complex submanifold of a complex manifold need not be a holomorphic retract of some neighborhood of it and so the mere existence of such a system indicates that the stratification is quite special. A standard example is the natural stratification of a torus embedding. We will see that the Satake and toric compactifications of a locally symmetric variety also come with this structure.

We make the rigidified stratified varieties objects of a category: a morphism $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{S}}, \tilde{\rho}) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{S}, \rho)$ is given by a complex-analytic morphism $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ that takes any stratum \tilde{S} of $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ submersively to a stratum S of \mathcal{S} in such a manner that on a neighborhood of \tilde{S} we have $\pi \tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{S}} = \rho_S \pi$ and we demand that the preimage of the union of the open strata in X is equal to the union of the open strata in \tilde{X} .

From now on (X, \mathcal{S}, ρ) is a rigidified stratified variety with X topologically normal (in the sense that normalization is a homeomorphism). We denote by $\mathring{X} \subseteq X$ the union of the open strata and by $j : \mathring{X} \subseteq X$ and $i_S : S \subseteq X$ ($S \in \mathcal{S}$) the inclusions. We write $\mathring{\rho}_S$ for the restriction of ρ_S to \mathring{X} . The assumption of topological normality guarantees that a general fiber of $\mathring{\rho}_S$ is connected.

Definition 2.3. We say that a C^∞ -differential form on \mathring{X} is ρ -basic if for every stratum $S \in \mathcal{S}$, its germ at S is $\mathring{\rho}_S$ -basic.

The ρ -basic C^∞ -differential forms in $j_* \mathcal{A}_{\mathring{X}}^\bullet$ make up a differential (bigraded) subalgebra $\mathcal{A}_{X, \rho}^\bullet$ that is a fine resolution of the constant sheaf \mathbb{C}_X on X (see Verona [13] and Theorem 4.2 in [7]). It has the property that for all $S \in \mathcal{S}$, $i_S^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{X, \rho}^\bullet = \mathcal{A}_S^\bullet$. Its holomorphic part defines a subcomplex $\Omega_{X, \rho}^\bullet \subseteq j_* \Omega_{\mathring{X}}^\bullet$ with a similar property: $i_S^{-1} \Omega_{X, \rho}^\bullet = \Omega_S^\bullet$. This is also a resolution of the constant sheaf \mathbb{C}_X and we can regard $\mathcal{A}_{X, \rho}^\bullet$ as a double complex which resolves it. So $(\mathcal{A}_{X, \rho}^{p, \bullet}, \bar{\partial})$ resolves $\Omega_{X, \rho}^p$ and we have a Hodge-De Rham spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p, q} = H^q(X, \Omega_{X, \rho}^p) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(X, \mathbb{C}).$$

If we are in the complex projective setting, then one may wonder whether this spectral sequence degenerates and yields the Hodge filtration of the mixed Hodge structure on $H^\bullet(X)$. This is not so in general (there exist examples for which $H^0(X, \Omega_{X, \rho}^p) = 0 \neq F^p H^p(X)$), but if it is at least true that the limit filtration of this spectral sequence refines the Hodge filtration, then we would have a generalization of Theorem 2.8 below and would probably also end up with a simpler proof of it.

Note that a morphism $\pi : (\tilde{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{S}}, \tilde{\rho}) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{S}, \rho)$ determines a map of sheaf complexes $\pi^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{X, \rho}^\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\tilde{X}, \tilde{\rho}}^\bullet$ which induces the usual map $\pi^* : H^\bullet(X; \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^\bullet(\tilde{X}; \mathbb{C})$ on cohomology.

We extend the notions introduced in 2.1 to this stratified germ type of setting:

¹There is of course also a C^∞ -variant of this notion, but we will here be only interested in the holomorphic version.

Definition 2.4. Let \mathcal{F} be a holomorphic vector bundle on \mathring{X} . A flat ρ -connection on \mathcal{F} assigns to every $S \in \mathcal{S}$ a flat ρ_S -connection ∇_{ρ_S} on $i_S^{-1}j_*\mathcal{F}$ (it is then denoted ∇_ρ) and is subject to a compatibility condition up to nilpotents: noting that for any pair of incident strata $S \geq S'$, the connection $\nabla_{\rho_{S'}}$ induces a flat connection along the fibers of $\hat{\rho}_S$ on the common domain of $\hat{\rho}_S$ and $\hat{\rho}_{S'}$ (for the submersion $\hat{\rho}_{S'}$ there factors through $\hat{\rho}_S$) so that we may write this connection there as $\nabla_{\rho_S} + \eta_S^{S'}$ with $\eta_S^{S'}$ a section of $\Omega_{\rho_S} \otimes \text{End}(\mathcal{F})$, then we require that $\eta_S^{S'}$ is a *nilpotent* relative differential. More generally, whenever we have a chain of strata $S > S_1 > \dots > S_n$, we ask that the $\eta_S^{S_1}, \dots, \eta_S^{S_n}$ span on their common domain of definition a complex vector space of nilpotent relative differentials.

We say that the flat ρ -connection on \mathcal{F} is *isoholonomic* if for every $S \in \mathcal{S}$, ∇_{ρ_S} is so on $i_S^{-1}j_*\mathcal{F}$.

Given a flat ρ -connection on \mathcal{F} , then its ρ -flat local sections define a subsheaf of $j_*\mathcal{F}$, but this subsheaf can be zero on certain strata and is probably of little interest unless the holonomies are trivial. More relevant to us is the subsheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{X,\rho}$ -algebras $\text{End}(\mathcal{F}, \nabla_\rho) \subseteq j_*\text{End}(\mathcal{F})$ of ρ -flat local endomorphisms of $j_*\mathcal{F}$, at least when the flat ρ -connection on \mathcal{F} is isoholonomic, for then $i_S^{-1}\text{End}(\mathcal{F}, \nabla_\rho)$ is locally like $\text{End}_{\mathcal{O}_S}(\mathcal{O}_S^r)$ (it is a sheaf of Azumaya \mathcal{O}_S -algebras).

Note that if $\pi : (\mathring{X}, \mathring{S}, \mathring{\rho}) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{S}, \rho)$ is a morphism of rigidified stratified, topological normal varieties, then a flat ρ -connection on \mathcal{F} determines one on the pull-back of \mathcal{F} along $\mathring{\pi} : \mathring{X} \rightarrow \mathring{X}$ (that we simply denote by $\pi^*\nabla_\rho$): if π maps $\tilde{S} \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$ to $S \in \mathcal{S}$, then ∇_{ρ_S} determines in an obvious manner a flat connection along the fibers of $\hat{\rho}_{\tilde{S}}$ and the resulting system has the required properties. It is isoholonomic when ∇_ρ is.

Proposition 2.5. *With a holomorphic vector bundle \mathcal{F} on \mathring{X} that is endowed with an isoholonomic flat ρ -connection $\nabla_\rho = (\nabla_{\rho_S})_{S \in \mathcal{S}}$ is associated a complex Chern class lift $c_k(\mathcal{F}, \nabla_\rho) \in H^{2k}(X; \mathbb{C})$ of $c_k(\mathcal{F})_{\mathbb{C}} \in H^{2k}(\mathring{X}; \mathbb{C})$ ($k \geq 0$), which is functorial in the sense that if $\pi : (\mathring{X}, \mathring{S}, \mathring{\rho}) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{S}, \rho)$ is a morphism of rigidified stratified spaces, then $\pi^*c_k(\mathcal{F}, \nabla_\rho) = c_k(\mathring{\pi}^*\mathcal{F}, \mathring{\nabla}_{\mathring{\rho}})$. It also has the property that if the relative holonomy of ∇_{ρ_S} is trivial at every point of S and for any pair of incident strata $S \geq S'$, $\eta_S^{S'} = 0$, then (\mathcal{F}, ρ) extends naturally to $(\hat{\mathcal{F}}, \rho)$ on X (as a holomorphic vector bundle with flat connections along the retractions) and $c_k(\mathcal{F}, \nabla_\rho) = c_k(\hat{\mathcal{F}})_{\mathbb{C}}$.*

Proof. By assumption X admits a covering by open subsets U_α with the property that there is unique $S_\alpha \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $S_\alpha \cap U_\alpha$ is closed, ρ_{S_α} and $\nabla_{\rho_{S_\alpha}}$ are defined on U_α and $\nabla_{\rho_{S_\alpha}}$ lifts to a flat holomorphic connection ∇^α on $\mathcal{F}|_{\mathring{U}_\alpha}$. We can choose a partition of unity $\{\phi_\alpha : X \rightarrow [0, 1]\}_\alpha$ with $\text{supp}(\phi_\alpha) \subseteq U_\alpha$ and with $\phi_\alpha|_{U_\alpha}$ factoring through ρ_{S_α} . Then $\nabla := \sum_\alpha \phi_\alpha \nabla^\alpha$ is a C^∞ -connection on \mathcal{F} with the property that any $S \in \mathcal{S}$ admits a neighborhood U_S in X such that for any chain $S > S_1 > \dots > S_n$ in \mathcal{S} , the relative connection that ∇ induces along $\rho_S|_{\mathring{U}_S \cap U_{S_1} \cap \dots \cap U_{S_n}}$ is a convex linear combination of ∇_{ρ_S} and $\eta_S^{S_1}, \dots, \eta_S^{S_n}$. This implies that the Chern form $C_k(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$ is a ρ -basic closed form of Hodge level $\geq k$. By the fine resolution property cited above, it therefore defines a cohomology class $c_k(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$.

The proof that this class is independent of our choices is a straightforward generalization of the standard proof and is based on the observation that the C^∞ -connections on \mathcal{F} satisfying the above property is an affine space. Indeed, if ∇' is another such connection, then we define on the pull-back $\hat{\mathcal{F}} := pr_X^*\mathcal{F}$ of \mathcal{F}

along $pr_{\hat{X}} : \mathbb{C} \times \hat{X} \rightarrow \hat{X}$ a connection $\hat{\nabla}$ given on a pulled back section $pr_{\hat{X}}^*s$ as $(1-t)\nabla(s) + t'\nabla(s)$. Then $C_k(\hat{\mathcal{F}}, \hat{\nabla})$ defines (by the result above) a class $c_k(\hat{\mathcal{F}}, \hat{\nabla}) \in H^{2k}(\mathbb{C} \times X)$. This class evidently restricts to $c_k(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$ resp. $c_k(\mathcal{F}, \nabla')$ if we take the first coordinate 0 resp. 1 and so $c_k(\mathcal{F}, \nabla) = c_k(\mathcal{F}, \nabla')$. It is straightforward to verify that these Chern classes have the asserted naturality behavior.

Assume now that the relative holonomy of ∇_{ρ_S} is trivial at every point of S and for any pair of incident strata $S \geq S'$, $\eta_S^{S'} = 0$. Choose for every stratum S a neighborhood U_S of S in X contained in the domain of ρ_S and ∇_{ρ_S} such that ∇_{ρ_S} has no holonomy on \dot{U} . Then the subsheaf of $\mathcal{F}|_{\dot{U}_S}$ of ∇_{ρ_S} -flat sections has a direct image on U_S whose restriction to S is a holomorphic vector bundle. Its pull-back as a vector bundle along ρ_S can on a neighborhood of S in \hat{X} be identified with \mathcal{F} and so this defines an extension of \mathcal{F} across U_S . Since the $\eta_S^{S'}$ vanish, such extensions agree on overlaps. It follows that \mathcal{F} extends to a holomorphic bundle $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ on X . Although X may be singular, a connection as constructed above extends to a connection $\hat{\nabla}$ on $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ in the sense that it is locally given by a matrix with entries in Ω_X (so restrictions of holomorphic differentials on an ambient complex manifold). Then $C_k(\hat{\mathcal{F}}, \hat{\nabla})$ is a C^∞ $2k$ -form (i.e., locally the restriction to X of a form defined on an ambient C^∞ -manifold) and therefore defines a class in $H^{2k}(X; \mathbb{C})$. Since its restriction to \hat{X} is $C_k(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$, this class is in fact $c_k(\mathcal{F}, \nabla_\rho)$. \square

In the situation of the last clause of Proposition 2.5 we find that $c_k(\mathcal{F}, \nabla_\rho)$ lifts to an integral class. But we will see that this is not so in general.

Remark 2.6. We shall later want to work with Chern characters ch_k rather than with Chern classes c_k . Since we always use \mathbb{Q} -vector spaces as coefficients, there is no loss of information here: ch_k is a universal polynomial of weighted degree k with rational coefficients in c_1, \dots, c_k and vice versa. These Chern characters can also be obtained via an Atiyah class, which is perhaps closer in the spirit of algebraic geometry, albeit that they then come to be realized as De Rham classes. An isoholonomic flat ρ -connection defines a natural lift of the Atiyah class of \mathcal{F} , $\text{At}(\mathcal{F}) \in H^1(\hat{X}, \Omega_{\hat{X}}^1 \otimes \text{End}(\mathcal{F}))$ to an element $\text{At}(\mathcal{F}, \nabla_\rho) \in H^1(X, \Omega_{X, \rho}^1 \otimes \text{End}(\mathcal{F}, \nabla_\rho))$. A representative as a 1-Čech cocycle is obtained from the collection $(U_\alpha, \nabla^\alpha)_\alpha$ in the proof above: it is given by $U_{\alpha\beta} = U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \mapsto \nabla^\beta - \nabla^\alpha$. We then define the *twisted Goresky-Pardon Chern character* as the image of $\text{At}(\mathcal{F}, \nabla_\rho)$ under the map

$$H^1(X, \Omega_{X, \rho}^1 \otimes \text{End}(\mathcal{F}, \nabla_\rho)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} H^k(X, \Omega_{X, \rho}^k),$$

$$A \mapsto \text{Tr}(\exp(-A)) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\text{Tr}((-A)^{\cup k})}{k!}.$$

This class is closed for all the differentials in the Hodge-De Rham spectral sequence and then yields $(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^k ch_k(\mathcal{F}, \nabla_\rho)$. This observation leads us to:

Corollary 2.7. *Suppose that in the situation of Proposition 2.5, the setting is algebraic over \mathbb{R} , that is, X , its stratification and the retractions appearing there and the vector bundle \mathcal{F} are defined over \mathbb{R} . Then the twisted Goresky-Pardon Chern character $(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^k ch_k(\mathcal{F}, \nabla_\rho)$ is fixed under full complex conjugation (acting on both X and the coefficient field \mathbb{C}).*

Proof. We must verify that $(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^k ch_k(\mathcal{F}, \nabla_\rho)$ is fixed under the anti-linear map $z \in H^\bullet(X; \mathbb{C}) \mapsto \iota^* z \in H^\bullet(X; \mathbb{C})$, where $\iota : X \rightarrow X$ is complex conjugation.

In the Čech description of the Atiyah class above we can choose the collection $(U_\alpha, \nabla^\alpha)_\alpha$ in such a manner that ι acts compatibly on our index set: $\iota(U_\alpha) = U_{\iota\alpha}$ and $\iota^*\nabla^{\iota\alpha} = \overline{\nabla}^\alpha$. Then it is clear from the definition that $(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^k \text{ch}_k(\mathcal{F}, \nabla_\rho)$ has the asserted property. \square

Theorem 2.8. *Suppose that in the situation of Proposition 2.5, the setting is algebraic and that X is compact. Suppose moreover that the resolution $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ that satisfies the holonomy property with respect to $(\mathcal{F}, \nabla_\rho)$ extends to a stratified resolution in the sense below. Then $c_k(\mathcal{F}, \nabla_\rho)$ is of Hodge level $\geq k$, i.e., lies in $F^k H^{2k}(X; \mathbb{C})$.*

Remark 2.9. Since the cup product is compatible with the Hodge filtration, it then follows that the corresponding class $\text{ch}_k(\mathcal{F}, \nabla_\rho)$ is also of Hodge level $\geq k$.

The notion of a *resolution of a stratified variety* that appears in the formulation of the theorem above expresses the fact that such a variety is equisingular along strata in a rather strong sense. Among other things, it can be shown to imply Whitney's (a) condition. We define this notion and prove the theorem in the next subsection.

Stratified resolutions. We begin with noting that if on a complex manifold Y is given a normal crossing divisor D , then Y acquires a natural stratification, where a stratum is a connected component of the locus where for some integer $l \geq 0$ exactly l local branches of D meet. With D given, we will often write $Y^{(l)}$ for the normalization of the locus where at least l branches of D meet (so that $Y^{(0)} = Y$). This is clearly a complex manifold. If E is a connected component of $Y^{(l)}$, then the locus where $> l$ branches of D meet traces out on E a normal crossing divisor, which is simple when D is and whose normalization is contained in $Y^{(l+1)}$. When $l > 0$, then for the same reason, E naturally maps to a number of connected components of $Y^{(l-1)}$. When D is simple, this number is l and the maps are embeddings.

Let (X, \mathcal{S}) be a stratified analytic variety and assume that the normalization of X is a homeomorphism.

Definition 2.10. An \mathcal{S} -resolution of (X, \mathcal{S}) consists of giving for every stratum $S \in \mathcal{S}$ a resolution of its closure, $\pi_S : \tilde{S} \rightarrow \overline{S}$, such that

- (i) $\pi_S : \tilde{S} \rightarrow \overline{S}$ is an isomorphism over S and the preimage of ∂S is a simple normal crossing divisor $D_{\tilde{S}}$ (so that \tilde{S} comes with a natural stratification),
- (ii) when $S' < S$, then $\tilde{S}[S'] := \pi_S^{-1} S'$ is a union of irreducible components of $D_{\tilde{S}}$ and we have a factorization

$$\pi_S : \tilde{S}[S'] \xrightarrow{\pi_{\tilde{S}}^{S'}} \tilde{S}' \xrightarrow{\pi_{S'}} \overline{S}'$$

that maps every stratum of $\tilde{S}[S']$ onto a stratum of \tilde{S}' and

- (iii) when $S'' < S'$, then $\pi_{\tilde{S}}^{S''} |_{\tilde{S}[S'']} \cap \tilde{S}[S']$ factors as

$$\pi_{\tilde{S}}^{S''} : \tilde{S}[S''] \cap \tilde{S}[S'] \xrightarrow{\pi_{\tilde{S}}^{S'}} \tilde{S}'[S''] \xrightarrow{\pi_{S'}^{S''}} \tilde{S}''.$$

Note that then any stratum $S \in \mathcal{S}$ inherits such a structure in the sense that the collection $\{\pi_{S'}\}_{S' \leq S}$ defines a $\mathcal{S}|\overline{\mathcal{S}}$ -resolution of \overline{S} .

In order to prove Theorem 2.8 we first show how the above notion gives rise to a simplicial resolution that can be used compute the cohomology of X and its mixed Hodge structure, when that makes sense.

Obviously, the collection of $\pi_S : \tilde{S} \rightarrow \bar{S}$, where $S \in \mathcal{S}$ runs over the open strata, defines a resolution $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ of X whose exceptional set is a normal crossing divisor. So X can be regarded as a quotient space of \tilde{X} with the identifications taking place over the strata of depth ≥ 1 . Let $S > S'$ be a pair of incident strata whose depths differ by 1. When we regard \bar{S} as a quotient of \tilde{S} , then the identification over S' is exhibited by $\pi_{S'}^S : \tilde{S}[S'] \rightarrow \tilde{S}'$. In order to let all such identifications take place by means of morphisms between smooth varieties, it is best to replace $\tilde{S}[S']$ by its normalization. This means that we should do this for every connected component of this normalization. It is then wise to remember that these connected components are glued to each other in $\tilde{S}[S']$. We may continue this process with any stratum of depth 2 and finally end up with a small category \mathcal{S} of compact complex manifolds over X that has X as a direct limit in the category of topological spaces. Here is more precise description of \mathcal{S} .

An object of \mathcal{S} is a connected component E of $\tilde{S}^{(l)}$ for some $S \in \mathcal{S}$ and some $l \geq 0$. So when we regard E as a subvariety of \tilde{S} , it is the closure of a stratum. We describe two types of basic morphisms $E \rightarrow E'$ between two such objects and stipulate that these generate the \mathcal{S} -morphisms. The first one is when E' is obtained from E by forgetting one of the l irreducible components of $D_{\tilde{S}}$ which contains E and $E \rightarrow E'$ is the obvious embedding. The other is defined only when E is contained in $\tilde{S}[S']$ for some $S' < S$. Then $E' := \pi_{S'}^S(E)$ is a connected component of $\tilde{S}'^{(l')}$ for some l' and the resulting map $E \rightarrow E'$ is the other type of basic morphism.

Now recall that the *nerve* of the small category \mathcal{S} is a simplicial set whose n -simplices are chains of length n : $E_\bullet = (E_0 \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow E_n)$ in \mathcal{S} . We then obtain a simplicial space X_\bullet by taking for X_n the disjoint union of the objects in (E_\bullet) where E_\bullet runs over the chains of length n in \mathcal{S} and $\text{in}(E_\bullet)$ stands for the first term of such a chain. (So the connected components of X_n are objects of \mathcal{S} , but the indexing is by the n -simplices, so that several copies of the same \mathcal{S} -object may appear.) Its geometric realization $|X_\bullet|$ (which is obtained in a standard fashion as a quotient of the disjoint union of the products $|\Delta^l| \times X_l$) is a space over X and this structural map is a homotopy equivalence. It can be used for cohomological descent: the face maps $\partial_i : X_n \rightarrow X_{n-1}$, $0 \leq i \leq n$, are used to define a double cochain complex

$$C^\bullet(X_\bullet) : 0 \rightarrow C^\bullet(X_0) \rightarrow C^\bullet(X_1) \rightarrow \cdots$$

and the obvious chain homomorphism from $C^\bullet(X)$ to the associated simple complex $sC^\bullet(X_\bullet)$ induces an isomorphism on (integral) cohomology. In particular, we have spectral sequence

$$(2.1) \quad E_1^{r,s} = H^s(X_r) \Rightarrow H^{r+s}(X).$$

We note that the edge homomorphism $H^\bullet(X) \cong H^\bullet(sC^\bullet(X_\bullet)) \rightarrow H^\bullet(X_0) = \bigoplus_{E \in \mathcal{S}} H^\bullet(E)$ is induced by the obvious map $\sqcup_{E \in \mathcal{S}} E = X_0 \rightarrow X$.

Suppose that we are in the algebraic setting so that varieties and morphisms are complex-algebraic. Then $H^\bullet(X)$ carries a mixed Hodge structure and we can use this construction to identify that structure: the above spectral sequence is one of mixed Hodge structures. This implies that when X is compact, it degenerates at E_2 (all higher differentials are zero since their source and target when nonzero have different weight) and yields the weight filtration:

$$(2.2) \quad \text{gr}_s^W H^{r+s}(X; \mathbb{Q}) = E_2^{r,s} = H(H^s(X_{r-1}; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^s(X_r; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^s(X_{r+1}; \mathbb{Q})).$$

Moreover, if we use $A^\bullet(M)$ to denote the \mathbb{C} -valued De Rham complex of a complex algebraic manifold M and $F^\bullet A^\bullet(M)$ its Hodge filtration, then the Hodge filtration of ${}^s A^\bullet(X_\bullet)$ defines the Hodge filtration of $H^\bullet(X; \mathbb{C})$.

Perhaps the simplest nontrivial example is when X has only two strata: S and $X - S$ and $\pi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is a resolution with $\pi^{-1}S$ nonsingular. Then the complex $C^\bullet(X_\bullet)$ is just $0 \rightarrow C^\bullet(\tilde{X}) \oplus C^\bullet(S) \rightarrow C^\bullet(\pi^{-1}S) \rightarrow 0$ and the associated exact sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H^{s-1}(\pi^{-1}S) \rightarrow H^s(X) \rightarrow H^s(\tilde{X}) \oplus H^s(\pi^{-1}S) \rightarrow H^s(\pi^{-1}S) \rightarrow \cdots$$

yields the weight filtration: $W_{s-2}H^s(X) = 0$, $W_{s-1}H^s(X)$ is the image of the map $H^{s-1}(\pi^{-1}S; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^s(X; \mathbb{Q})$ and $W_s H^s(X) = H^s(X; \mathbb{Q})$.

Proof of Theorem 2.8. For ∇ as constructed in the proof of Proposition 2.5, the Chern form $C_k(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$ defines a closed $2k$ -form on \tilde{S} for every $S \in \mathcal{S}$. This form is of Hodge level $\geq k$. It restricts to a $2k$ -form $C_k(\mathcal{F}|_E, \nabla_E)$ on every \mathcal{S} -object E with the same property and for every \mathcal{S}_ρ -morphism $\phi : E \rightarrow E'$ we have $\phi^* C_k(\mathcal{F}|_{E'}, \nabla_{E'}) = C_k(\mathcal{F}|_E, \nabla_E)$. This means that $(C_k(\mathcal{F}|_E, \nabla_E))_E$ defines a co-cycle of degree $2k$ in $A^\bullet(X_\bullet)$ and thus defines a class $c_k(\mathcal{F}, \nabla) \in F^k H^{2k}(X)$. \square

3. A FIRST APPLICATION TO BAILY-BOREL COMPACTIFICATIONS

Review of the Baily-Borel compactification. Let \mathcal{G} be a connected reductive complex algebraic group that is defined over \mathbb{R} . Write G resp. $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ for $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R})$ resp. $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{C})$ endowed with the Hausdorff topology. We assume that G has compact center and that the symmetric space \mathbb{X} of G is endowed with a G -invariant complex structure. To say that \mathbb{X} is the symmetric space \mathbb{X} of G means that for every $x \in \mathbb{X}$ the stabilizer G_x is a maximal compact subgroup of G and to say that \mathbb{X} comes with a G -invariant complex structure amounts to the property that G_x contains an embedded copy of the circle group $U(1)$ in its center whose action on $T_x \mathbb{X}$ defines its complex structure (it is a nontrivial action by scalars of unit norm). This makes \mathbb{X} a bounded symmetric domain. It appears naturally as an open G -orbit in a complex projective manifold $\check{\mathbb{X}}$, called the *compact dual of \mathbb{X}* , on which $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ acts transitively. It has the property that for $x \in \mathbb{X}$, the $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ -stabilizer $G_{\mathbb{C},x}$ is simply the complexification of G_x .

We now assume that \mathcal{G} is defined over \mathbb{Q} and let $\Gamma \subset G(\mathbb{Q})$ be an arithmetic subgroup. For what follows the passage to a subgroup of Γ of finite index will be harmless, and so we will assume from the outset that Γ is *neat*. This means that for every finite dimensional representation $\rho : G_{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ the subgroup of \mathbb{C}^\times generated by the eigenvalues of elements of $\rho(\Gamma)$ has no torsion (actually it suffices to verify this for just one faithful representation). This implies that the arithmetically defined subquotients of Γ are torsion free. The action of Γ on \mathbb{X} is then proper and free so that the orbit space \mathbb{X}_Γ is complex manifold. The Baily-Borel compactification, which we will presently recall, shows that \mathbb{X}_Γ has even the structure of nonsingular quasi-projective variety.

A central role in the Baily-Borel theory is played by the collection $\mathcal{P}_{\max} = \mathcal{P}_{\max}(G)$ of maximal proper parabolic subgroups of G defined over \mathbb{Q} and so let us fix some $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\max}$. We review the structure of P and the way it acts on \mathbb{X} . Its unipotent radical $R_u(P) \subseteq P$ is at most 2-step unipotent: if $U_P \subseteq R_u(P)$ denotes its center (a nontrivial vector group), then $V_P := R_u(P)/U_P$ is also a (possibly trivial) vector group. Adopting the convention to denote the associated Lie algebras by the corresponding Fraktur font, then the Lie bracket defines an antisymmetric

bilinear map $\mathfrak{v}_P \times \mathfrak{v}_P \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}_P$. This map is equivariant with respect to the adjoint action of P on these vector spaces. Note that P acts on \mathfrak{u}_P and \mathfrak{v}_P through its Levi quotient $L_P := P/R_u(P)$. The reductive group L_P has in \mathfrak{u}_P a distinguished open orbit that is a strictly convex cone C_P having the property that if we exponentiate $\sqrt{-1}C_P$ to a semigroup in $G_{\mathbb{C}}$, then this semigroup leaves \mathbb{X} invariant (think of the upper half plane in \mathbb{C} that is invariant under the semigroup of translations in $\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}_{>0}$). The G -stabilizer of \mathfrak{u}_P is P and so \mathfrak{u}_P determines P . This gives rise to a partial order \leq on \mathcal{P}_{\max} by stipulating that $Q \leq P$ in case $\mathfrak{u}_Q \subseteq \mathfrak{u}_P$ (in \mathfrak{g}). This last property is equivalent to $C_Q \subseteq \overline{C}_P$. The other (non-maximal) \mathbb{Q} -parabolic subgroups of G are obtained from chains in \mathcal{P}_{\max} : for a chain $P_0 < P_1 < \cdots < P_n$ in \mathcal{P}_{\max} , $P := P_0 \cap \cdots \cap P_n$ is a \mathbb{Q} -parabolic subgroup. It has the property that its unipotent radical contains the unipotent radical of each P_i : $\cup_{i=1}^n R_u(P_i) \subseteq R_u(P)$.

For $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\max}$, the \mathbb{Q} -split center A_P of L_P is isomorphic as such to the multiplicative group (and so $A_P \cong \mathbb{R}^{\times}$). It acts on \mathfrak{v}_P by a faithful character (multiplication by scalars) and on \mathfrak{u}_P by the square of that character (so that it indeed preserves C_P). The *horizontal subgroup* $M_P^h \subseteq L_P$ (for some authors the superscript stands for *hermitian*) is the kernel of the action of L_P on \mathfrak{u}_P . This is a reductive subgroup defined over \mathbb{Q} with compact center. The centralizer of M_P^h in L_P is a reductive \mathbb{Q} -subgroup whose commutator subgroup we denote by M_P^ℓ (we like to think that the symbol ℓ should refer to *link* rather than *linear*—the explanation for this terminology will become clear below). This group acts in such a manner on the real projectivization of C_P (in the projective space of \mathfrak{u}_P) that the latter is the symmetric space of M_P^ℓ . So we may regard C_P as the symmetric space of $L_P^\ell := M_P^\ell \cdot A_P$. The group L_P^ℓ supplements M_P^h in L_P up to a finite central subgroup. We denote the preimage of L_P^ℓ in P by P^ℓ .

The action of P on \mathbb{X} is still transitive. Important for what follows is that the formation of the P^ℓ -orbit space of \mathbb{X} remains in the holomorphic category: it defines a holomorphic submersion of complex manifolds $\mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(P)$, with $\mathbb{X}(P)$ appearing as the symmetric domain of M_P^h . This is called a *rational boundary component* of \mathbb{X} . The P^ℓ -orbits in \mathbb{X} (so the fibers of $\mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(P)$) are also orbits of the semi-subgroup $R_u(P) + \exp(\sqrt{-1}C_P) \subseteq G_{\mathbb{C}}$ in \mathbb{X} and this description is essentially an abstract way of a realizing \mathbb{X} as a Siegel domain of the third kind. To be precise, we have a natural factorization of $\rho_P : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(P)$:

$$(3.1) \quad \rho_P : \mathbb{X} \xrightarrow{\rho'_P} \mathbb{X}(P)' \xrightarrow{\rho''_P} \mathbb{X}(P),$$

where the first map ρ'_P is a bundle of tube domains (a ‘torsor’ over $\mathbb{X}(P)'$ for the semigroup $\exp(\mathfrak{u} + \sqrt{-1}C_P)$) and the second map ρ''_P is a principal bundle of the vector group $V_P = \exp(\mathfrak{v}_P)$ (so a bundle of affine spaces). The latter has also the structure of a complex affine space bundle, but beware that this complex structure on a fibre (which can be given as a complex structure on its translation space \mathfrak{v}) will in general vary with the base point. The map $M_P^h \rightarrow L_P/L_P^\ell = P/P^\ell$ is an isogeny: it is onto and has finite kernel. We write G_P for P/P^ℓ . The action of M_P^h on $\mathbb{X}(P)$ is through this quotient and we prefer to regard $\mathbb{X}(P)$ as the symmetric space of the quotient G_P of P rather than of the subquotient M_P^h of P (see the example of the symplectic group below).

Every $Q \in \mathcal{P}_{\max}(G)$ with $Q > P$ has by definition the property that $\mathfrak{u}_Q \supset \mathfrak{u}_P$. But it is then even true that $Q^\ell \supset P^\ell$ and so the projection $\rho_Q : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(Q)$ factors through $\rho_P : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(P)$ via a morphism that we shall denote by $\rho_{Q/P} : \mathbb{X}(P) \rightarrow$

$\mathbb{X}(Q)$. The latter can be understood as the formation of a rational boundary component of $\mathbb{X}(P)$. Indeed, Q defines a maximal proper parabolic subgroup of G_P , namely the image of $Q \cap L_P$ in $L_P/L_P^\ell = G_P$ (that we shall denote by Q/P). This identifies $\mathcal{P}_{\max}(G_P)$ as a partially ordered set with $\mathcal{P}_{\max}(G)_{>P}$. The unipotent radical of Q/P is the image of $R_u(P) \cap R_u(Q)$ in Q/P . Its center $U_{Q/P}$ is the image of $U_Q, U_Q/U_Q \cap R_u(P)$. Similarly, the cone $C_{Q/P} \subseteq \mathfrak{u}_{Q/P}$ is the image of $C_Q \subseteq \mathfrak{u}_Q$ under the projection $\mathfrak{u}_Q \rightarrow \mathfrak{u}_Q/\mathfrak{u}_Q \cap R_u(\mathfrak{p}) \cong \mathfrak{u}_{Q/P}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{u}_P \subseteq \mathfrak{u}_Q & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{u}_Q/\mathfrak{u}_Q \cap R_u(\mathfrak{p}) \cong \mathfrak{u}_{Q/P} \\ \cup & & \cup \\ C_P \leq C_Q & \longrightarrow & C_{Q/P}. \end{array}$$

We define the *Satake extension* of \mathbb{X} as a ringed space. As a set it is the disjoint union

$$\mathbb{X}^{\text{bb}} := \mathbb{X} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{P \in \mathcal{P}_{\max}} \mathbb{X}(P).$$

It is endowed with the *horocyclic topology*: the topology generated by the open subsets of \mathbb{X} and the subsets Ω^{bb_P} , where $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\max}$ and $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{X}$ is open and invariant under both the semigroup $\sqrt{-1}C_P$ and the group $\Gamma \cap P^\ell$, and

$$\Omega^{\text{bb}_P} := \Omega \sqcup \bigsqcup_{Q \in \mathcal{P}_{\max}; Q \leq P} \rho_Q(\Omega).$$

Since $\Gamma \cap R_u(P)$ is cocompact in $R_u(P)$, we may replace here invariance under $\Gamma \cap P^\ell$ by invariance under $R_u(P) \cdot (\Gamma \cap P^\ell)$ (but not in general by invariance under P^ℓ). Yet this topology is independent of Γ : it only depends on the \mathbb{Q} -structure on \mathcal{G} . This construction is natural in the sense that the closure of any rational boundary component in \mathbb{X}^{bb} can be identified with its Satake extension. The structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}^{\text{bb}}}$ is the sheaf of complex-valued continuous functions that are holomorphic on every stratum $\mathbb{X}(P)$. It is clear that Γ acts on this ringed space. The main theorem of Baily-Borel asserts among other things that the orbit space $(\mathbb{X}_\Gamma^{\text{bb}}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}_\Gamma^{\text{bb}}})$ is as a ringed space a normal compact analytic space that underlies the structure of normal projective variety and by a theorem of Chow, this projective structure is then unique. Moreover, the decomposition of \mathbb{X}^{bb} into \mathbb{X} and its rational boundary components defines a decomposition of $\mathbb{X}_\Gamma^{\text{bb}}$ into nonsingular subvarieties (strata) such that the closure of any of these is a union thereof. Any stratum is of the same type as \mathbb{X}_Γ : it is of the form $\mathbb{X}(P)_{\Gamma(G_P)}$ and hence has its own Baily-Borel compactification. The preceding shows that the Baily-Borel compactification of a stratum maps homeomorphically onto its closure in $\mathbb{X}_\Gamma^{\text{bb}}$. This map is also morphism of varieties (that could be an isomorphism, but it is conceivable that this closure is not normal). This shows among other things:

Corollary 3.1. *The retractions $\{\rho_P : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(P)\}_{P \in \mathcal{P}_{\max}}$ endow the Baily-Borel stratification of $\mathbb{X}_\Gamma^{\text{bb}}$ with a natural system ρ_Γ^{bb} of retractions, thus making it a rigidified stratified space.*

Satake extension of automorphic bundles. Let \mathcal{F} be an *automorphic vector bundle* on \mathbb{X} , that is, a complex vector bundle on \mathbb{X} endowed with a G -action lifting the one on \mathbb{X} in such a manner that for some (and hence for any) $x \in \mathbb{X}$ the copy of $U(1)$ in the stabilizer G_x acts also complex linearly on the fiber $\mathcal{F}(x)$. Such a vector

bundle is completely given by the action of G_x on the complex vector space $\mathcal{F}(x)$ and conversely, any finite dimensional complex representation of G_x defines such a vector bundle. The bundle \mathcal{F} with its G -action extends to the compact dual \mathbb{X} as a vector bundle with $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ -action and this extension (which we denote by $\check{\mathcal{F}}$) is unique. This is because the G_x -action on the complex vector space $\mathcal{F}(x)$ extends to one of the complexification $G_{x,\mathbb{C}}$ of G_x , and $G_{x,\mathbb{C}}$ is just the $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ -stabilizer of x . Since the $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ -bundle $\check{\mathcal{F}}$ is defined in the holomorphic category, it follows that \mathcal{F} comes with a G -invariant holomorphic structure.

Given $x \in \mathbb{X}$, the compactness of G_x implies that G_x leaves invariant an inner product in the fiber $\mathcal{F}(x)$. This inner product then extends in a unique manner to a G -invariant inner product h on \mathcal{F} . As is well-known, we then have a unique hermitian connection ∇ on (\mathcal{F}, h) whose $(0, 1)$ -part is zero on local holomorphic sections. This connection is of course also G -invariant. It is in fact independent of h . This is clear when $\mathcal{F}(x)$ is irreducible as a representation of G_x , for then the inner product is unique up to scalar and the general case then follows from this by decomposing $\mathcal{F}(x)$ into irreducible subrepresentations. So we have canonically associated Chern forms $C_n(\mathcal{F}) = C_n(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$ on \mathbb{X} . Such a form is harmonic relative to a G -invariant metric on \mathbb{X} , is G -invariant and of Hodge bidegree (n, n) . The G -equivariance allows us to descend all of this to \mathbb{X}_{Γ} , so that we get a holomorphic bundle \mathcal{F}_{Γ} with connection on \mathbb{X}_{Γ} whose Chern forms pull back to the ones of (\mathcal{F}, ∇) . The G -invariant connection ∇ will in general not extend to $\check{\mathcal{F}}$ and neither will the associated Chern forms.

Lemma 3.2. *The action of the semigroup $R_u(P) \exp(\sqrt{-1}C_P)$ on \mathbb{X} defines a natural flat ρ_P -connection on \mathcal{F} . This identifies \mathcal{F} with the ρ_P -pull-back of a vector bundle $\mathcal{F}(P)$ on $\mathbb{X}(P)$ with $P/R_u(P)$ -action (lifting the obvious $P/R_u(P)$ -action on $\mathbb{X}(P)$). In particular, $\mathcal{F}(P)$ is automorphic relative the M_P^h -action on $\mathbb{X}(P)$ with L_P^{ℓ} acting (possibly nontrivially) as a group of bundle automorphisms over $\mathbb{X}(P)$.*

Finally, for any chain $P < P_1 < \dots < P_n$ in \mathcal{P}_{\max} , the flat ρ_{P_k} -connection on \mathcal{F} , when regarded as a ρ_P -connection, differs from the flat ρ_P -connection by a differential that takes its values in the nilpotent Lie algebra $R_u(\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{p}_n)$.

Proof. Recall that the morphism $\rho_P : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(P)$ is a principal bundle for the semisubgroup $R_u(P) \exp(\sqrt{-1}C_P)$ of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ and so the restriction of \mathcal{F} is canonically trivialized as a complex vector bundle along the fibers of ρ_P . This trivialization can be made holomorphic. We show this by means of the factorization (3.1): the fibers of the first factor $\rho'_P : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(P)'$ are orbits of the semisubgroup $\exp(\mathfrak{u}_P + \sqrt{-1}C_P) \subseteq U_P(\mathbb{C})$ and so over such orbits we get a holomorphic trivialization: we end up with a vector bundle \mathcal{F}' on $\mathbb{X}(P)'$ such that \mathcal{F} is identified with the pull-back of \mathcal{F}' along ρ'_P .

The second factor $\rho''_P : \mathbb{X}(P)' \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(P)$ is a torsor for the vector group $V_P = \exp(\mathfrak{v}_P)$. Thus the trivial vector bundle over $\mathbb{X}(P)$ with fiber V_P has a holomorphic structure yielding a holomorphic vector bundle over $\mathbb{X}(P)$ (whose total space we denote by \mathbb{V}_P) such that for every holomorphic local section σ of $\mathbb{X}(P)' \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(P)$ with domain N , the map $\mathbb{V}_P|_N \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(P)'|_N$, $v_z \mapsto v_z + \sigma(z)$ is biholomorphic. Any trivialization of $\mathbb{V}_P|_N$ then yields a holomorphic trivialization of $\mathbb{X}(P)'|_N \rightarrow N$ that gets covered by a trivialization of \mathcal{F}' over $\mathbb{X}(P)'|_N$. This defines the natural flat ρ_P -connection on \mathcal{F} .

It is clear that the flat ρ_P -connection induced by P_k differs by the one defined by P by a differential that takes values in the complexification of $R_u(\mathfrak{p}) + R_u(\mathfrak{p}_k)$. But this last space is contained in the nilpotent Lie algebra $R_u(\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{p}_n)$. \square

The quotient of \mathcal{F} by the Γ -action gives a holomorphic vector bundle \mathcal{F}_Γ on \mathbb{X}_Γ . The following corollary somewhat sharpens the main result of [7].

Corollary-definition 3.3. *The bundle \mathcal{F}_Γ on \mathbb{X}_Γ admits a natural flat ρ_Γ^{bb} -connection $\nabla_{\rho_\Gamma^{\text{bb}}}$. This structure is isoholonomic so that we have defined the Goresky-Pardon Chern class lift $c_k^{\text{gp}}(\mathcal{F}_\Gamma) := c_k(\mathcal{F}_\Gamma, \nabla_{\rho_\Gamma^{\text{bb}}}) \in H^{2k}(\mathbb{X}_\Gamma^{\text{bb}}; \mathbb{R})$, $k = 0, 1, \dots$. The restriction of such a class to the closure of a stratum is of the same type (it is the Chern class of an automorphic bundle on that stratum).*

Proof. It is clear that Lemma 3.2 produces a flat ρ_Γ^{bb} -connection $\nabla_{\rho_\Gamma^{\text{bb}}}$ on \mathcal{F}_Γ . What remains to see is that this structure is isoholonomic. The lemma in question identifies \mathcal{F} in a P -equivariant manner with the ρ_P -pull-back of a vector bundle $\mathcal{F}(P)$ on $\mathbb{X}(P)$. We may cover $\mathbb{X}(P)$ by open subsets $V \subseteq \mathbb{X}(P)$ such that $\mathcal{F}(P)|_V$ is trivial and the Γ -stabilizer of V is in fact its Γ_P -stabilizer. Such a V then embeds as an open subset in a Baily-Borel stratum $\mathbb{X}(P)_{\Gamma(P)}$ of $\mathbb{X}_\Gamma^{\text{bb}}$ and a trivialization of $\mathcal{F}(P)|_V$ yields the flat connection that is being asked for. \square

Remark 3.4. The argument used in the proof of Lemma 3.2 can probably be extended to prove that the automorphic bundles \mathcal{F} and $\{\mathcal{F}(P)\}_{P \in \mathcal{P}_{\max}}$ define a bundle \mathcal{F}^{bb} over the Satake extension \mathbb{X}^{bb} in the sense that it becomes a locally free module over the structure sheaf of \mathbb{X}^{bb} . This has some interest, as it may somewhat simplify the proof in [16] of Conjecture 9.5 of [8]. But since Γ defines an arithmetic subgroup of L_P^ℓ which then may act nontrivially in the fibers of $\mathcal{F}(P)$, $\mathcal{F}_\Gamma^{\text{bb}}$ will in general not be a locally free module over the structure sheaf of $\mathbb{X}_\Gamma^{\text{bb}}$.

Example 3.5. A symplectic group and its Hodge bundle. Let be given a finite dimensional real vector space V endowed with a nondegenerate symplectic form $a : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The automorphism group of (V, a) defines an almost simple algebraic group defined over \mathbb{R} whose group of real resp. complex points (endowed with the Hausdorff topology) is $\text{Sp}(V)$ resp. $\text{Sp}(V_\mathbb{C})$. Let $h : V_\mathbb{C} \times V_\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the Hermitian form defined by $h(v, v') := \sqrt{-1}a_\mathbb{C}(v, \bar{v}')$. It has signature (g, g) . The associated symmetric space of $\text{Sp}(V)$ and its compact dual are obtained as follows: $\check{\mathbb{X}} = \check{\mathbb{H}}(V)$ is the locus in the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}_g(V_\mathbb{C})$ which parametrizes the g -dimensional subspaces $F \subseteq V_\mathbb{C}$ that are totally isotropic relative to $a_\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{H}(V)$ is the open subset of $\check{\mathbb{H}}(V)$ parametrizing those F on which in addition h is positive definite. The group $\text{Sp}(V)$ indeed acts transitively on $\mathbb{H}(V)$ and the stabilizer of any $[F] \in \mathbb{H}(V)$ restricts isomorphically to the unitary group $U(F)$, which is a maximal compact subgroup of $\text{Sp}(V)$. The restriction of the tautological rank g bundle on $\text{Gr}_g(V_\mathbb{C})$ to $\mathbb{H}(V)$ resp. $\check{\mathbb{H}}(V)$ is an automorphic bundle $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_V$ resp. its natural extension $\check{\mathcal{F}}$ to $\check{\mathbb{H}}(V)$. For $[F] \in \mathbb{H}(V)$ we have $V_\mathbb{C} = F \oplus \bar{F}$ and so F is the $(1, 0)$ -part of a Hodge structure on V of weight 1 polarized by a . Thus $\mathbb{H}(V)$ also parametrizes polarized Hodge structures on V of this type. For this reason, \mathcal{F} is often called the *Hodge bundle*. Notice that h defines on \mathcal{F} an inner product (that we continue to denote by h).

Now assume V and a are defined over \mathbb{Q} so that our group is also defined over \mathbb{Q} . A maximal proper \mathbb{Q} -parabolic subgroup $P \subseteq \text{Sp}(V)$ is the $\text{Sp}(V)$ -stabilizer of a nonzero isotropic subspace $I \subseteq V$ defined over \mathbb{Q} and vice versa. So \mathcal{P}_{\max} may

be identified with the set $\mathcal{J}(V)$ of nonzero \mathbb{Q} -isotropic subspaces of V . We will therefore index our objects accordingly.

Let $I \in \mathcal{J}(V)$ and write V'_I for V/I and $V_I \subseteq V'_I$ for I^\perp/I . Note that the symplectic form identifies V'_I with the dual of I^\perp and induces on V_I a nondegenerate symplectic form. Then the unipotent radical $R_u(P_I)$ of P_I is the subgroup that acts trivially on I and V_I ; note that it then also acts trivially on V/I^\perp . This identifies the Levi quotient L_I of P_I with $\mathrm{GL}(I) \times \mathrm{Sp}(V_I)$. The center U_I of $R_u(P_I)$ is the subgroup that acts trivially on I^\perp (or equivalently, on V'_I). Its (abelian) Lie algebra \mathfrak{u}_I can be identified with $\mathrm{Sym}^2 I \subseteq \mathrm{Sym}^2 V \cong \mathfrak{g}$ and $C_I \subseteq \mathrm{Sym}^2 I$ is the cone of positive definite elements. This identifies $R_u(P_I)/U_I$ with a group of elements in $\mathrm{GL}(I^\perp)$ that act trivially on both I and V_I ; this group is abelian with Lie algebra $\mathrm{Hom}(V_I, I)$ (which we shall identify with $I \otimes V_I$ by means of the nondegenerate symplectic form on V_I). The central subgroup $A_I \subseteq P_I$ appears here as the group of scalars in $\mathrm{GL}(I)$, hence is a copy of \mathbb{R}^\times . The adjoint action of $L_I = \mathrm{GL}(I) \times \mathrm{Sp}(V_I)$ on this Lie algebra is the obvious one. In terms of these isomorphisms, $M_I^h = \{\pm 1_I\} \times \mathrm{Sp}(V_I)$, $M_I^\ell = \mathrm{SL}(I)$, $L_I^\ell = \mathrm{GL}(I)$, $G_I = \mathrm{Sp}(V_I)$ and $P_I^\ell \subseteq G_I$ is the group that acts as ± 1 on V_I .

We next describe the maps $\mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(P_I)' \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(P_I)$. For this we note that for $[F] \in \mathbb{H}(V)$, the projection $F \rightarrow V'_{I,\mathbb{C}}$ is into and the projection $F \rightarrow V_{\mathbb{C}}/I_{\mathbb{C}}^\perp \cong I_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ is onto with kernel $F \cap I_{\mathbb{C}}^\perp$ projecting isomorphically onto a subspace of $V_{I,\mathbb{C}}$ that defines an element of $\mathbb{H}(V_I)$. If we denote by $\mathbb{H}(V'_I)$ the subspace of Grassmannian of $V'_{I,\mathbb{C}}$ parameterizing the subspaces whose intersection with $V_{I,\mathbb{C}}$ defines an element of $\mathbb{H}(V_I)$, then we obtain a diagram

$$\rho_I : \mathbb{X} = \mathbb{H}(V) \xrightarrow{\rho'_I} \mathbb{X}(P_I)' = \mathbb{H}(V'_I) \xrightarrow{\rho''_I} \mathbb{X}(P_I) = \mathbb{H}(V_I),$$

where the maps are the obvious ones. It is clear that \mathcal{F}_V is the ρ'_I -pull-back of the tautological bundle $\mathcal{F}_{V'_I}$ over $\mathbb{H}(V'_I)$. We note that $\mathbb{H}(V'_I) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}(V_I)$ is a principal bundle for the vector group $\mathrm{Hom}(V/I^\perp, V_I) \cong V_I \otimes I$ and that this $V_I \otimes I$ -action lifts to $\mathcal{F}_{V'_I}$.

Let us determine the flat ρ''_I -connection on $\mathcal{F}_{V'_I}$. First observe that any subspace $F'' \subseteq V_I$ that defines an element of $\mathbb{H}(V_I)$ determines a complex structure on V_I characterized by the property that the \mathbb{R} -linear isomorphism $V_I \subseteq V_{I,\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow V_{I,\mathbb{C}}/F'' \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(F'', \mathbb{C})$ is in fact \mathbb{C} -linear. This gives the constant vector bundle on $\mathbb{H}(V_I)$ with fiber V_I a holomorphic structure (which can be identified with the total space of the dual $\mathcal{F}_{V_I}^\vee$ of \mathcal{F}_{V_I}). Hence the constant vector bundle on $\mathbb{H}(V_I)$ with fiber $V_I \otimes I$ also acquires a holomorphic structure (namely as the total space of $\mathcal{F}_{V_I}^\vee \otimes I$); let us denote that total space by \mathbb{V}_I . Then $\rho''_I : \mathbb{H}(V'_I) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}(V_I)$ is a \mathbb{V}_I -torsor in the complex-analytic category. A local section of ρ''_I identifies $\mathcal{F}_{V'_I}$ with the pull-back along ρ''_I of $\mathcal{F}_{V_I} \oplus (\mathcal{O}_{V_I} \otimes I^*)$, with the group $L_I = \mathrm{Sp}(V_I) \times \mathrm{GL}(I)$ acting in the obvious way. This gives the flat ρ''_I -connection on $\mathcal{F}_{V'_I}$.

The preceding also makes it clear that $P_I \leq P_J$ is equivalent to $I \subseteq J$. In other words, $(\mathcal{P}_{\max}, \leq)$ is identified with $(\mathcal{J}(V), \subseteq)$. Note that for an inclusion $I \subseteq J$ of \mathbb{Q} -isotropic subspaces, the diagram involving the associated cones is

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Sym}^2 I \subseteq \mathrm{Sym}^2 J & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Sym}^2(J/I) \\ \cup & & \cup \\ C_I \leq C_J & \longrightarrow & C_{J/I}. \end{array}$$

4. RESOLVING A BAILY-BOREL COMPACTIFICATION AS A STRATIFIED SPACE

In this section we describe the data needed for Mumford's toroidal compactifications introduced in [1] and explain how this compares with the Baily-Borel construction. We will then show:

Theorem 4.1. *Every toroidal resolution $\pi : \tilde{\mathbb{X}} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_\Gamma^{\text{bb}}$ of the Baily-Borel compactification has the property that for every Baily-Borel stratum, the holonomy of \mathcal{F}_Γ relative to its local retraction is trivial over the preimage of that stratum in $\tilde{\mathbb{X}}$ so that (by Proposition 2.5) \mathcal{F}_Γ extends naturally to a holomorphic vector bundle $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_\Gamma$ on \tilde{X} and we have $\pi^* c_k^{\text{gp}}(\mathcal{F}_\Gamma) = c_k(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})_\mathbb{C}$. We can choose such a resolution to be part of a stratified resolution of $\mathbb{X}_\Gamma^{\text{bb}}$ so that (by Theorem 2.8) $c_k^{\text{gp}}(\mathcal{F}_\Gamma) \in F^k H^k(\mathbb{X}_\Gamma^{\text{bb}}; \mathbb{C})$.*

For what follows it is, as a matter of notation, convenient to pretend that G is also a maximal \mathbb{Q} -parabolic subgroup: since $R_u(G)$ is trivial we have $C_G = \mathfrak{u}_G = \mathfrak{v}_G = 0$ and we take $G^\ell = \{1\}$, $\mathbb{X}(G) = \mathbb{X}$. We write $\mathcal{P}_{\max}^* = \mathcal{P}_{\max} \cup \{G\}$ for the corresponding collection. A partial order \leq on \mathcal{P}_{\max}^* is defined as before and has G as its minimal element.

The cones $\{C_P\}_{P \in \mathcal{P}_{\max}^*}$ are pairwise disjoint as subsets of \mathfrak{g} . We denote their union by $C(\mathfrak{g})$ and write C_P^+ for the union of all the C_Q with $Q \leq P$ with $Q \in \mathcal{P}_{\max}^*$ (so $0 \in C_P^+$). Then C_P^+ is the closure of C_P in $C(\mathfrak{g})$ and is spanned by $\overline{C}_P \cap \mathfrak{v}_P(\mathbb{Q})$. Now $\Gamma_P := \Gamma \cap P$ is an arithmetic subgroup of P . In particular, $\Gamma \cap R_u(P)$ is an extension of a lattice (namely the image of Γ_P in V_P) by a lattice (namely $\Gamma \cap U_P$). The image of Γ_P in $\text{GL}(\mathfrak{u}_P)$ preserves the lattice $\log(\Gamma \cap U_P)$ in \mathfrak{u}_P and therefore acts properly discretely on C_P . An important feature of this action is that it has in C_P^+ a fundamental domain that is a *rational polyhedral cone* (i.e., the convex cone spanned by a finite subset of $\log(\Gamma \cap U_P)$). The extra ingredient needed for a toroidal compactification is a Γ -admissible decomposition of $C(\mathfrak{g})$, that is, a Γ -invariant collection Σ of rational polyhedral cones that is closed under 'taking faces' and 'taking intersections', whose relative interiors are pairwise disjoint and whose union is $C(\mathfrak{g})$. It is a basic fact [1] that Γ -admissible decompositions exist and that any two such have a common refinement.

Given such a Σ , then the restriction of Σ to the open cone C_P , $\Sigma|_{C_P}$, defines a relative torus embedding $\mathbb{X}_{\Gamma \cap U_P} \subseteq \mathbb{X}_{\Gamma \cap U_P}^{\Sigma|_{C_P}}$ over $\mathbb{X}(P)'$. (Strictly speaking, there is not really a torus acting but rather an open semigroup in a torus, namely the image of $\mathfrak{u} + \sqrt{-1}C_P$ in $U_P(\mathbb{C})/(\Gamma \cap U_P)$ under the exponential map.) The result is a normal analytic variety with an action of the semigroup $\mathfrak{u} + \sqrt{-1}C_P$ and which has toroidal singularities. The group $\Gamma_P/(\Gamma_P \cap U_P)$ acts on it properly discontinuously. When we subsequently divide out by the image $\Gamma(V_P)$ of $\Gamma \cap R_u(P)$ in V_P (which is just a lattice) we get a family of toroidal embeddings over $\mathbb{X}(P)'_{\Gamma(V_P)}$ where the latter is now the total space of a family of abelian varieties $\mathbb{X}(P)'_{\Gamma(V_P)} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(P)$ (or rather a torsor thereof). If we divide out by $\Gamma_P/(\Gamma_P \cap U_P)$ instead we get an abelian torsor with base the Baily-Borel stratum $\mathbb{X}(P)_{\Gamma(G_P)}$ and $\mathbb{X}_{\Gamma_P} \subseteq \mathbb{X}_{\Gamma_P}^{\Sigma|_{C_P}}$ appears as a toroidal embedding over the total space of this torsor.

It is perhaps more transparent, and also more in the Satake-Baily-Borel spirit, to do this construction before dividing out by $\Gamma_P \cap U_P$, that is, to first introduce a Γ -equivariant extension \mathbb{X}^Σ of \mathbb{X} of ringed spaces. This brings us, like the Satake extension, outside the realm of analytic spaces, but the advantage of this approach

is that it allows us to concisely describe the maps that exist between various compactifications. Here is how to proceed. For every $\sigma \in \Sigma$ we can form a holomorphic quotient $\rho_\sigma : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(\sigma)$. This map can be understood as the inclusion of \mathbb{X} in its $\exp(\langle \sigma \rangle_{\mathbb{C}})$ -orbit in the compact dual $\check{\mathbb{X}}$ of \mathbb{X} (\mathbb{X} is an open subset of $\check{\mathbb{X}}$) followed by the formation of the $\exp(\langle \sigma \rangle_{\mathbb{C}})$ -orbit space. Alternatively, ρ_σ is the formation of the quotient of \mathbb{X} with respect to the equivalence relation generated by the relation $z \sim z' \Leftrightarrow z' \in \exp(\langle \sigma \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} + \sqrt{-1}\sigma)z$. We let \mathbb{X}^Σ be the disjoint union of the $\mathbb{X}(\sigma)$'s (this includes $\mathbb{X} = \mathbb{X}(\{0\})$) and equip this union with the topology generated by the open subsets of \mathbb{X} and those of the form $\Omega^{\text{bb},\sigma}$, where $\sigma \in \Sigma$, $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{X}$ is an open subset invariant under the semigroup $\exp(\langle \sigma \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} + \sqrt{-1}\sigma)$, and

$$\Omega^{\text{bb},\sigma} := \bigsqcup_{\tau \in \Sigma; \tau \subseteq \bar{\sigma}} \rho_\tau(\Omega)$$

(note that Ω appears in this union for $\tau = \{0\}$). The structure sheaf is the sheaf of complex valued continuous functions that are holomorphic on each stratum. Note that when $\sigma \subseteq C_P$, the map $\rho'_P : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(P)'$ factors through $\mathbb{X}(\sigma)$. It is then clear that the composite projections $\mathbb{X}(\sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(P)' \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(P)$ combine to define a continuous Γ -equivariant morphism $\pi^\Sigma : \mathbb{X}^\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{X}^{\text{bb}}$ of locally ringed spaces, whose restriction over $\mathbb{X}(P)$ in fact factors over $\mathbb{X}(P)'$. This drops to a morphism $\pi_\Gamma^\Sigma : \mathbb{X}_\Gamma^\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_\Gamma^{\text{bb}}$ in the analytic category which has the property that it factors over a Baily-Borel stratum through the abelian torsor that lies over it. We can now prove part of Theorem 4.1.

Lemma 4.2. *The retractions $\mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(\sigma)$ turn \mathbb{X}_Γ^Σ into a rigidified stratified space such that $\pi_\Gamma^\Sigma : \mathbb{X}_\Gamma^\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{X}_\Gamma^{\text{bb}}$ is a morphism in this category. An automorphic bundle \mathcal{F}_Γ on \mathbb{X}_Γ^Σ satisfies the hypotheses of the last clause of Proposition 2.5 with respect to \mathbb{X}_Γ^Σ and so the total Chern class of the resulting extension $\mathcal{F}_\Gamma^\Sigma$ to \mathbb{X}_Γ^Σ (with complex coefficients) equals $(\pi_\Gamma^\Sigma)^* \text{c}^{\text{SP}}(\mathcal{F}_\Gamma)$.*

Proof. Let $\sigma \in \Sigma$ be such that its relative interior is contained in C_P . Then ρ_P (whose fibers are orbits of $R_u(P) \exp(\sqrt{-1}C_P)$) factors through ρ_σ (whose fibers are orbits $\exp(\langle \sigma \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} + \sqrt{-1}\sigma)$). This proves the first assertion. The resulting local flat connections ∇_{ρ_σ} on our automorphic bundle are compatible: if $\tau \in \Sigma$ is a face of σ , then $\exp(\langle \tau \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} + \sqrt{-1}\tau) \subseteq \exp(\langle \sigma \rangle_{\mathbb{R}} + \sqrt{-1}\sigma)$ and so the local flat connection associated to τ induces the one associated to σ . \square

Remark 4.3. The extension $\mathcal{F}_\Gamma^\Sigma$ of \mathcal{F}_Γ across \mathbb{X}_Γ^Σ appears at various places in the literature; it is the canonical extension described in [10]. When \mathcal{F} belongs to the Hodge filtration of a locally homogeneous variation of Hodge structure, then it is also the Deligne extension. Had we introduced the locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}^{\text{bb}}}$ -module \mathcal{F}^{bb} as in Remark 3.4, then we could say that $\pi^{\Sigma*} \mathcal{F}^{\text{bb}}$ is a locally free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{X}^\Sigma}$ -module with Γ -action and $\mathcal{F}_\Gamma^\Sigma$ would simply be its Γ -quotient (the Γ -stabilizer of every $x \in \mathbb{X}^\Sigma$ acts trivially on the fiber $\mathcal{F}^{\text{bb}}(x)$).

Let us say that the Γ -admissible decomposition Σ is *smooth* if each member is an integral simplicial cone (i.e., the cone spanned by an integral partial basis of $\log(\Gamma \cap U_P)$ for some P). This ensures that \mathbb{X}_Γ^Σ is smooth. In that case we will refer to \mathbb{X}_Γ^Σ simply as a *toroidal resolution* of $\mathbb{X}_\Gamma^{\text{bb}}$. Another basic fact is that any Γ -admissible decomposition admits a smooth refinement. The following proposition will complete the proof Theorem 4.1.

Theorem 4.4. *The Baily-Borel compactification $\mathbb{X}_\Gamma^{\text{bb}}$ admits a toroidal resolution relative to its natural stratification in the sense of Definition 2.10.*

Proof. In what follows we tacitly assume that the partitions Σ of $C(\mathfrak{g})$ we consider are so fine that for any $\sigma \in \Sigma$, the collection of $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\max}^*$ for which σ meets C_P^+ is a well-ordered subset of \mathcal{P}_{\max}^* . The same applies to partitions of the cones $C(\mathfrak{g}_P)$.

The first question we must address is the following. Let $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\max}$ and suppose we are given a Γ -admissible decomposition Σ of $C(\mathfrak{g})$ and a $\Gamma(G_P)$ -admissible decomposition $\Sigma(P)$ of $C(\mathfrak{g}_P)$. The former defines $\pi^\Sigma : \mathbb{X}^\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{X}^{\text{bb}}$ and the latter defines $\pi^{\Sigma(P)} : \mathbb{X}^{\Sigma(P)} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(P)^{\text{bb}}$ and we want to know when the restriction of π^Σ to the closure of $(\pi^\Sigma)^{-1}\mathbb{X}(P)$ in \mathbb{X}^Σ factors through $\pi^{\Sigma(P)}$. For $(\pi^\Sigma)^{-1}\mathbb{X}(P)$ itself there is no issue: we have a factorization $(\pi^\Sigma)^{-1}\mathbb{X}(P) \rightarrow \mathbb{X}^{\Sigma(P)} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}(P)^{\text{bb}}$.

A rational boundary component of $\mathbb{X}(P)^{\text{bb}} \setminus \mathbb{X}(P)$ is of the form $\mathbb{X}(Q)$, with $Q \in \mathcal{P}_{\max}$ such that $Q > P$, or equivalently, $C_P \subset C_Q^+$. A stratum of \mathbb{X}^Σ over $\mathbb{X}(Q)$ that lies in the closure of a stratum over $\mathbb{X}(P)$ is of the form $\mathbb{X}(\sigma)$, with $\sigma \in \Sigma|C_Q^+$ such that σ meets C_Q and $(\sigma \setminus \{0\}) \cap C_P^+$ is nonempty and contained in C_P (recall that $\mathbb{X}(\sigma)$ is the quotient of \mathbb{X} by the equivalence relation generated by $z \sim z' \Leftrightarrow z' \in \exp((\sigma)_\mathbb{R} + \sqrt{-1}\sigma)$). On the other hand, a stratum of $\mathbb{X}(P)^{\Sigma(P)}$ over $\mathbb{X}(Q)$ is of the form $\mathbb{X}(P)(\tau)$, where $\tau \in \Sigma(P)$ is such that the relative interior of τ lies in $C_{Q/P}$. We obtain it as a quotient of the equivalence relation on $\mathbb{X}(P)$ generated by $z \sim z' \Leftrightarrow z' \in \exp((\tau)_\mathbb{R} + \sqrt{-1}\tau)$. Let us now also recall that $C_{Q/P}$ is the image of C_Q under the projection $u_Q \rightarrow u_Q/u_Q \cap R_u(\mathfrak{p}) \cong u_{Q/P}$. So $\mathbb{X}(\sigma)$ maps onto $\mathbb{X}(\tau)$ if and only if this projection maps σ to the relative interior of τ . In other words, we want that this projection maps any member of Σ in the star of C_P in C_Q^+ to a member of $\Sigma(P)$.

This reduces the proposition to a combinatorial issue: we must construct for every $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\max}^*$ a $\Gamma(G_P)$ -admissible decomposition $\Sigma(P)$ of $C(\mathfrak{g}_P)$ such that

- (i) $\gamma \in \Gamma$ takes $\Sigma(P)$ to $\Sigma(\gamma P \gamma^{-1})$,
- (ii) for every chain of triples $Q \geq P \geq P_0$ in \mathcal{P}_{\max}^* , the projection

$$u_{Q/P_0} \cong u_Q/u_Q \cap R_u(\mathfrak{p}_0) \rightarrow u_Q/u_Q \cap R_u(\mathfrak{p}) \cong u_{Q/P}$$

maps every member of $\Sigma(P_0)$ in the star of C_{P/P_0} to a member of $\Sigma(P)$.

We begin with choosing a $\Sigma(Q)$ for every member Q of \mathcal{P}_{\max}^* that is maximal for \leq such that (i) is satisfied. We then proceed with downward induction on the partially ordered set $(\mathcal{P}_{\max}^*, \leq)$ and assume that we have constructed for every $P \in \mathcal{P}_{\max}$ a $\Gamma(G_P)$ -admissible decomposition $\Sigma(P)$ of $C(\mathfrak{g}_P)$ satisfying (i) and (ii), so that it remains to construct $\Sigma = \Sigma(G)$.

For every maximal element P of \mathcal{P}_{\max} we choose a rationally polyhedral cone $\Pi_P \subseteq C_P^+$ that is a fundamental domain for the action of Γ_P on C_P^+ in such a manner that $\Pi_{\gamma P} = \gamma(\Pi_P)$. For every face $Q \leq P$ such that $\Pi_P \cap C_Q \neq \emptyset$ the image of Π_P in $C_{P/Q}^+$ is a rationally polyhedral cone and so meets only a finite number of members of $\Sigma(Q)$. Hence the pull-back of $\Sigma(Q)$ to Π_P is a finite decomposition of Π_P into rationally polyhedral cones. The set of Q with $\Pi_P \cap C_Q \neq \emptyset$ is also finite and so the finitely intersections of these pull-backs make up a decomposition $\Sigma(\Pi_P)$ of Π_P into finitely many rationally polyhedral cones.

Now let P run over a system of representatives $\{P_i\}_{i=1}^r$ of the Γ -action in the collection of maximal elements of \mathcal{P}_{\max} . So for each i we have a rationally polyhedral cone Π_i and a decomposition $\Sigma(\Pi_i)$ of that cone. Choose a Γ -invariant admissible

decomposition Σ which refines each $\Sigma(\Pi_{P_i})$. After possibly refining once more we can arrange Σ that be smooth. It will then have the desired properties. \square

5. TATE EXTENSIONS IN THE STABLE COHOMOLOGY OF $\mathcal{A}_g^{\text{bb}}$

The stable cohomology of $\mathcal{A}_g^{\text{bb}}$. We here focus on what is perhaps the most ‘classical’ example and also is a special case of 3.5, namely the moduli stack \mathbb{A}_g of principally polarized abelian varieties. We shall prove that the stable cohomology of its Baily-Borel compactification contains nontrivial Tate extensions and carries Goresky-Pardon Chern classes that have nonzero imaginary part (and hence are not defined over \mathbb{Q}).

Let H stand for \mathbb{Z}^2 and endowed with standard symplectic form (characterized by $\langle e, e' \rangle = 1$ where (e, e') is its standard basis) and regard $H^g (= \mathbb{Z}^{2g})$ as a direct sum of symplectic lattices. In the notation of Example 3.5 we take for V the vector space $\mathbb{R} \otimes H^g (= \mathbb{R}^{2g})$ with its obvious rational symplectic structure so that we have defined the symmetric domain $\mathbb{H}_g := \mathbb{H}(\mathbb{R} \otimes H^g)$ and we take for Γ the integral symplectic group $\text{Sp}(H^g) (= \text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z}))$. Then \mathbb{A}_g can be identified with $\text{Sp}(H^g) \backslash \mathbb{H}_g$, when we think of the latter as a Deligne-Mumford stack. The Hodge bundle on \mathbb{H}_g descends to a rank g vector bundle \mathcal{F}_g on the stack $\text{Sp}(H^g) \backslash \mathbb{H}_g$. As such it has integral Chern classes. In what follows we will work mostly with cohomology with coefficients in \mathbb{Q} -vector spaces. Then the distinction between the stack \mathbb{A}_g and underlying coarse moduli space (that we shall denote by \mathcal{A}_g) becomes moot, for the natural map from \mathbb{A}_g (which has the homotopy type of $B\text{Sp}(2g, \mathbb{Z})$) to \mathcal{A}_g induces an isomorphism on rational (co)homology. The Hodge bundle on \mathbb{H}_g descends to a bundle \mathcal{F}_g on \mathbb{A}_g and thus we find $\text{ch}_k(\mathcal{F}_g) \in H^{2k}(\mathbb{A}_g; \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^{2k}(\mathcal{A}_g; \mathbb{Q})$. We will therefore pretend that \mathcal{F}_g is a vector bundle on \mathcal{A}_g . According to Charney and Lee [4], $H^k(\mathcal{A}_g^{\text{bb}}; \mathbb{Q})$ is independent of k for g sufficiently large. They prove that the direct sum of these stable cohomology spaces comes with the structure of a connected \mathbb{Q} -Hopf algebra H^\bullet whose primitive generators are classes $\widetilde{ch}_{2r+1} \in H^{4r+2}$ ($r \geq 0$) and classes $y_r \in H^{4r+2}$ ($r \geq 1$). For $g \gg r$, the image of $\widetilde{ch}_{2r+1} \in H^{4r+2}$ in $H^{4r+2}(\mathcal{A}_g; \mathbb{Q})$ is $\text{ch}_{2r+1}(\mathcal{F}_g)$ (which is known to be nonzero), whereas the image of y_r in $H^{4r+2}(\mathcal{A}_g; \mathbb{Q})$ is zero.

The class y_r is somewhat harder to describe: it comes from transgression of a primitive class in $H^{4r+1}(B\text{GL}(\mathbb{Z}); \mathbb{Q})$ about which we will say more below. Jiaming Chen and the author [5] have recently shown that the stability theorem holds if we take the mixed Hodge structure on $H^\bullet(\mathcal{A}_g^{\text{bb}}; \mathbb{Q})$ into account: H^\bullet inherits such a structure with H^k having weight $\leq k$ (for $\mathcal{A}_g^{\text{bb}}$ is compact) and $H^k/W_{k-1}H^k$ can be identified with $H^k(\mathcal{A}_g; \mathbb{Q})$ for g large. For $k = 4r + 2$ the image of \widetilde{ch}_{2r+1} in $H^{4r+2}(\mathcal{A}_g; \mathbb{Q})$ is $\text{ch}_{2r+1}(\mathcal{F}_g)$, which is of bidegree $(2r + 1, 2r + 1)$ (and nonzero for $g \gg r$), but y_r ($r \geq 1$) is of bidegree $(0, 0)$. So the primitive part H_{pr}^{4r+2} of H^{4r+2} is for $r \geq 1$ a Tate extension:

$$(5.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(0) \rightarrow H_{\text{pr}}^{4r+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(-2r - 1) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\mathbb{Q}(-2r - 1)$ is spanned by the image ch_{2r+1} of \widetilde{ch}_{2r+1} and $\mathbb{Q}(0)$ by the image of y_r . The inclusion $\mathbb{Q}(-2r - 1) \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ comes about by regarding $\mathbb{Q}(-2r - 1)$ (De Rham) version $(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{2r+1} \text{ch}_{2r+1}$ as the natural generator (it lies in $\mathbb{Q}(0)$).

In what follows we take g large enough to be in the stable range, so that this sequence appears in $H^{4r+2}(\mathcal{A}_g^{\text{bb}}, \mathbb{Q})$. By Theorem 4.1 (in combination with Remarks

2.6 and 2.9), the Goresky-Pardon Chern character $\text{ch}_{2r+1}^{\text{gp}}(\mathcal{F}_g)$ (being a universal polynomial with rational coefficients of weighted degree $2r + 1$ in the $c_i^{\text{gp}}(\mathcal{F}_g)$) is then a generator of $F^{2r+1}H_{\text{pr}}^{4r+2}$. This will help us determine the class of this extension. For this purpose we also need to know a bit more about y_r , when viewed as an element of $H^{4r+2}(\mathcal{A}_g^{\text{bb}}; \mathbb{Q})$. We will however not describe y_r , but rather a stable primitive homology class $z_r \in H_{4r+2}(\mathcal{A}_g^{\text{bb}}; \mathbb{Q})$ such that $\langle y_r, z_r \rangle \neq 0$. That will do, for then the map $x \in H_{\text{pr}}^{4r+2} \mapsto \langle x, z_r \rangle / \langle y_r, z_r \rangle \in \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}(0)$ splits the above sequence and so the extension class is given by the image of $\langle \text{ch}_{2r+1}^{\text{gp}}(\mathcal{F}_g), z_r \rangle$ in \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q} . We prefer to replace $\text{ch}_{2r+1}^{\text{gp}}(\mathcal{F}_g)$ by its De Rham variant $(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{2r+1} \text{ch}_{2r+1}^{\text{gp}}(\mathcal{F}_g)$, so that the class of this Tate extension becomes more like a period; it is then the image of $\langle (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{2r+1} \text{ch}_{2r+1}^{\text{gp}}(\mathcal{F}_g), z_r \rangle$ in $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(2r + 1)$. The following theorem implies that this extension is nontrivial and that the Goresky-Pardon Chern character has a nonzero imaginary part.

Theorem 5.1. *The class of the Tate extension (5.1) in $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(2r + 1)$ (which is given by the image of $\langle (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{2r+1} \text{ch}_{2r+1}^{\text{gp}}(\mathcal{F}_g), z_r \rangle$ in $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(2r + 1)$) is real and equal to a nonzero rational multiple of $\pi^{-2r-1}\zeta(2r + 1)$. In particular, the imaginary part of $\text{ch}_{2r+1}^{\text{gp}}(\mathcal{F}_g)$ is nonzero and its real part lies in $H^{4r+2}(\mathcal{A}_g^{\text{bb}}; \mathbb{Q})$.*

The computation uses Beilinson’s regulator for the field \mathbb{Q} , which involves among other things Deligne cohomology and the Cheeger-Simons classes. We recall what we need below, referring to Burgos’ very accessible exposition [3] as a general reference for this topic.

Refined Chern characters. For a smooth complex variety X there is defined the Deligne cohomology group $H_{\mathcal{D}}^{2p}(X, \mathbb{Z}(p))$ ($p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$). It fits in an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow J_p(X) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{D}}^{2p}(X; \mathbb{Z}(p)) \rightarrow F^p H^{2p}(X; \mathbb{Z}(p)) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $F^p H^{2p}(X, \mathbb{Z}(p))$ denotes the intersection of the image of $H^{2p}(X; \mathbb{Z}(p)) \rightarrow H^{2p}(X; \mathbb{C})$ with $F^p H^{2p}(X; \mathbb{C})$ and $J_p(X)$ is an abelian group that is the p th intermediate Jacobian in case X is projective:

$$J_p(X) := H^{2p-1}(X; \mathbb{C}) / (F^p H^{2p-1}(X; \mathbb{C}) + H^{2p-1}(X; \mathbb{Z}(p))).$$

We only need here the following somewhat informal description of this extension: when X is complete, an element of $H_{\mathcal{D}}^{2p}(X; \mathbb{Z}(p))$ is representable by a pair (b, α) , where $b \in H^{2p-1}(X; \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(p))$ and α is closed $2p$ -form on X of Hodge level $\geq p$ with periods in $\mathbb{Z}(p)$ (we then write $\alpha \in (F^p A)_{\text{cl}}^{2p}(X; \mathbb{Z}(p))$, such that for every smooth singular \mathbb{Z} -valued $2p$ -chain Z on X , the image of $\int_Z \alpha$ in $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(p)$ is equal to $b([\partial Z])$). In case X is not complete, we require that α extends to a normal crossing compactification with logarithmic poles along D of X (so that it represents an element of $F^p H^{2p}(X)$ with periods in $\mathbb{Z}(p)$). The equivalence relation is the one which produces the exact sequence and so (b, α) represents zero precisely when the cohomology class of α is zero and b is in the image of $F^p H^{2p-1}(X; \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^{2p-1}(X; \mathbb{C}) / H^{2p-1}(X; \mathbb{Z}(p)) = H^{2p-1}(X; \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(p))$. Beilinson and Gillet showed that for a vector bundle \mathcal{F} on X one has a natural lift of $(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^p \text{ch}_{2p}(\mathcal{F}) \in F^p H^{2p}(X; \mathbb{Z}(p))$ to $H_{\mathcal{D}}^{2p}(X; \mathbb{Z}(p))$. It is called the *Beilinson Chern character* and—in order to come to terms with the fact that Beilinson and Betti have a common initial string—we denote it by $\text{ch}_p^{\text{B}}(\mathcal{F}) \in H_{\mathcal{D}}^{2p}(X; \mathbb{Z}(p))$.

It was observed by Dupont, Hain and Zucker [6] that we can also get this class as a Cheeger-Simons differential character, which is defined in a C^∞ -setting. For a

manifold M we have an extension that is similarly defined as $H_{\mathcal{D}}^{2p}(X; \mathbb{Z}(p))$ above:

$$0 \rightarrow H^{2p-1}(M; \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(p)) \rightarrow \hat{H}^{2p}(M; \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(p)) \rightarrow A_{\text{cl}}^{2p}(M; \mathbb{Z}(p)) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $A_{\text{cl}}^{2p}(M; \mathbb{Z}(p))$ denotes the space of closed $2p$ -forms on M with periods in $\mathbb{Z}(p)$. A complex vector bundle \mathcal{F} on M endowed with a connection ∇ defines Cheeger-Simons Chern character $\widehat{\text{ch}}_p(\mathcal{F}, \nabla) \in \hat{H}^{2p}(M; \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(p))$, the closed $2p$ -form $\text{Ch}_p(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$ then being given as $\text{Tr}((-R(\nabla))^p)/p!$, where $R(\nabla) \in A_{\text{cl}}^{2p}(\text{End}(\mathcal{F}))$ denotes the curvature form of ∇ . Dupont-Hain-Zucker [6] verified the compatibility with the Beilinson's Chern character: if X is projective and \mathcal{F} is an algebraic vector bundle endowed with a connection ∇ of type $(1, 0)$, then the Chern character form $\text{Ch}_p(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$ lands in $(F^p A)_{\text{cl}}^{2p}(M; \mathbb{Z}(p))$. This ensures that $\widehat{\text{ch}}_p(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$ maps to the corresponding subspace $F^p \hat{H}^{2p}(M; \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(p))$ of $\hat{H}^{2p}(M; \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}(p))$ and the evident projection $F^p \hat{H}^{2p}(M; \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{\mathcal{D}}^{2p}(X; \mathbb{Z}(p))$ maps $\widehat{\text{ch}}_p(\mathcal{F}, \nabla)$ to $\text{ch}_p^{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{F})$. This is then also true when X is quasi-projective, provided we know that (\mathcal{F}, ∇) extends across a smooth normal crossing compactification, for both refinements of the Chern character behave functorially with respect to pull-backs.

The regulator map for \mathbb{Q} . The group homology of $\text{GL}(g, \mathbb{Z})$ stabilizes in g and the resulting stable rational homology is a graded commutative \mathbb{Q} -Hopf algebra with a primitive generator for each degree $4r + 1$ (so it is an exterior algebra). This stable homology is in fact the rational homology of $B\text{GL}(\mathbb{Z})$, where $\text{GL}(\mathbb{Z})$ is the monotone union $\cdots \subseteq \text{GL}(g, \mathbb{Z}) \subseteq \text{GL}(g + 1, \mathbb{Z}) \subseteq \cdots$. Applying Quillen's plus construction does not affect the homology and hence this remains so for the homology of $B\text{GL}(\mathbb{Z})^+$. The latter is an H -space with distinguished generators up to sign for its primitive rational homology: following Quillen, the algebraic K -groups of \mathbb{Z} are defined as $K_s(\mathbb{Z}) := \pi_s(B\text{GL}(\mathbb{Z})^+, *)$ and the Hurewicz map

$$K_*(\mathbb{Z}) = \pi_*(B\text{GL}(\mathbb{Z})^+, *) \rightarrow H_*(B\text{GL}(\mathbb{Z})^+) \cong H_*(B\text{GL}(\mathbb{Z}))$$

induces for $s > 0$ an isomorphism of $K_s(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ onto $H_s^{\text{pr}}(B\text{GL}(\mathbb{Z}); \mathbb{Q})$. It is known for that $s > 0$, $K_s(\mathbb{Z})$ is a torsion group unless $s = 4r + 1$ ($r = 0, 1, \dots$) in which case it has rank one. We choose for $r > 0$ a generator b_r of the image of $K_{4r+1}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow K_{4r+1}(\mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ and identify it with its image in $H_{4r+1}^{\text{pr}}(B\text{GL}(\mathbb{Z}); \mathbb{Q})$. This element is of course defined up to sign. Over $B\text{GL}(g, \mathbb{Z})$ we have the universal local system \mathbb{V}_g with fiber \mathbb{Z}^g . The inclusion $\text{GL}(g, \mathbb{Z}) \subseteq \text{GL}(g, \mathbb{C})$ induces a map $B\text{GL}(g, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow B\text{GL}(g, \mathbb{C})$. If we take direct limits, then the resulting map $B\text{GL}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow B\text{GL}(\mathbb{C})$ is zero on rational homology in positive degree (being a homomorphism from an exterior algebra to a polynomial one), but the situation is different for Deligne cohomology. This of course requires that we are in an algebraic setting, which is kind of clear for $B\text{GL}(\mathbb{C})$, being an inductive limit of Grassmannians, but less so for $B\text{GL}(\mathbb{Z})$. Yet, as explained in [6] and [3], this can be given a sense by regarding $B\text{GL}(\mathbb{Z})$ as a simplicial projective manifold of dimension zero (and in order to get the map, we must then do the same for $B\text{GL}(\mathbb{C})$).²

We are interested in the value $\text{ch}_{2r+1}^{\mathbb{B}}(\mathbb{V}_g)(b_r) \in \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(2r + 1)$, or rather its image in $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}(2r + 1)$. Since $\mathbb{R}(2r + 1)$ is just the imaginary axis, we may identify $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}(2r + 1)$ with \mathbb{R} so that we have a natural map $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(2r + 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}(2r + 1) \cong \mathbb{R}$. The image of $\text{ch}_{2r+1}^{\mathbb{B}}(\mathbb{V}_g)(b_r) \in \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(2r + 1)$ in \mathbb{R} is according to Beilinson [3] given by a rational multiple of the corresponding regulator of \mathbb{Q} , which is $\zeta'(-2r)$, where ζ is

²This can probably also be used to produce another proof that y_r is of type $(0, 0)$.

the classical Riemann zeta function. (It is in fact known that $\text{ch}_{2r+1}^{\mathbb{B}}(\mathbb{V}_g)(b_r)$ itself is represented by $\zeta'(-2r)$, but we will obtain this as an outcome of our computation.) If we then invoke the functional equation for ζ , we find:

Scholium 5.2. The image of $\text{ch}_{2r+1}^{\mathbb{B}}(\mathbb{V}_g)(b) \in \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(2r+1)$ under the natural map $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(2r+1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a nonzero rational multiple of $\pi^{-2r-1}\zeta(2r+1)$.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Returning to the situation at hand, let us denote by I resp. I' the integral span of the first basis resp. second basis element of $H = \mathbb{Z}^2$, so that we have a decomposition $H^g = I^g \oplus I'^g$ into maximal isotropic sublattices of H^g . The symplectic form identifies I' with $\text{Hom}(I^g, \mathbb{Z})$ and so we have an embedding $\text{GL}(g, \mathbb{Z}) = \text{GL}(I^g) \hookrightarrow \text{Sp}(H^g)$ defined by $\sigma \mapsto (\sigma, (\sigma^*)^{-1})$. This map commutes with the stability maps on either side so that the map on rational homology also stabilizes, but this will yield the zero map as $H_*(B\text{GL}(\mathbb{Z}); \mathbb{Q})$ is an exterior algebra and $H_*(B\text{Sp}(\mathbb{Z}); \mathbb{Q})$ a polynomial algebra. However, as explained in [5], if $\infty \in \mathcal{A}_g^{\text{bb}}$ is the worst cusp (the unique element of the zero-dimensional Satake stratum \mathcal{A}_0 of $\mathcal{A}_g^{\text{bb}}$), then we have a basis of regular neighborhoods U_∞ of ∞ in $\mathcal{A}_g^{\text{bb}}$ with the property that $\mathring{U}_\infty := U_\infty \cap \mathcal{A}_g$ is a virtual classifying space for the semi-direct product $\text{GL}(g, \mathbb{Z}) \ltimes \text{Sym}^2(\mathbb{Z}^g)$ and so contains a virtual classifying space for $\text{GL}(g, \mathbb{Z})$. We will make use of the fact that this virtual classifying space can be chosen in the real locus. Here we note that the modular interpretation of $(\mathcal{A}_g, \mathcal{F}_g)$ endows this pair with a real structure. The Baily-Borel compactification $\mathcal{A}_g^{\text{bb}}$ together with its stratification are defined over \mathbb{R} . In particular, ∞ is a real point so that we can take U_∞ invariant under complex conjugation.

Lemma 5.3. *The locus $U_\infty \cap \mathcal{A}_g(\mathbb{R})$ is a virtual classifying space for $\text{GL}(g, \mathbb{Z})$ and so we can represent b_r by a cycle B_r on $U_\infty \cap \mathcal{A}_g(\mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. The real structure on \mathcal{A}_g lifts to one on \mathbb{H}_g , which in relation to the cusp ∞ is best understood in terms of the Siegel upper half plane model. The symplectic form identifies the space of complex symmetric tensors $\text{Sym}^2(I_{\mathbb{C}}^g)$ with the space of symmetric maps $I_{\mathbb{C}}^g \rightarrow I'^g_{\mathbb{C}}$. The graph of such a map lies in \mathbb{H}_g if and only if the imaginary part of the symmetric tensor is positive. If C_{I^g} denotes the locus C_{I^g} of positive symmetric tensors, then $\sqrt{-1}C_{I^g}$ defines a real subset of \mathbb{H}_g . The $\text{Sp}(H^g)$ -stabilizer of $\sqrt{-1}C_{I^g}$ is $\text{GL}(I^g)$ and the orbit space $\text{GL}(I^g) \backslash \sqrt{-1}C_{I^g}$ maps onto a connected component of the real locus of \mathcal{A}_g . Now $\text{GL}(I^g) \backslash C_{I^g}$ is a virtual classifying space for $\text{GL}(I^g) = \text{GL}(g, \mathbb{Z})$. This is still so if we replace C_{I^g} by any $\text{GL}(I^g)$ -invariant cocore $K \subseteq C_{I^g}$ [1]. In particular, $\text{GL}(I^g) \backslash (\sqrt{-1}K)$ supports a $(4r+1)$ -cycle $B_r(K)$ which represents the primitive element b_r defined above. For an appropriate choice of K , $\text{GL}(I^g) \backslash (\sqrt{-1}K)$ embeds in \mathring{U}_∞ and we then take B_r to be the image of $B_r(K)$. \square

Since $H_{4r+1}(\mathcal{A}_g; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$ (we are in the stable range), the cycle B_r bounds a \mathbb{Q} -chain Z_r in \mathcal{A}_g . As U_∞ is contractible (even conical we make a careful choice for U_∞), this cycle also bounds a chain cB_r in $U_\infty \cap \mathcal{A}_g^{\text{bb}}(\mathbb{R})$ so that we obtain a $(4r+2)$ -cycle $Z_r - cB_r$ on $\mathcal{A}_g^{\text{bb}}$. It is shown in [5] that the stable cohomology class $y_r \in H^{4r+2}(\mathcal{A}_g^{\text{bb}}; \mathbb{Q})$ takes a nonzero value on this class so that $[Z_r - cB_r]$ may serve as our $z_r \in H_{4r+2}(\mathcal{A}_g^{\text{bb}}; \mathbb{Q})$. It remains to compute the value of $(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{2r+1} \text{ch}_{2r+1}^{\text{gp}}(\mathcal{F}_g)$ on $[Z_r - cB_r]$.

Corollary 3.3 gives us a connection ∇ on \mathcal{F}_g whose curvature form yields the twisted Goresky-Pardon Chern characters. According to Corollary 2.7 these are invariant under full complex conjugation. We assume that U_∞ has been chosen so small that ∇ is flat on \mathring{U}_∞ and defines on $U_\infty \cap \mathcal{A}_g(\mathbb{R})$ a local system given by the obvious representation of degree g of $\mathrm{GL}(I^g)$. Then the form $\mathrm{Ch}_{2r+1}(\mathcal{F}_g, \nabla)$ vanishes on cB_r and so we find that

$$\langle (2\pi\sqrt{-1})^{2r+1} \mathrm{ch}_{2r+1}^{\mathrm{gp}}(\mathcal{F}_g), z_r \rangle = \int_{Z_r} \mathrm{Ch}_{2r+1}(\mathcal{F}_g, \nabla) = \widehat{\mathrm{ch}}_{2r+1}(\mathcal{F}_g, \nabla)(Z_r).$$

As $\mathrm{Ch}_{2r+1}(\mathcal{F}_g, \nabla)$ defines a class in $H^{4r+2}(\mathcal{A}_g; \mathbb{Q}(2r+1))$, the image of this integral in $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(2r+1)$ only depends on $\partial Z_r = B_r$ and is then given by the value $\widehat{\mathrm{ch}}_{2r+1}(\mathcal{F}_g)(b_r) \in \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(2r+1)$. Since $\mathrm{Ch}_{2r+1}(\mathcal{F}_g, \nabla)$ and b_r are invariant under full complex conjugation, this value lies in fact in the image of \mathbb{R} in $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(2r+1)$. In other words, it is completely given by its image in $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}(2r+1) \cong \mathbb{R}$. We have observed that (\mathcal{F}, ∇) extends as a holomorphic vector bundle with flat connection to a nonsingular toric compactification and so this is also equal to $\mathrm{ch}_{2r+1}^{\mathbb{B}}(\mathcal{F}_g)(b_r) \in \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q}(2r+1)$. According to our Scholium 5.2 its image in $\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}(2r+1) \cong \mathbb{R}$ is a rational multiple of $\pi^{-2r-1}\zeta(2r+1)$. This completes the proof.

Concluding remarks 5.4. Let us adhere to the custom to denote i th Chern class of the Hodge bundle on \mathcal{A}_g by $\lambda_i \in H^{2i}(\mathcal{A}_g; \mathbb{Q})$. The Goresky-Pardon lift of λ_i to $\mathcal{A}_g^{\mathrm{bb}}$ is in fact a De Rham lift $\lambda_i^{\mathrm{gp}} \in F^i H^{2i}(\mathcal{A}_g^{\mathrm{bb}}; \mathbb{C})$, which, as we have seen, sometimes not even lies in $H^{2i}(\mathcal{A}_g; \mathbb{R})$. However, for any toric resolution $\pi : \mathcal{A}_g^\Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_g^{\mathrm{bb}}$, the Hodge bundle on \mathcal{A}_g extends canonically to \mathcal{A}_g^Σ so that we do have a canonical lift $\lambda_i^\Sigma \in H^{2i}(\mathcal{A}_g^\Sigma; \mathbb{Q})$. According to Proposition 2.5, the image of λ_i^Σ in $H^{2i}(\mathcal{A}_g^\Sigma; \mathbb{C})$ equals $\pi^* \lambda_i^{\mathrm{gp}}$ and so applying π^* drastically simplifies things (in particular, $\pi^* \lambda_i^{\mathrm{gp}}$ lies in $H^{2i}(\mathcal{A}_g^{\mathrm{bb}}; \mathbb{Q})$). If we are in the stable range ($2i < g$), then according to Charney-Lee, λ_i extends to a class $\tilde{\lambda}_i \in H^{2i}(\mathcal{A}_g^{\mathrm{bb}}; \mathbb{Q})$, but this lift is not unique. Yet its image under π^* is still $\lambda_i^\Sigma \in H^{2i}(\mathcal{A}_g^{\mathrm{bb}}; \mathbb{Q})$. So the difference $\lambda_i^{\mathrm{gp}} - \tilde{\lambda}_i$ determines the nature of a Tate extension, and this extension becomes trivial when pulled back to $H^{2i}(\mathcal{A}_g^\Sigma; \mathbb{Q})$.

Richard Hain computed in [9] the rational cohomology for \mathcal{A}_g and $\mathcal{A}_g^{\mathrm{bb}}$ (with their mixed Hodge structure) for $g = 2, 3$. He found that for $g = 2$ all the rational cohomology is generated by λ_1 (and so is not so interesting), but that $H^6(\mathcal{A}_3; \mathbb{Q})$ and $H^6(\mathcal{A}_3^{\mathrm{bb}}; \mathbb{Q})$ contain possibly nontrivial Tate extensions. For example, $H^6(\mathcal{A}_3; \mathbb{Q})$ is an extension of $\mathbb{Q}(-6)$ by $\mathbb{Q}(-3)$ and hence $H_c^6(\mathcal{A}_3; \mathbb{Q}) \cong H^6(\mathcal{A}_3^{\mathrm{bb}}, \mathcal{A}_2^{\mathrm{bb}}; \mathbb{Q})$ (which embeds in $H^6(\mathcal{A}_3^{\mathrm{bb}}; \mathbb{Q})$ as a subspace of codimension one) is an extension of $\mathbb{Q}(-3)$ by $\mathbb{Q}(0)$. So this very much looks like the stable cohomology of $H^6(\mathcal{A}_g^{\mathrm{bb}})$, although we are here of course outside the stable range (which requires $g > 6$). Hain raises the question whether this extension is nontrivial and more specifically, whether it is of the type that we have been discussing here. Our results have nothing to say about this (although the techniques used here could be helpful), but at least they do suggest to investigate whether the following holds: *Is for $g > 6$ the restriction map $H^6(\mathcal{A}_g^{\mathrm{bb}}) \rightarrow H^6(\mathcal{A}_3^{\mathrm{bb}})$ an injection?*

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Ash, D. Mumford, M. Rapoport, Y.-S. Tai: *Smooth compactifications of locally symmetric varieties* (2nd ed. with the coll. of Peter Scholze). Cambridge U.P., Cambridge, 2010, x+230 pp. [16](#), [22](#)
- [2] J. Ayoub, S. Zucker: *Relative Artin motives and the reductive Borel-Serre compactification of a locally symmetric variety*, *Invent. Math.* **188** (2012), 277–427. [3](#)
- [3] J.I. Burgos Gil: *The regulators of Beilinson and Borel*, CRM Monograph Series **15**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002. xii+104 pp. [20](#), [21](#)
- [4] R. Charney, R. Lee: *Cohomology of the Satake compactification*, *Topology* **22** (1983), 389–423. [2](#), [19](#)
- [5] Chen Jiaming, E. Looijenga: *The stable cohomology of the Satake compactification of A_g* , to appear in: *Geometry and Topology*, also available at [arXiv:1508.05600](#). [2](#), [3](#), [19](#), [22](#)
- [6] J. Dupont, R. Hain, S. Zucker: *Regulators and characteristic classes of flat bundles*, in: *The arithmetic and geometry of algebraic cycles (Banff, AB, 1998)*, 47–92, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes **24**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000. [20](#), [21](#)
- [7] M. Goresky, W. Pardon: *Chern classes of automorphic vector bundles*, *Invent. Math.* **147** (2002), 561–612. [1](#), [2](#), [5](#), [14](#)
- [8] M. Goresky, Y.-S. Tai: *Toroidal and reductive Borel-Serre compactifications of locally symmetric spaces*, *Amer. J. Math.*, **121** (1999), pp. 1095–1151. [2](#), [14](#)
- [9] R. Hain: *The rational cohomology ring of the moduli space of abelian 3-folds*, *Math. Res. Lett.* **9** (2002), 473–491. [23](#)
- [10] D. Mumford: *Hirzebruch’s proportionality theorem in the noncompact case*, *Invent. Math.* **42** (1977), 239–272. [1](#), [17](#)
- [11] A.N. Nair: *Mixed structures in Shimura varieties and automorphic forms*, preprint available from the author. [3](#)
- [12] A.N. Nair: *Chern classes of automorphic vector bundles and the reductive Borel-Serre compactification*, preprint available from the author. [3](#)
- [13] A. Verona: *Le théorème de de Rham pour les préstratifications abstraites*, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B* **273** (1971), A886–A889. [5](#)
- [14] S.M. Zucker: *On the reductive Borel-Serre compactification: L^p -cohomology of arithmetic groups (for large p)*, *Amer. J. Math.* **123** (2001), 951–984. [3](#)
- [15] S.M. Zucker: *On the reductive Borel-Serre compactification III: Mixed Hodge structures*, *Asian J. Math.* **8** (2004), 881–911. [3](#)
- [16] S.M. Zucker: *On the reductive Borel-Serre compactification. II. Excentric quotients and least common modifications*, *Amer. J. Math.* **130** (2008), 859–912. [14](#)

YAU MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES CENTER, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY BEIJING (CHINA) AND MATHEMATISCH INSTITUUT, UNIVERSITEIT UTRECHT (NEDERLAND)
E-mail address: eduard@math.tsinghua.edu.cn