

## Solutions to exercises of lecture 7

---

**Exercise 7.1.** Download the excel sheet subsetsum.xls from Canvas. It was used to solve the instance

$$w = \{3, 20, 58, 90, 267, 493, 869, 961, 1000, 1153, 1246, 1598, 1766, 1922\}, t = 5842$$

of Subset Sum to find the solution 20, 58, 90, 869, 961, 1000, 1246, 1598. In fact, it even *counts* the number of subsets with sum equal 5842. Write down the recurrence for  $A[i, j]$  that is defined to be the number subsets  $X \subseteq \{w_1, \dots, w_i\}$  such that  $\sum_{e \in X} w_e = t$  that is used in this excel sheet. Are there more solutions than the one mentioned above? If so, can you find one?

**Solution:** The excel sheet uses the following recurrence: for  $i = 0, \dots, 14$ ,  $t = 0, \dots, 5842$  let  $A[i, j]$  be the number of subsets  $X$  from  $\{1, \dots, i\}$  such that  $\sum_{e \in X} w_e = j$ . We see that

$$A[i, j] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 0, j \neq 0, \\ A[i - 1, j] + A[i - 1, j - w_i] & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (7.1)$$

Note that for convenience in excel we use a variant of the recurrence from the lecture notes. In the excel sheet we see the table entries  $A[i, j]$  being computed. From the cell (7842, 16) we see there are 5 solutions, and from (7842, 15) we see there are 4 subsets  $X$  of  $\{1, \dots, 13\}$  with  $\sum_{e \in X} w_e = t$ , so 1 solution uses the integer 1922 and from the first 13 integers it will pick integers summing to  $5842 - 1922$ , so we continue to look at cell (5920, 14) and see the unique solution containing 1922 does not contain 1766, 1598, 1246 but contains 1153. Continuing like this we arrive at the solution 1922, 1766, 1246, 493, 90, 58.

**Exercise 7.2.** How many integers in  $\{1, \dots, 100\}$  are not divisible by 2, 3 or 7?

**Solution:** Use inclusion exclusion. Let  $U = \{1, \dots, 100\}$ ,  $P_1 = \{i \in U : i \text{ is not a multiple of } 2\}$ ,  $P_2 = \{i \in U : i \text{ is not a multiple of } 3\}$ ,  $P_3 = \{i \in U : i \text{ is not a multiple of } 7\}$ . We need to compute  $|P_1 \cap P_2 \cap P_3|$  which equals by the inclusion exclusion formula

$$|U| - |\overline{P_1}| - |\overline{P_2}| - |\overline{P_3}| + |\overline{P_1} \cap \overline{P_2}| + |\overline{P_2} \cap \overline{P_3}| + |\overline{P_1} \cap \overline{P_3}| - |\overline{P_1} \cap \overline{P_2} \cap \overline{P_3}|,$$

and these terms are more easily computed since, e.g.,  $|\overline{P_2} \cap \overline{P_3}|$  is the number integers that are simultaneously multiple of 3 and 7 (so equivalently, since 3 and 7 are co prime, a multiple of 21) which are 21, 42, 63 and 84.

$$100 - 50 - 33 - 14 + 16 + 4 + 7 - 2 = 28$$

**Exercise 7.3.** At the 5th of December it is common in the Netherlands to buy presents for each other. To do this when there are  $n$  persons  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  celebrating together, there are various processes to pick a random permutation  $f : \{1, \dots, n\} \leftrightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$ . We call a permutation good if  $f(i) \neq i$  for every  $i$ . Suppose  $n = 5$ , how many good permutations are there?

**Solution:** Use inclusion exclusion. Let  $U$  be all permutations, and for  $i = 1, \dots, 5$  let  $P_i$  be the set of permutations  $f$  such that  $f(i) = i$ . We see that the number of good permutations (also called derangements) equals  $|\cap_{i=1}^5 \overline{P_i}|$ . We note that  $|\cap_{i \in F} \overline{P_i}|$  only depends on  $|F|$  by symmetry and

$$|\cap_{i \in F} \overline{P_i}| = \text{number of permutations where } f(i) = i \text{ for every } i \in F = (5 - |F|)!$$

since for all elements in  $F$  we have only one choice and for  $i \notin F$  there are no restrictions so  $f$  can be any permutation restricted to  $\{1, \dots, 5\} \setminus F$ . By inclusion exclusion we see that  $|\cap_{i=1}^5 \overline{P_i}|$  equals

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{F \subseteq \{1, \dots, 5\}} (-1)^{|F|} (5 - |F|)! &= \sum_{i=0}^5 (-1)^i \binom{5}{i} (5 - i)! \\ &= \binom{5}{0} 5! - \binom{5}{1} \cdot 4! + \binom{5}{2} 3! - \binom{5}{3} 2! + \binom{5}{4} 1! - \binom{5}{5} 0! \\ &= 120 - 120 + 60 - 20 + 5 - 1 = 44. \end{aligned}$$

**Exercise 7.4.** The  $n$ 'th Fibonacci number  $f_n$  is defined as follows:  $f_1 = 1, f_2 = 1$  and for  $n > 2$ ,  $f_n = f_{n-1} + f_{n-2}$ . What is the running time of the following algorithm to compute  $f_n$ ?

**Algorithm FIB2( $n$ )**

**Output:**  $f_n$

- 1: Initiate a table  $F$  with  $F[i] = -1$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$
- 2: **return** FIBREC( $n$ ).

**Algorithm FIBREC( $n$ )**

**Output:**  $f_n$

- 1: **if**  $n = 1$  or  $n = 2$  **then return** 1
- 2: **if**  $F[n] = -1$  **then**
- 3:    $x \leftarrow$  FIBREC( $n - 1$ ) + FIBREC( $n - 2$ )
- 4:    $F[n] \leftarrow x$
- 5:   **return**  $x$ .
- 6: **else**
- 7:   **return**  $F[n]$ .

**Solution:**  $O(n)$  time. To see this, note that in the execution, the condition at Line 2 applies only once for every  $n$ . Intuitively, we could still look at the recursion tree of this algorithm, but it will be a very unbalanced tree of depth  $n$  where, if the left child is evaluated before the right child, the right child is a leaf since the condition at Line 2 will not apply.

**Exercise 7.5.** Let  $G$  be bipartite graph with parts  $A, B$ ,  $|A| = |B| = n$ . Use inclusion exclusion to count the number of perfect matchings of  $G$  in  $O^*(2^n)$  time. Can you do with polynomial space?

**Solution:** Use inclusion exclusion. A *pseudo-matching* of  $G = (A \dot{\cup} B, E)$  is a set of edges  $M \subseteq E$  such that for every  $a \in A$  there exists exactly one  $e \in M$  incident to  $a$ . Note that if  $M \subseteq E$  is a pseudo-matching, and for every  $b \in B$  there exists an edge  $e \in M$  incident to  $b$ , then  $M$  is a perfect matching. Thus, if  $U$  is the set of all pseudo-matchings of  $G$  and for every  $b \in B$ ,  $P_b$  is the set of all pseudo-matchings  $M$  such that there exists *at least*<sup>1</sup> one  $e \in M$  containing  $b$ , then  $|\bigcap_{b \in B} P_b|$  is the number of perfect matchings of  $G$ . By inclusion exclusion we have that

$$|\bigcap_{b \in B} P_b| = \sum_{F \subseteq B} (-1)^{|F|} |\bigcap_{b \in F} \overline{P}_b|.$$

Now, note that  $|\bigcap_{b \in F} \overline{P}_b|$  is the number of pseudo-matchings that do contain any edge incident to a vertex of  $F$ , which is the number of pseudo-matchings in  $G[A \cup B \setminus F, E]$ . This number is easily computed in polynomial time: in a pseudo-matching every vertex in  $A$  needs to pick a neighbor in  $B$  but these choices are independent so we see that

$$|\bigcap_{b \in F} \overline{P}_b| = \prod_{a \in A} |N(a) \setminus F|,$$

so this can clearly be computed in polynomial time and thus the inclusion exclusion formula can be computed in  $O^*(2^n)$  time.

**Exercise 7.6.** In the Weighted Steiner Tree problem we are given a graph  $G = (V, E)$ , a weight function  $\omega : E \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  and a set of terminals  $T \subseteq V$ . We need to find a connected tree  $(S, E')$  minimizing  $\sum_{e \in E'} \omega(e)$  such that  $T \subseteq S \subseteq V$ . Solve this problem in time  $O^*(2^{n-k})$ , where  $|V| = n$  and  $|T| = k$ .

**Solution:** Iterate over all relevant candidates for subset  $S$ , and for each such subset, compute a minimum spanning tree of  $G[S]$ . Return the minimum tree found. This gives a valid solution and also the optimum solution since once we fixed  $S$  the optimal way of connecting the vertex set is via a minimum spanning tree. The running time is  $O^*(2^{n-k})$  since there are at most  $2^{n-k}$  candidates for  $S$  and minimum spanning tree can be done in polynomial time.

---

<sup>1</sup>Of course, the definition of perfect matching requires exactly one, but since we have only  $n$  edges, this is equivalent here.