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## 1 Exercises

Exercise 1 (To be handed in February 19) A Forest is a partially ordered set $(F,<)$ such that for any $x \in F$, the set $F_{<x}=\{y \in F \mid y<x\}$ is a finite linear order. The cardinality of $F_{<x}$ is called the level of $x$. A morphism of forests $F \rightarrow G$ is an order-preserving and level-preserving function. Clearly, we have a category of forests $\mathcal{F}$.
a) A tree is a forest which has exactly one element of level 0 (the root of the tree. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be the full subcategory (i.e. having the same morphisms) of $\mathcal{F}$ on the trees. Show that the categories $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ are equivalent. Are they isomorphic? Motivate your answer.
b) Show that the category $\mathcal{F}$ is isomorphic to a category of the form $\mathrm{Set}^{\mathcal{C}^{\text {op }}}$ for a suitable small category $\mathcal{C}$.
c) A forest $F$ is called well-founded is there is no infinite sequence

$$
x_{0}<x_{1}<x_{2}<\cdots
$$

in $\mathcal{F}$. Give a purely category-theoretic property which characterizes the well-founded forests in $\mathcal{F}$.

Exercise 2 (To be handed in March 5) a) Consider the following diagram:

where we assume that the horizontal rows are equalizer diagrams, that $c f_{0}=f_{1} b, c g_{0}=g_{1} b$, the left hand square commutes and the arrow $c$ is monic. Prove that the left-hand square is a pullback.
b) Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a small category and $C$ an object of $\mathcal{C}$; consider the functor $\mathcal{C}(C,-): \mathcal{C} \rightarrow$ Set. Prove that this functor preserves all limits which exist in $\mathcal{C}$.

Exercise 3 (To be handed in March 19) Throughout, we assume a regular category $\mathcal{C}$.
a) Show that an arrow $g: X \rightarrow Y$ is a regular epi precisely if the following condition holds: for every commutative diagram

with $m$ mono, there is a unique arrow $h: Y \rightarrow A$ such that $m h=a$ and $h g=b$.
b) Use part a) to show that for any composable pair $A \xrightarrow{g} B \xrightarrow{f} C$ of arrows of $\mathcal{C}$ we have: if $f g$ is regular epi, then so is $f$.
c) For any arrow $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in $\mathcal{C}$ we define the graph of $f$ as the subobject of $X \times Y$ represented by the mono $\left\langle\operatorname{id}_{X}, f\right\rangle: X \rightarrow X \times Y$.
Suppose $X, Y, Z$ are objects of $\mathcal{C}, g: Z \rightarrow Y$ is a regular epi and $R \in$ $\operatorname{Sub}(X \times Y)$. Let $S=\left(\operatorname{id}_{X} \times g\right)^{*}(R) \in \operatorname{Sub}(X \times Z)$. Assume that the following two sequents of regular logic are true, with the evident interpretation:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\vdash_{x} \exists z S(x, z) \\
S(x, z) \wedge S\left(x, z^{\prime}\right) \vdash_{x, z, z^{\prime}} z=z^{\prime}
\end{gathered}
$$

Prove that there is an arrow $f: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $R$ is the graph of $f$.
Exercise 4 (To be handed in April 9) Let $\mathcal{D} \underset{G}{\stackrel{F}{\leftrightarrows}} \mathcal{C}$ be an adjunction with $F \dashv G$ and $G$ full and faithful. We denote the induced monad $G F$ on $\mathcal{C}$ by $T$.
a) Prove that $\mu$ (the multiplication of the monad) is a natural isomorphism.
b) Is the functor $G$ monadic? Justify your answer.

Exercise 5 (To be handed in April 23) Let $X$ be a presheaf on a small category $\mathcal{C}$ and let $Y$ be a subpresheaf of $X$. We see $X$ as a structure for ther language which has just one unary relation symbol $R$, and $\llbracket R \rrbracket=Y$.
a) Prove that the following three conditions are equivalent:
i) The sentence $\forall x \neg \neg R(x)$ is true in the structure $X$.
ii) For every $C \in \mathcal{C}_{0}$, every $\xi \in X(C)$ and every arrow $g: C^{\prime} \rightarrow C$ in $\mathcal{C}$, there is an arrow $h: C^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow C^{\prime}$ such that $X(g h)(\xi) \in Y\left(C^{\prime \prime}\right)$.
iii) For every subpresheaf $Z$ of $X$ which is not the initial presheaf, the intersection $Y \cap Z$ is not the initial presheaf.

If these conditions hold then $Y$ is said to be a dense subpresheaf of $X$.
b) Assume that $\mathcal{C}$ is a groupoid (all arrows are isomorphisms). Show that the only dense subpresheaf of $X$ is $X$ itself.

Exercise 6 (May be handed in digitally until May 9, midnight) In a category $\mathcal{C}$ with pullbacks, a partial map classifier for an object $X$ is a monomorphism $\zeta_{X}: X \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ with the property that for any mono $m: A \rightarrow B$ and arrow $f: A \rightarrow X$ (this is regarded as a partial map from $B$ to $X$ ) there is a unique arrow $\bar{f}: B \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ which makes the diagram

a pullback.
a) (4 points) Suppose for every object $X$ of $\mathcal{C}$ there is a partial map classifier. Show that there is a functor $\widetilde{(\cdot)}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and a natural transformation $\zeta: \operatorname{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \Rightarrow \widetilde{(\cdot)}$ such that for every object $X$ of $\mathcal{C}$, the arrow $\zeta_{X}: X \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ is a partial map classifier for $X$.
b) (6 points) Let $X$ be a topological space; we consider the category $\operatorname{Sh}(X)$ of sheaves over $X$. Given such a sheaf $F$, we denote the action of $F$ on inclusions $U \subseteq V$ (the morphisms in the category of open sets of $X$ ) by $\upharpoonright$ : for $x \in F(V)$ we write $x \upharpoonright U$ for $F(U \subseteq V)(x)$. Now we define $\tilde{F}$ as follows:

$$
\tilde{F}(V)=\{(U, x) \mid U \subseteq V, x \in F(U)\}
$$

and for $V^{\prime} \subseteq V$, we define $(U, x) \upharpoonright V^{\prime}$ to be $\left(U \cap V^{\prime}, x \upharpoonright\left(U \cap V^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Show that there is a natural map $F \rightarrow \tilde{F}$ in $\operatorname{Sh}(X)$ which is a partial map classifier for $F$.
c) (2 bonus points) Can you generalize the construction in b) to toposes of the form $\operatorname{Sh}(\mathcal{C}, \mathrm{Cov})$ ?

## 2 Solutions

## Solution to Exercise 1.

a) Define functors $F: \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ and $G: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ as follows: given a forest $W$, add a new bottom element to this poset, obtaining $F(W)$. For a morphism $f: W \rightarrow W^{\prime}$ we have $F(f): F(W) \rightarrow F\left(W^{\prime}\right)$ which is $f$ when restricted
to $W$, and sends the bottom element to the bottom element of $F\left(W^{\prime}\right)$. Note that the level of each element of $W$ is 1 higher in $F(W)$ than in $W$. In the other direction, given a tree $T, G(T)=T-\{r\}$ where $r$ is the root of $T$. Here the levels get 1 lower, when we pass from $T$ to $G(T)$. The definition of $G$ on arrows is left to you. It is not hard to prove that $F$ and $G$ are functors. Clearly, $G(F(W))=W$, and $F(G(T))$ is isomorphic to $T$. The isomorphism is natural, because it is the identity except for the root.
The categories $\mathcal{W}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ cannot be isomorphic: look at initial objects in both categories. In $\mathcal{T}$, every singleton set is initial; but in $\mathcal{W}$ there is exactly one initial object, the empty set. Since every isomorphism induces a bijection between the collections of initial objects, we cannot have an isomorphism.
b) Well...there was a difficulty in this exercise I wasn't fully aware of! The idea was: we take the poset $\mathbb{N}$ for $\mathcal{C}$. For a functor $X: \mathbb{N}^{\text {op }} \rightarrow$ Set, we define the poset $G(X)$ as the set of pairs $(n, x)$ satisfying $x \in X(n)$. We put $(n, x) \leq(m, y)$ iff $n \leq m$ and $X_{n m}(y)=x$ (where $X_{n m}: X(m) \rightarrow X(n)$ is the action of the functor $X$ on the arrow $n \leq m$ ). It is easy to convince oneself that $G(X)$ is a forest. Conversely, given a forest $W$ one has a functor $F(W): \mathbb{N}^{\text {op }} \rightarrow$ Set by putting: $F(W)(m)$ is the set of elements of $W$ of level $m$. If $n \leq m$ and $x \in F(W)(m)$, then there is a unique element of level $n$ which is $\leq x$; we define the action of $F(W)$ on arrows accordingly. It is also straightforward that for a forest $W, F(G(W))$ is isomorphic to $W$ and that for a functor $X, G(F(X))$ is isomorphic to $X$. So the pair $F, G$ is an equivalence. However, it is not an isomorphism! Forests, being defined as posets, have the property that the level-sets (sets of elements of the same level) are pairwise disjoint. Functors $X: \mathbb{N}^{\text {op }} \rightarrow$ Set do not have the property that $X(n)$ is disjoint from $X(m)$ if $n \neq m$ ! In short, we need an isomorphism between the category Set ${ }^{\mathbb{N}^{\mathrm{op}}}$ and its full subcategory on the functors $X$ for which the sets $X(n)$ are pairwise disjoint. There is a solution to this, but it seems to involve a bit of the foundations of category theory...
Consider $\mathbb{N}$-indexed sequences of cardinal numbers $\kappa=\left(\kappa_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. For each such $\kappa$, let $A_{\kappa}$ be the class of $\mathbb{N}$-indexed families of sets $X=\left(X_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ which satisfy $\left|X_{n}\right|=\kappa_{n}$ for each $n$. Let $B_{\kappa}$ be the subclass of $A_{\kappa}$ consisting of those $X$ which moreover satisfy $X_{n} \cap X_{m}=\emptyset$ for $n \neq m$. There is an injective operation from $A_{\kappa}$ to $B_{\kappa}$, for example send $X$ to the family $\left(\left\{(x, n) \mid x \in X_{n}\right\}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. By the Cantor-Schröder-Bernstein theorem (which also holds for classes), there is a bijection $F_{\kappa}: A_{\kappa} \rightarrow B_{\kappa}$ for each $\kappa$. Now we need a large axiom of choice (which is available if our category Set is "small" in some universe) to assign to any $\mathbb{N}$-indexed family $X$ a sequence of bijections $f_{n}: X_{n} \rightarrow F_{\kappa}(X)_{n}$ (where $\left.\kappa=\left(\left|X_{n}\right|\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\right)$.
Now, for an object $X$ of $\operatorname{Set}^{\mathbb{N}^{\text {op }}}$, we have its underlying $\mathbb{N}$-indexed family (also denoted $X$, or $\left(X_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ), and the action on arrows $X_{n m}: X_{m} \rightarrow X_{n}$ for $n \leq m$. We define the structure of a functor $\mathbb{N}^{\text {op }} \rightarrow$ Set on $F_{\kappa}(X)$ by
putting

$$
F_{\kappa}(X)_{n m}(y)=f_{n}\left(X_{n m}\left(f_{m}^{-1}(y)\right)\right)
$$

and for an arrow $\mu: X \Rightarrow Y$ (where we have assigned $\left(f_{n}\right)_{n}: X_{n} \rightarrow$ $F_{\kappa}(X)_{n}$ to $X$ and $\left(g_{n}\right)_{n}: Y_{n} \rightarrow F_{\lambda}(Y)_{n}$ to $\left.Y\right)$, we define an arrow $G(\mu)$ : $F_{\kappa}(X) \rightarrow F_{\lambda}(Y)$ by

$$
G(\mu)_{n}(x)=g_{n}\left(\mu_{n}\left(f_{n}^{-1}(x)\right)\right)
$$

One has to check that $G(\mu)$ is indeed a natural transformation, and that the assignment $G$ which sends every object $X$ of $\operatorname{Set}^{\mathbb{N}^{\mathrm{OP}}}$ to the functor $F_{\kappa}(X)$ defined above and every $\mu$ to $G(\mu)$, is indeed a functor; this is straightforward. We now have the desired isomorphism from Set ${ }^{\mathbb{N o p}^{\text {op }}}$ to its full subcategory on the "pairwise disjoint" functors.
c) There is the tree $\mathbb{N}$, and it is clear that a forest $F$ is well-founded if and only if there is no morphism of forests $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow F$. The forest $\mathbb{N}$ is the terminal object of $\mathcal{F}$; so a forest is well-founded if and only if it admits no arrow from the terminal object to itself.

## Solution to Exercise 2.

a) Suppose that the diagram

commutes. Then $f_{1} b k=f_{1} e_{1} h=g_{1} e_{1} h=g_{1} b k$, so $c f_{0} k=f_{1} b k=g_{1} b k=$ $c g_{0} k$. Since $c$ is mono, we have $f_{0} k=g_{0} k$, and by the equalizer property of $e_{0}$ we find that $k$ factors uniquely through $e_{0}$ by a map $n: X \rightarrow A$. Then $e_{1} a n=b e_{0} n=b k=e_{1} h$, so since $e_{1}$ is mono, we have $a n=h$. We conclude that the left hand square in the exercise is a pullback.
b) Suppose $F: \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is a diagram and $(D, \mu)$ is a limiting cone for $F$ in $\mathcal{C}$. Composition with $\mathcal{C}(C,-): \mathcal{C} \rightarrow$ Set gives a diagram $G(i)=\mathcal{C}(C, F(i))$ in Set, where, for $f: i \rightarrow j$ in $\mathcal{I}, G(f): \mathcal{C}(C, F(i)) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(C, F(j))$ is given by composition with $F(f)$.
If $X$ is a set and $\nu: \Delta_{X} \Rightarrow G$ a natural transformation then for each $x \in X$ and $i \in \mathcal{I}_{0}$ we have $\nu_{i}(x): C \rightarrow G(i)$ and for $f: i \rightarrow j$ the diagram


So for every $x \in X$ we have a cone $\rho(x)$ in $\mathcal{C}$ with vertex $C$. Since $(D, \mu)$ is limiting, we have a unique map of cones $\rho(x) \rightarrow(D, \mu)$; that is, for each $x \in X$ an arrow $C \rightarrow D$ in $\mathcal{C}$. We conclude that the cone $\mathcal{C}(C, D) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}(C, \mu)} \mathcal{C}(C, F)$ is limiting in Set.

## Solution to Exercise 3.

a) First, suppose $g$ is regular epi. The uniqueness of the required arrow $h: Y \rightarrow A$ is immediate from the assumption that $m$ is mono, so we prove that such $h$ exists. For the arrows $a$ and $b$, choose regular epi-mono factorizations $a=m_{1} e_{1}, b=m_{2} e_{2}$. Using Proposition 4.3ii), we have that both $m_{1}\left(e_{1} g\right)$ and $\left(m m_{2}\right) e_{2}$ are regular epi-mono factorizations of the composition ag:


By the essential uniqueness of the regular epi-mono factorization, there is an isomorphism $\sigma: Z_{1} \rightarrow Z_{2}$ satisfying $e_{2}=\sigma e_{1} g$ and $m m_{2} \sigma=m_{1}$. Then $m_{2} \sigma e_{1}: Y \rightarrow A$ is the required diagonal filler.
Conversely, suppose such a diagonal filler always exists for any diagram meeting the specifications of the exercise. We have to prove that $g$ is regular epi. Let $X \xrightarrow{e} Z \xrightarrow{m} Y$ be the regular epi-mono factorization. Since the diagram

commutes and $m$ is mono, there is a unique $h: Y \rightarrow Z$ with $m h=\operatorname{id}_{Y}$ and $h g=e$. Now $m h m=\operatorname{id}_{Y} m=m \mathrm{id}_{Z}$ so since $m$ is mono, $h m=\mathrm{id}_{Z}$. We see that $h$ is an inverse for $m$, so $g$ is regular epi.
b) Let $A \xrightarrow{g} B \xrightarrow{f} C$ be arrows such that $f g$ is regular epi. To show: $f$ is regular epi. We use the criterion of part a), so suppose we have a commutative diagram


Compose this with $g$ to obtain:


Since $f g$ is regular epi we have a unique $h: C \rightarrow A$ such that $m h=a$ and $h f g=b g$. Then $m h f=a f=m b$ whence, since $m$ is mono, $h f=b$; this means that $h$ is also a diagonal filler for the original diagram.
c) This part requires some more work. The first thing to notice is, that a subobject $R$ of $X \times Y$ is tha graph of some $f: X \rightarrow Y$ if and only if the composition $R \rightarrow X \times Y \rightarrow X$ is an isomorphism. I leave this to you. We also use the fact that an arrow is an isomorphism if and only if it is both mono and regular epi.
We consider the subobjects $\llbracket S(x, z) \rrbracket, \llbracket S\left(x, z^{\prime}\right) \rrbracket$ and $\llbracket z=z^{\prime} \rrbracket$ of $X \times Z \times$ $Z$. We have the projections $\pi_{12}: X \times Z \times Z \rightarrow X \times Z$ (projection on the first and second coordinate) and $\pi_{13}: X \times Z \times Z \rightarrow X \times Z$. We have: $\llbracket S(x, z) \rrbracket=\pi_{12}^{*}(S)$ and $\llbracket S\left(x, z^{\prime}\right) \rrbracket=\pi_{13}^{*}(S)$, and $\llbracket S(x, z) \wedge S\left(x, z^{\prime}\right) \rrbracket=$ $\pi_{12}^{*}(S) \wedge \pi_{13}^{*}(S)$ (the second $\wedge$ means: the meet in $\operatorname{Sub}(X \times Z \times Z)$ ). The assumption that the sequent $S(x, z) \wedge S\left(x, z^{\prime}\right) \vdash_{x, z, z^{\prime}} z=z^{\prime}$ is true means that

$$
\pi_{12}^{*}(S) \wedge \pi_{13}^{*}(S) \leq \llbracket z=z^{\prime} \rrbracket \text { in } \operatorname{Sub}(X \times Z \times Z)
$$

Here $\llbracket z=z^{\prime} \rrbracket$ is the subobject of $X \times Z \times Z$ represented by the map $\operatorname{id}_{X} \times \delta: X \times Z \rightarrow X \times Z \times Z$, where $\delta: Z \rightarrow Z \times Z$ is the diagonal.
Furthermore we notice that for $S \in \operatorname{Sub}(X \times Z)$ the sequent $\vdash_{x} \exists z S(x, z)$ is true if and only if $S \rightarrow X$ is regular epi. Indeed, this sequent is true if and only if $\exists_{\pi_{X}}(S)$ is the top element of $\operatorname{Sub}(X)$ (where $\pi_{X}: X \times Z \rightarrow X$ is the projection), that is: if and only if the composition $S \rightarrow X \times Z \rightarrow X$ is regular epi.
Now suppose $\left\langle i_{X}, i_{Y}\right\rangle: R \rightarrow X \times Y$ represents the subobject $R$ and $\langle u, v\rangle: S \times X \rightarrow Z$ represents $S$. We wish to show that $i_{X}: R \rightarrow X$ is an isomorphism. Because the map $u: S \rightarrow X$ is regular epi and it factors through $i_{X}$, by part b ) of the exercise we know that $i_{X}$ is regular epi. Therefore we have to see that $i_{X}$ is mono.
Let $V \underset{h}{\stackrel{f}{\rightrightarrows}} R$ be a parallel pair such that $i_{X} f=i_{X} h$. Consider the map

$$
a=\left\langle i_{X} f, i_{Y} f, i_{Y} h\right\rangle=\left\langle i_{X} h, i_{Y} f, i_{Y} h\right\rangle: V \rightarrow X \times Y \times Y
$$

and consider the pullback


Writing $q_{12}, q_{13}$ for the projections $X \times Y \times Y \rightarrow X \times Y$, we see that the map $a$ factors through $q_{12}^{*}(R) \wedge q_{13}^{*}(R)$, and therefore the map $b$ factors through $\pi_{12}^{*}(S) \wedge \pi_{13}^{*}(S)$. It follows from what we have seen before, that $b$ factors through the subobject $\operatorname{id}_{X} \times \delta: X \times Y \rightarrow X \times Y \times Y$, and this means that $\pi_{12} b=\pi_{13} b$. Now we get

$$
q_{12} a c=\left\langle\operatorname{id}_{X} \times g\right\rangle \pi_{12} b=\left\langle\operatorname{id}_{X} \times g\right\rangle \pi_{13} b=q_{13} a c .
$$

Because $c$ is regular epi, $q_{12} a=q_{13} a$. But this means that $f=h$. This concludes the proof that $i_{X}$ is mono, and the exercise.

## Solution to Exercise 4.

a) The multiplication of the monad $G F$ has components

$$
\mu_{C}=G\left(\varepsilon_{F(C)}\right): G F G F(C) \rightarrow G F(C)
$$

So in order to prove that $\mu$ is a natural isomorphism, it suffices to show that $\varepsilon$ is a natural isomorphism. We prove that $\varepsilon$ is both epi and split mono.
Consider a diagram $F G(D) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{D}} D \xlongequal[g]{\stackrel{f}{\rightrightarrows}} D^{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{D}$ such that $f \varepsilon_{D}=g \varepsilon_{D}$.
Then their transposes along $F \dashv G$ are equal, which means $G(f)=G(g)$. Since $G$ is faithful, we have $f=g$. We conclude that $\varepsilon_{D}$ is epi.
Now, we prove that $\varepsilon$ is split mono. Since $G$ is full, we have an arrow $\alpha: D \rightarrow F G(D)$ such that $G(\alpha)=\eta_{G(D)}: G(D) \rightarrow G F G(D)$. The composition $F G(D) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{D}} D \xrightarrow{\alpha} F G(D)$ transposes to $G(\alpha)=\eta_{G(D)}$, which is also the transpose of the identity on $F G(D)$. We conclude that $\alpha \varepsilon_{D}$ is the identity on $F G(D)$, so $\varepsilon_{D}$ is split mono.
b) The answer is yes. Suppose $h: G F(D) \rightarrow D$ is a $T$-algebra. Then $h \eta_{D}=\mathrm{id}_{D}$. We consider

$$
\eta_{D} h: G F(D) \rightarrow G F(D)
$$

Since $G$ is full, there is an arrow $\beta: F(D) \rightarrow F(D)$ such that $G(\beta)=\eta_{D} h$. The transpose of $\beta$ is $G(\beta) \eta_{D}: D \rightarrow G F(D)$, which by choice of $\beta$ is equal to $\eta_{D} h \eta_{D}=\eta_{D}$, which is also the transpose of $\operatorname{id}_{F(D)}$. We conclude that $\beta=\mathrm{id}_{F(D)}$, so

$$
\eta_{D} h=G(\beta)=G\left(\operatorname{id}_{F(D)}\right)=\operatorname{id}_{G F(D)} .
$$

We see that $h$ is a 2 -sided inverse of $\eta_{D}$. So there is at most one $T$-algebra structure on an object $D$ of $\mathcal{D}$. I leave it to you to prove that there is at least one, too, and to conclude that $T-\mathrm{Alg}$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{D}$.

## Solution to Exercise 5.

a) i) $\Leftrightarrow$ ii): this is just working out the definition.
ii) $\Rightarrow$ iii): suppose $Z$ is a nonempty subpresheaf of $X$; suppose $\xi \in Z(C)$. Taking the identity on $C$ for $g$ in ii), we see that for some $h: C^{\prime} \rightarrow C$ we have $X(h)(\xi) \in Y\left(C^{\prime}\right)$. Since $Z$ is a subpresheaf of $X, X(h)(\xi) \in$ $Y\left(C^{\prime}\right) \cap Z\left(C^{\prime}\right)$, therefore $Y \cap Z$ is nonempty.
iii $\Rightarrow$ ii): suppose $\xi \in X(C), g: C^{\prime} \rightarrow C$. Consider the subpresheaf $Z$ of $X$ generated by $X(g)(\xi): Z\left(C^{\prime \prime}\right)=\left\{X(g h)(\xi) \mid h: C^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow C^{\prime}\right\}$. Then $Z$ is nonermpty. By iii), $Z \cap Y$ is nonempty, so there is some $h: C^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow C^{\prime}$ such that $X(g h)(\xi) \in Y\left(C^{\prime \prime}\right)$; i.e., ii) holds.
b) Suppose $Y \subseteq X$ is dense, and $\xi \in X(C)$. For some $h: C^{\prime} \rightarrow C$ we have $X(h)(\xi) \in Y\left(C^{\prime}\right)$. Now if $h$ is an isomorphism, we find that $X\left(h^{-1}\right)(X(h)(\xi)) \in Y(C)$, that is: $\xi \in Y(C)$. So $Y=X$.

## Solution to Exercise 6.

a) Choosing for each object $X$ of $\mathcal{C}$ a partial map classifier $\zeta_{X}: X \rightarrow \tilde{X}$, we have an assignment $\widetilde{(\cdot)}$ on objects. In order to see that $\widetilde{(\cdot)}$ can be extended to a functor, use the defining property of $\zeta_{X}$ on arrows $f: X \rightarrow Y$ : let $\tilde{f}: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$ be the unique arrow making the square

a pullback (note, that $f$ is a partial map from $\tilde{X}$ to $Y$ ).
If $f=\mathrm{id}_{X}$, then clearly $\tilde{f}=\mathrm{id}_{\tilde{X}}$ since this turns the relevant square into a pullback. Similarly, for $g: Y \rightarrow Z$ we have that the outer square of the composite diagram

is a pullback; hence $\tilde{g} \tilde{f}=\widetilde{g f}$ by uniqueness. So $\widetilde{(\cdot)}$ is a functor, and $\zeta: \operatorname{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \Rightarrow \widetilde{(\cdot)}$ is a natural transformation.
b) First we need to see that $\tilde{F}$ as defined in part b) is indeed a sheaf on $X$. So, suppose we have a compatible family in $\tilde{F}$ at some open $U \subseteq X$, indexed by a covering sieve. That is, we have an open cover $\left(U_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ of $U$ and elements $\left(V_{i}, x_{i}\right)$ of $\tilde{F}\left(U_{i}\right)$. Hence $V_{i} \subseteq U_{i}$ and $x_{i} \in F\left(V_{i}\right)$. That this is a compatible family in $\tilde{F}$ at $U$, means that for $i, j \in I$ we have $x_{i} \upharpoonright V_{i} \cap V_{j}=x_{j} \backslash V_{i} \cap V_{j}$. We see that the family $\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ is a compatible family in $F$ at $\bigcup_{i \in I} V_{i}$. Since $F$ is a sheaf, this family has a unique amalgamation $x \in F(V)$ where $V=\bigcup_{i \in I} V_{i}$. Now the pair $(V, x) \in \tilde{F}(U)$ is the uniqwue amalgamation of the original family; we conclude that $\tilde{F}$ is a sheaf.
Clearly, we have a natural transformation $\zeta_{F}: F \rightarrow \tilde{F}$, defined by

$$
\left(\zeta_{F}\right)_{U}(x)=(U, x)
$$

Now suppose $G$ is a sheaf on the space $X, H \subseteq G$ a subsheaf and $\mu: H \rightarrow$ $F$ a morphism of sheaves. We define $\bar{\mu}: G \rightarrow \tilde{F}$ as follows: for $x \in G(U)$ let $\bar{\mu}_{U}(x)$ be $(V, y)$ where

$$
V=\bigcup\{W \subseteq U|x| W \in H(W)\}
$$

and $y \in F(V)$ is $\mu_{V}(x \upharpoonright V)$ (check that $x \upharpoonright V \in H(V)$ ). This is the only option for $\bar{\mu}$, and the pullback property is left to you to check.
c) [Sketch.] Now let $G$ be a sheaf on a site $(\mathcal{C}, J)$. For a subsheaf $H$ of $G$, an object $C$ of $\mathcal{C}$ and $x \in G(C)$, the sieve

$$
R_{x}=\left\{f: C^{\prime} \rightarrow C \mid G(f)(x) \in H\left(C^{\prime}\right)\right\}
$$

is closed, since $H$ is a subsheaf. Therefore, if $F$ is a sheaf and $\mu: H \rightarrow F$ a map of sheaves, for each $x \in G(C)$ we have a closed sieve $R_{x}$ on $C$ and an arrow $R_{x} \rightarrow F$.
So we define $\tilde{F}(C)$ to be the set of pairs $(R, \xi)$ where $R$ is a closed sieve on $C$ and $\xi$ a morphism $R \rightarrow F$ (i.e., a compatible family in $F$ at $C$, indexed by the closed sieve $R$ ). The rest is analogous to the case in b) and left to you.

