# Category Theory and Topos Theory, Spring 2018 Hand-In Exercises

## Jaap van Oosten

### February–June 2016

# 1 Exercises

**Exercise 1 (To be handed in February 19)** A Forest is a partially ordered set (F, <) such that for any  $x \in F$ , the set  $F_{<x} = \{y \in F \mid y < x\}$  is a finite linear order. The cardinality of  $F_{<x}$  is called the *level* of x. A morphism of forests  $F \to G$  is an order-preserving and level-preserving function. Clearly, we have a category of forests  $\mathcal{F}$ .

- a) A *tree* is a forest which has exactly one element of level 0 (the *root* of the tree. Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be the full subcategory (i.e. having the same morphisms) of  $\mathcal{F}$  on the trees. Show that the categories  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{T}$  are equivalent. Are they isomorphic? Motivate your answer.
- b) Show that the category  $\mathcal{F}$  is isomorphic to a category of the form  $\operatorname{Set}^{\mathcal{C}^{\operatorname{op}}}$  for a suitable small category  $\mathcal{C}$ .
- c) A forest F is called *well-founded* is there is no infinite sequence

 $x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \cdots$ 

in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Give a purely category-theoretic property which characterizes the well-founded forests in  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Exercise 2 (To be handed in March 5)** a) Consider the following diagram:



where we assume that the horizontal rows are equalizer diagrams, that  $cf_0 = f_1 b$ ,  $cg_0 = g_1 b$ , the left hand square commutes and the arrow c is monic. Prove that the left-hand square is a pullback.

b) Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a small category and C an object of  $\mathcal{C}$ ; consider the functor  $\mathcal{C}(C, -) : \mathcal{C} \to \text{Set}$ . Prove that this functor preserves all limits which exist in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Exercise 3 (To be handed in March 19) Throughout, we assume a regular category C.

a) Show that an arrow  $g: X \to Y$  is a regular epi precisely if the following condition holds: for every commutative diagram



with m mono, there is a unique arrow  $h: Y \to A$  such that mh = a and hg = b.

- b) Use part a) to show that for any composable pair  $A \xrightarrow{g} B \xrightarrow{f} C$  of arrows of C we have: if fg is regular epi, then so is f.
- c) For any arrow  $f: X \to Y$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  we define the graph of f as the subobject of  $X \times Y$  represented by the mono  $\langle \operatorname{id}_X, f \rangle : X \to X \times Y$ .

Suppose X, Y, Z are objects of  $C, g : Z \to Y$  is a regular epi and  $R \in$ Sub $(X \times Y)$ . Let  $S = (\mathrm{id}_X \times g)^*(R) \in$  Sub $(X \times Z)$ . Assume that the following two sequents of regular logic are true, with the evident interpretation:

$$\vdash_x \exists z S(x, z) \\ S(x, z) \land S(x, z') \vdash_{x, z, z'} z = z$$

Prove that there is an arrow  $f: X \to Y$  such that R is the graph of f.

**Exercise 4 (To be handed in April 9)** Let  $\mathcal{D} \xleftarrow{F}_{G} \mathcal{C}$  be an adjunction with

- $F\dashv G$  and G full and faithful. We denote the induced monad GF on  ${\mathcal C}$  by T.
- a) Prove that  $\mu$  (the multiplication of the monad) is a natural isomorphism.
- b) Is the functor G monadic? Justify your answer.

**Exercise 5 (To be handed in April 23)** Let X be a presheaf on a small category C and let Y be a subpresheaf of X. We see X as a structure for ther language which has just one unary relation symbol R, and  $[\![R]\!] = Y$ .

- a) Prove that the following three conditions are equivalent:
  - i) The sentence  $\forall x \neg \neg R(x)$  is true in the structure X.
  - ii) For every  $C \in \mathcal{C}_0$ , every  $\xi \in X(C)$  and every arrow  $g: C' \to C$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , there is an arrow  $h: C'' \to C'$  such that  $X(gh)(\xi) \in Y(C'')$ .

iii) For every subpresheaf Z of X which is not the initial presheaf, the intersection  $Y \cap Z$  is not the initial presheaf.

If these conditions hold then Y is said to be a *dense* subpresheaf of X.

b) Assume that C is a groupoid (all arrows are isomorphisms). Show that the only dense subpresheaf of X is X itself.

Exercise 6 (May be handed in digitally until May 9, midnight) In a category  $\mathcal{C}$  with pullbacks, a *partial map classifier* for an object X is a monomorphism  $\zeta_X : X \to \tilde{X}$  with the property that for any mono  $m : A \to B$  and arrow  $f : A \to X$  (this is regarded as a *partial map* from B to X) there is a unique arrow  $\bar{f} : B \to \tilde{X}$  which makes the diagram



a pullback.

- a) (4 points) Suppose for every object X of C there is a partial map classifier. Show that there is a functor  $(\widetilde{\cdot}) : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$  and a natural transformation  $\zeta : \operatorname{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \Rightarrow (\widetilde{\cdot})$  such that for every object X of C, the arrow  $\zeta_X : X \to \tilde{X}$  is a partial map classifier for X.
- b) (6 points) Let X be a topological space; we consider the category Sh(X) of sheaves over X. Given such a sheaf F, we denote the action of F on inclusions  $U \subseteq V$  (the morphisms in the category of open sets of X) by  $\uparrow$ : for  $x \in F(V)$  we write  $x \mid U$  for  $F(U \subseteq V)(x)$ . Now we define  $\tilde{F}$  as follows:

$$\tilde{F}(V) = \{(U, x) \mid U \subseteq V, x \in F(U)\}$$

and for  $V' \subseteq V$ , we define  $(U, x) \upharpoonright V'$  to be  $(U \cap V', x \upharpoonright (U \cap V'))$ . Show that there is a natural map  $F \to \tilde{F}$  in Sh(X) which is a partial map classifier for F.

c) (2 bonus points) Can you generalize the construction in b) to toposes of the form  $Sh(\mathcal{C}, Cov)$ ?

# 2 Solutions

Solution to Exercise 1.

a) Define functors  $F: \mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{T}$  and  $G: \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{W}$  as follows: given a forest W, add a new bottom element to this poset, obtaining F(W). For a morphism  $f: W \to W'$  we have  $F(f): F(W) \to F(W')$  which is f when restricted to W, and sends the bottom element to the bottom element of F(W'). Note that the level of each element of W is 1 higher in F(W) than in W. In the other direction, given a tree T,  $G(T) = T - \{r\}$  where r is the root of T. Here the levels get 1 lower, when we pass from T to G(T). The definition of G on arrows is left to you. It is not hard to prove that F and G are functors. Clearly, G(F(W)) = W, and F(G(T)) is isomorphic to T. The isomorphism is natural, because it is the identity except for the root.

The categories  $\mathcal{W}$  and  $\mathcal{T}$  cannot be isomorphic: look at initial objects in both categories. In  $\mathcal{T}$ , every singleton set is initial; but in  $\mathcal{W}$  there is exactly one initial object, the empty set. Since every isomorphism induces a bijection between the collections of initial objects, we cannot have an isomorphism.

Well... there was a difficulty in this exercise I wasn't fully aware of! The b) idea was: we take the poset  $\mathbb{N}$  for  $\mathcal{C}$ . For a functor  $X : \mathbb{N}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathrm{Set}$ , we define the poset G(X) as the set of pairs (n, x) satisfying  $x \in X(n)$ . We put  $(n,x) \leq (m,y)$  iff  $n \leq m$  and  $X_{nm}(y) = x$  (where  $X_{nm}: X(m) \to X(n)$ is the action of the functor X on the arrow  $n \leq m$ ). It is easy to convince oneself that G(X) is a forest. Conversely, given a forest W one has a functor  $F(W): \mathbb{N}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathrm{Set}$  by putting: F(W)(m) is the set of elements of W of level m. If  $n \leq m$  and  $x \in F(W)(m)$ , then there is a unique element of level n which is  $\leq x$ ; we define the action of F(W) on arrows accordingly. It is also straightforward that for a forest W, F(G(W)) is isomorphic to W and that for a functor X, G(F(X)) is isomorphic to X. So the pair F, G is an equivalence. However, it is not an isomorphism! Forests, being defined as posets, have the property that the level-sets (sets of elements of the same level) are pairwise disjoint. Functors  $X : \mathbb{N}^{\mathrm{op}} \to \mathrm{Set}$  do not have the property that X(n) is disjoint from X(m) if  $n \neq m!$  In short, we need an isomorphism between the category Set<sup>Nop</sup> and its full subcategory on the functors X for which the sets X(n) are pairwise disjoint. There is a solution to this, but it seems to involve a bit of the foundations of category theory...

Consider N-indexed sequences of cardinal numbers  $\kappa = (\kappa_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . For each such  $\kappa$ , let  $A_{\kappa}$  be the class of N-indexed families of sets  $X = (X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  which satisfy  $|X_n| = \kappa_n$  for each n. Let  $B_{\kappa}$  be the subclass of  $A_{\kappa}$  consisting of those X which moreover satisfy  $X_n \cap X_m = \emptyset$  for  $n \neq m$ . There is an injective operation from  $A_{\kappa}$  to  $B_{\kappa}$ , for example send X to the family  $(\{(x,n) \mid x \in X_n\})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . By the Cantor-Schröder-Bernstein theorem (which also holds for classes), there is a bijection  $F_{\kappa} : A_{\kappa} \to B_{\kappa}$  for each  $\kappa$ . Now we need a large axiom of choice (which is available if our category Set is "small" in some universe) to assign to any N-indexed family X a sequence of bijections  $f_n : X_n \to F_{\kappa}(X)_n$  (where  $\kappa = (|X_n|)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ).

Now, for an object X of  $\operatorname{Set}^{\mathbb{N}^{\operatorname{op}}}$ , we have its underlying N-indexed family (also denoted X, or  $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ), and the action on arrows  $X_{nm} : X_m \to X_n$ for  $n \leq m$ . We define the structure of a functor  $\mathbb{N}^{\operatorname{op}} \to \operatorname{Set}$  on  $F_{\kappa}(X)$  by putting

$$F_{\kappa}(X)_{nm}(y) = f_n(X_{nm}(f_m^{-1}(y)))$$

and for an arrow  $\mu : X \Rightarrow Y$  (where we have assigned  $(f_n)_n : X_n \to F_{\kappa}(X)_n$  to X and  $(g_n)_n : Y_n \to F_{\lambda}(Y)_n$  to Y), we define an arrow  $G(\mu) : F_{\kappa}(X) \to F_{\lambda}(Y)$  by

$$G(\mu)_n(x) = g_n(\mu_n(f_n^{-1}(x)))$$

One has to check that  $G(\mu)$  is indeed a natural transformation, and that the assignment G which sends every object X of  $\operatorname{Set}^{\mathbb{N}^{\operatorname{OP}}}$  to the functor  $F_{\kappa}(X)$  defined above and every  $\mu$  to  $G(\mu)$ , is indeed a functor; this is straightforward. We now have the desired isomorphism from  $\operatorname{Set}^{\mathbb{N}^{\operatorname{OP}}}$  to its full subcategory on the "pairwise disjoint" functors.

c) There is the tree  $\mathbb{N}$ , and it is clear that a forest F is well-founded if and only if there is no morphism of forests  $\mathbb{N} \to F$ . The forest  $\mathbb{N}$  is the terminal object of  $\mathcal{F}$ ; so a forest is well-founded if and only if it admits no arrow from the terminal object to itself.

#### Solution to Exercise 2.

a) Suppose that the diagram



commutes. Then  $f_1bk = f_1e_1h = g_1e_1h = g_1bk$ , so  $cf_0k = f_1bk = g_1bk = cg_0k$ . Since c is mono, we have  $f_0k = g_0k$ , and by the equalizer property of  $e_0$  we find that k factors uniquely through  $e_0$  by a map  $n : X \to A$ . Then  $e_1an = be_0n = bk = e_1h$ , so since  $e_1$  is mono, we have an = h. We conclude that the left hand square in the exercise is a pullback.

b) Suppose  $F : \mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{C}$  is a diagram and  $(D, \mu)$  is a limiting cone for F in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Composition with  $\mathcal{C}(C, -) : \mathcal{C} \to \text{Set}$  gives a diagram  $G(i) = \mathcal{C}(C, F(i))$  in Set, where, for  $f : i \to j$  in  $\mathcal{I}$ ,  $G(f) : \mathcal{C}(C, F(i)) \to \mathcal{C}(C, F(j))$  is given by composition with F(f).

If X is a set and  $\nu : \Delta_X \Rightarrow G$  a natural transformation then for each  $x \in X$  and  $i \in \mathcal{I}_0$  we have  $\nu_i(x) : C \to G(i)$  and for  $f : i \to j$  the diagram

$$C \xrightarrow{\nu_i(x)} G(i) = \mathcal{C}(C, F(i))$$

$$\downarrow^{G(f)}$$

$$G(j) = \mathcal{C}(C, F(j))$$

So for every  $x \in X$  we have a cone  $\rho(x)$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  with vertex C. Since  $(D,\mu)$  is limiting, we have a unique map of cones  $\rho(x) \to (D,\mu)$ ; that is, for each  $x \in X$  an arrow  $C \to D$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ . We conclude that the cone  $\mathcal{C}(C,D) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}(C,\mu)} \mathcal{C}(C,F)$  is limiting in Set.

#### Solution to Exercise 3.

a) First, suppose g is regular epi. The uniqueness of the required arrow  $h: Y \to A$  is immediate from the assumption that m is mono, so we prove that such h exists. For the arrows a and b, choose regular epi-mono factorizations  $a = m_1 e_1$ ,  $b = m_2 e_2$ . Using Proposition 4.3ii), we have that both  $m_1(e_1g)$  and  $(mm_2)e_2$  are regular epi-mono factorizations of the composition ag:



By the essential uniqueness of the regular epi-mono factorization, there is an isomorphism  $\sigma: Z_1 \to Z_2$  satisfying  $e_2 = \sigma e_1 g$  and  $mm_2\sigma = m_1$ . Then  $m_2\sigma e_1: Y \to A$  is the required diagonal filler.

Conversely, suppose such a diagonal filler always exists for any diagram meeting the specifications of the exercise. We have to prove that g is regular epi. Let  $X \xrightarrow{e} Z \xrightarrow{m} Y$  be the regular epi-mono factorization. Since the diagram



commutes and m is mono, there is a unique  $h: Y \to Z$  with  $mh = id_Y$ and hg = e. Now  $mhm = id_Ym = mid_Z$  so since m is mono,  $hm = id_Z$ . We see that h is an inverse for m, so g is regular epi.

b) Let  $A \xrightarrow{g} B \xrightarrow{f} C$  be arrows such that fg is regular epi. To show: f is regular epi. We use the criterion of part a), so suppose we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{c} B \xrightarrow{b} A \\ f \downarrow & \downarrow m \\ C \xrightarrow{a} B \end{array}$$

Compose this with g to obtain:



Since fg is regular epi we have a unique  $h: C \to A$  such that mh = a and hfg = bg. Then mhf = af = mb whence, since m is mono, hf = b; this means that h is also a diagonal filler for the original diagram.

c) This part requires some more work. The first thing to notice is, that a subobject R of  $X \times Y$  is tha graph of some  $f : X \to Y$  if and only if the composition  $R \to X \times Y \to X$  is an isomorphism. I leave this to you. We also use the fact that an arrow is an isomorphism if and only if it is both mono and regular epi.

We consider the subobjects  $\llbracket S(x,z) \rrbracket$ ,  $\llbracket S(x,z') \rrbracket$  and  $\llbracket z = z' \rrbracket$  of  $X \times Z \times Z$ . *Z*. We have the projections  $\pi_{12} : X \times Z \times Z \to X \times Z$  (projection on the first and second coordinate) and  $\pi_{13} : X \times Z \times Z \to X \times Z$ . We have:  $\llbracket S(x,z) \rrbracket = \pi_{12}^*(S)$  and  $\llbracket S(x,z') \rrbracket = \pi_{13}^*(S)$ , and  $\llbracket S(x,z) \wedge S(x,z') \rrbracket = \pi_{12}^*(S) \wedge \pi_{13}^*(S)$  (the second  $\wedge$  means: the meet in  $\operatorname{Sub}(X \times Z \times Z)$ ). The assumption that the sequent  $S(x,z) \wedge S(x,z') \vdash_{x,z,z'} z = z'$  is true means that

$$\pi_{12}^*(S) \wedge \pi_{13}^*(S) \le \llbracket z = z' \rrbracket \text{ in } \operatorname{Sub}(X \times Z \times Z)$$

Here  $[\![z = z']\!]$  is the subobject of  $X \times Z \times Z$  represented by the map  $\operatorname{id}_X \times \delta : X \times Z \to X \times Z \times Z$ , where  $\delta : Z \to Z \times Z$  is the diagonal.

Furthermore we notice that for  $S \in \operatorname{Sub}(X \times Z)$  the sequent  $\vdash_x \exists z S(x, z)$  is true if and only if  $S \to X$  is regular epi. Indeed, this sequent is true if and only if  $\exists_{\pi_X}(S)$  is the top element of  $\operatorname{Sub}(X)$  (where  $\pi_X : X \times Z \to X$  is the projection), that is: if and only if the composition  $S \to X \times Z \to X$  is regular epi.

Now suppose  $\langle i_X, i_Y \rangle : R \to X \times Y$  represents the subobject R and  $\langle u, v \rangle : S \times X \to Z$  represents S. We wish to show that  $i_X : R \to X$  is an isomorphism. Because the map  $u : S \to X$  is regular epi and it factors through  $i_X$ , by part b) of the exercise we know that  $i_X$  is regular epi. Therefore we have to see that  $i_X$  is mono.

Let  $V \xrightarrow{f} R$  be a parallel pair such that  $i_X f = i_X h$ . Consider the map

$$a = \langle i_X f, i_Y f, i_Y h \rangle = \langle i_X h, i_Y f, i_Y h \rangle : V \to X \times Y \times Y$$

and consider the pullback



Writing  $q_{12}, q_{13}$  for the projections  $X \times Y \times Y \to X \times Y$ , we see that the map *a* factors through  $q_{12}^*(R) \wedge q_{13}^*(R)$ , and therefore the map *b* factors through  $\pi_{12}^*(S) \wedge \pi_{13}^*(S)$ . It follows from what we have seen before, that *b* factors through the subobject  $\mathrm{id}_X \times \delta : X \times Y \to X \times Y \times Y$ , and this means that  $\pi_{12}b = \pi_{13}b$ . Now we get

$$q_{12}ac = \langle \mathrm{id}_X \times g \rangle \pi_{12}b = \langle \mathrm{id}_X \times g \rangle \pi_{13}b = q_{13}ac.$$

Because c is regular epi,  $q_{12}a = q_{13}a$ . But this means that f = h. This concludes the proof that  $i_X$  is mono, and the exercise.

#### Solution to Exercise 4.

a) The multiplication of the monad GF has components

$$\mu_C = G(\varepsilon_{F(C)}) : GFGF(C) \to GF(C).$$

So in order to prove that  $\mu$  is a natural isomorphism, it suffices to show that  $\varepsilon$  is a natural isomorphism. We prove that  $\varepsilon$  is both epi and split mono.

Consider a diagram  $FG(D) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_D} D \xrightarrow{f} D'$  in  $\mathcal{D}$  such that  $f\varepsilon_D = g\varepsilon_D$ . Then their transposes along  $F \dashv G$  are equal, which means G(f) = G(g).

Since G is faithful, we have f = g. We conclude that  $\varepsilon_D$  is epi.

Now, we prove that  $\varepsilon$  is split mono. Since G is full, we have an arrow  $\alpha : D \to FG(D)$  such that  $G(\alpha) = \eta_{G(D)} : G(D) \to GFG(D)$ . The composition  $FG(D) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_D} D \xrightarrow{\alpha} FG(D)$  transposes to  $G(\alpha) = \eta_{G(D)}$ , which is also the transpose of the identity on FG(D). We conclude that  $\alpha \varepsilon_D$  is the identity on FG(D), so  $\varepsilon_D$  is split mono.

b) The answer is yes. Suppose  $h : GF(D) \to D$  is a *T*-algebra. Then  $h\eta_D = \mathrm{id}_D$ . We consider

$$\eta_D h: GF(D) \to GF(D)$$

Since G is full, there is an arrow  $\beta : F(D) \to F(D)$  such that  $G(\beta) = \eta_D h$ . The transpose of  $\beta$  is  $G(\beta)\eta_D : D \to GF(D)$ , which by choice of  $\beta$  is equal to  $\eta_D h \eta_D = \eta_D$ , which is also the transpose of  $\mathrm{id}_{F(D)}$ . We conclude that  $\beta = \mathrm{id}_{F(D)}$ , so

$$\eta_D h = G(\beta) = G(\mathrm{id}_{F(D)}) = \mathrm{id}_{GF(D)}.$$

We see that h is a 2-sided inverse of  $\eta_D$ . So there is at most one T-algebra structure on an object D of  $\mathcal{D}$ . I leave it to you to prove that there is at least one, too, and to conclude that T – Alg is equivalent to  $\mathcal{D}$ .

#### Solution to Exercise 5.

a) i) $\Leftrightarrow$ ii): this is just working out the definition.

ii) $\Rightarrow$ iii): suppose Z is a nonempty subpresheaf of X; suppose  $\xi \in Z(C)$ . Taking the identity on C for g in ii), we see that for some  $h : C' \to C$ we have  $X(h)(\xi) \in Y(C')$ . Since Z is a subpresheaf of X,  $X(h)(\xi) \in Y(C') \cap Z(C')$ , therefore  $Y \cap Z$  is nonempty.

iii) $\Rightarrow$ ii): suppose  $\xi \in X(C)$ ,  $g: C' \to C$ . Consider the subpresheaf Z of X generated by  $X(g)(\xi)$ :  $Z(C'') = \{X(gh)(\xi) | h : C'' \to C'\}$ . Then Z is nonermpty. By iii),  $Z \cap Y$  is nonempty, so there is some  $h: C'' \to C'$  such that  $X(gh)(\xi) \in Y(C'')$ ; i.e., ii) holds.

b) Suppose  $Y \subseteq X$  is dense, and  $\xi \in X(C)$ . For some  $h : C' \to C$  we have  $X(h)(\xi) \in Y(C')$ . Now if h is an isomorphism, we find that  $X(h^{-1})(X(h)(\xi)) \in Y(C)$ , that is:  $\xi \in Y(C)$ . So Y = X.

#### Solution to Exercise 6.

a) Choosing for each object X of C a partial map classifier  $\zeta_X : X \to \tilde{X}$ , we have an assignment  $(\widetilde{\cdot})$  on objects. In order to see that  $(\widetilde{\cdot})$  can be extended to a functor, use the defining property of  $\zeta_X$  on arrows  $f : X \to Y$ : let  $\tilde{f} : \tilde{X} \to \tilde{Y}$  be the unique arrow making the square



a pullback (note, that f is a partial map from  $\tilde{X}$  to Y).

If  $f = \mathrm{id}_X$ , then clearly  $\tilde{f} = \mathrm{id}_{\tilde{X}}$  since this turns the relevant square into a pullback. Similarly, for  $g: Y \to Z$  we have that the outer square of the composite diagram



is a pullback; hence  $\tilde{g}\tilde{f} = \tilde{g}\tilde{f}$  by uniqueness. So  $(\widetilde{\cdot})$  is a functor, and  $\zeta : \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \Rightarrow (\widetilde{\cdot})$  is a natural transformation.

b) First we need to see that  $\tilde{F}$  as defined in part b) is indeed a sheaf on X. So, suppose we have a compatible family in  $\tilde{F}$  at some open  $U \subseteq X$ , indexed by a covering sieve. That is, we have an open cover  $(U_i)_{i \in I}$  of U and elements  $(V_i, x_i)$  of  $\tilde{F}(U_i)$ . Hence  $V_i \subseteq U_i$  and  $x_i \in F(V_i)$ . That this is a compatible family in  $\tilde{F}$  at U, means that for  $i, j \in I$  we have  $x_i \upharpoonright V_i \cap V_j = x_j \upharpoonright V_i \cap V_j$ . We see that the family  $(x_i)_{i \in I}$  is a compatible family in F at  $\bigcup_{i \in I} V_i$ . Since F is a sheaf, this family has a unique amalgamation  $x \in F(V)$  where  $V = \bigcup_{i \in I} V_i$ . Now the pair  $(V, x) \in \tilde{F}(U)$  is the uniqwue amalgamation of the original family; we conclude that  $\tilde{F}$  is a sheaf.

Clearly, we have a natural transformation  $\zeta_F: F \to \tilde{F}$ , defined by

$$(\zeta_F)_U(x) = (U, x).$$

Now suppose G is a sheaf on the space X,  $H \subseteq G$  a subsheaf and  $\mu : H \to F$  a morphism of sheaves. We define  $\bar{\mu} : G \to \tilde{F}$  as follows: for  $x \in G(U)$  let  $\bar{\mu}_U(x)$  be (V, y) where

$$V = \bigcup \{ W \subseteq U \, | \, x \! \upharpoonright \! W \in H(W) \}$$

and  $y \in F(V)$  is  $\mu_V(x \upharpoonright V)$  (check that  $x \upharpoonright V \in H(V)$ ). This is the only option for  $\overline{\mu}$ , and the pullback property is left to you to check.

c) [Sketch.] Now let G be a sheaf on a site  $(\mathcal{C}, J)$ . For a subsheaf H of G, an object C of  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $x \in G(C)$ , the sieve

$$R_x = \{ f : C' \to C \, | \, G(f)(x) \in H(C') \}$$

is closed, since H is a subsheaf. Therefore, if F is a sheaf and  $\mu : H \to F$  a map of sheaves, for each  $x \in G(C)$  we have a closed sieve  $R_x$  on C and an arrow  $R_x \to F$ .

So we define  $\tilde{F}(C)$  to be the set of pairs  $(R, \xi)$  where R is a closed sieve on C and  $\xi$  a morphism  $R \to F$  (i.e., a compatible family in F at C, indexed by the closed sieve R). The rest is analogous to the case in b) and left to you.