

Classical and Relative Realizability

Jaap van Oosten

Department of Mathematics, Utrecht University

PSSL 100, May 21, 2016

In Honour of Dana Scott

Joint work with Tingxiang Zou

Synopsis:

Some history

Analysis of Relative realizability

Streicher-style classical realizability

Booleanisation of closed subtoposes of relative realizability
toposes

When are such Boolean toposes localic?

History:

Kleene 1957: gives first definition of relative realizability

Kleene-Vesley 1965: relative realizability interpretation of intuitionistic analysis

Late 1990s: revival by Awodey, Birkedal and Scott

Late 1990s: Krivine discovers Classical realizability

1999: JvO visits Pittsburgh, listens to Anne-Sophie Mutter and learns lurid details about Clinton/Lewinsky

2002: paper Birkedal-JvO on Relative and Modified Relative Realizability

2006: paper Hofstra: All realizability is relative

2012: paper Streicher: triposes for classical realizability

BCOs and filtered order-pcas

We start our analysis from the point of view of Hofstra's *Basic Combinatorial Objects*.

A basic combinatorial object (BCO) is a poset (Σ, \leq) together with a set \mathcal{F}_Σ of partial endofunctions on Σ , with the following properties:

Each $f \in \mathcal{F}_\Sigma$ has downwards closed domain and is order-preserving on its domain

There is $i \in \mathcal{F}_\Sigma$ such that for all $x \in \Sigma$, $i(x) \leq x$

For every pair $f, g \in \mathcal{F}_\Sigma$ there is $h \in \mathcal{F}_\Sigma$ such that whenever $g(f(x))$ is defined, so is $h(x)$, and $h(x) \leq g(f(x))$

A morphism of BCOs $(\Sigma, \leq, \mathcal{F}_\Sigma) \rightarrow (\Theta, \leq, \mathcal{F}_\Theta)$ is a function $\phi : \Sigma \rightarrow \Theta$ with the properties:

There is $u \in \mathcal{F}_\Theta$ such that $a \leq a' \in \Sigma$ implies $u(\phi(a)) \leq \phi(a')$ in Θ

For every $f \in \mathcal{F}_\Sigma$ there is $g \in \mathcal{F}_\Theta$ such that whenever $f(x)$ is defined, $g(\phi(x)) \leq \phi(f(x))$

For two morphisms $\phi, \psi : \Sigma \rightarrow \Theta$ we say $\phi \leq \psi$ if for some $g \in \mathcal{F}_\Theta$ we have $g(\phi(x)) \leq \psi(x)$ for all $x \in \Sigma$.

BCOs, morphisms and inequalities form a preorder-enriched category \mathbb{BCO} .

\mathbb{BCO} has finite products, so we can talk about BCOs with *internal finite meets* (the BCO maps $\Sigma \rightarrow 1$ and $\Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma \times \Sigma$ have right adjoints in \mathbb{BCO}).

There is a 2-monad \mathcal{D} on \mathbb{BCO} : $\mathcal{D}\Sigma$ is the poset of downsets of Σ , with set of partial endomaps defined as follows: $F : \mathcal{D}\Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{D}\Sigma$ is in $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{D}\Sigma}$ if and only if for some $f \in \mathcal{F}_\Sigma$ we have:

$$U \in \text{dom}(F) \text{ iff } U \subseteq \text{dom}(f)$$

$$F(U) \text{ is the downwards closure of } \{f(x) \mid x \in U\}$$

The monad \mathcal{D} is a KZ-monad: algebra structures are unique up to isomorphism, and are left adjoint to units.

Every BCO Σ determines a Set-indexed preorder $[-, \Sigma]$: for two functions $\alpha, \beta : X \rightarrow \Sigma$, $\alpha \leq \beta$ iff for some $f \in \mathcal{F}_\Sigma$ we have $f(\alpha(x)) \leq \beta(x)$ for all $x \in X$

Example: filtered order-pcas.

An order-pca A is a poset (A, \leq) with a partial (application) map $A \times A \rightarrow A$, written $a, b \mapsto ab$, satisfying:

The domain of the application map is downwards closed and application is order-preserving in both variables on its domain

There are elements k, s in A with $kxy \leq x$ and $sxyz \leq (xz)(yz)$ (whenever $xz(yz)$ is defined).

A *filter* on an order-pca is a subset A' , closed under the application map, and containing choices for k and s .

Every order-pca A with filter A' is a BCO, with set of endomaps the maps $x \mapsto ax$, for $a \in A'$.

Note that every meet-semilattice (A, \wedge, \top) is a filtered order-pca, with \wedge as application and $\{\top\}$ as filter.

We have a straightforward generalization of Longley's *applicative morphisms* to filtered order-pcas.

Proposition

An applicative morphism of filtered order-pcas is just a BCO map which preserves internal finite meets.

Theorem (Hofstra)

For a BCO Σ , the Set-indexed preorder $[-, \mathcal{D}\Sigma]$ is a tripos precisely when Σ is equivalent to a filtered order-pca.

We are also interested in the question: when is $[-, \Sigma]$ a tripos?

Theorem (vO-Zou)

For a BCO Σ , the Set-indexed preorder $[-, \Sigma]$ is a tripos precisely when Σ is a filtered order-pca and a pseudo \mathcal{D} -algebra such that the algebra structure $\bigvee : \mathcal{D}\Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ preserves internal finite meets.

We could call such filtered order-pcas *lex cocomplete* (after Garner-Lack).

Note: this generalizes the infinite distributivity condition for locales.

Corollary

If $[-, \Sigma]$ is a tripos, it is a subtripos of $[-, \mathcal{D}\Sigma]$.

Classical Realizability

Definition (Streicher)

An abstract Krivine structure (*aks*) consists of the following data:

- i) A set Λ of terms, together with a binary operation $t, s \mapsto t \cdot s : \Lambda \times \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$, and distinguished elements K, S, \mathfrak{c} .
- ii) A subset QP of Λ (the set of quasi-proofs), which contains K, S and \mathfrak{c} , and is closed under the binary operation of i).
- iii) A set Π of stacks together with a 'push' operation

$$t, \pi \mapsto t \cdot \pi : \Lambda \times \Pi \rightarrow \Pi$$

as well as an operation

$$\pi \mapsto k_\pi : \Pi \rightarrow \Lambda$$

iv) A subset \perp (the *pole*) of $\Lambda \times \Pi$, which satisfies the following requirements:

(S1) If $(t, s.\pi) \in \perp$ then $(t \cdot s, \pi) \in \perp$

(S2) If $(t, \pi) \in \perp$ then $(K, t.s.\pi) \in \perp$ (for any term s)

(S3) If $((t \cdot u) \cdot (s \cdot u), \pi) \in \perp$ then $(S, t.s.u.\pi) \in \perp$

(S4) If $(t, k_\pi.\pi) \in \perp$ then $(\alpha, t.\pi) \in \perp$

(S5) If $(t, \pi) \in \perp$ then $(k_\pi, t.\pi') \in \perp$ (for any π')

Given a set U of terms and a set α of stacks, we define

$$\begin{aligned}U^\perp &= \{\pi \in \Pi \mid \text{for all } t \in U, (t, \pi) \in \perp\} \\ \alpha^\perp &= \{t \in \Lambda \mid \text{for all } \pi \in \alpha, (t, \pi) \in \perp\}\end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{P}_\perp(\Pi)$ be $\{\beta \subseteq \Pi \mid \beta^{\perp\perp} = \beta\}$, ordered by *reverse* inclusion. We define an application \bullet on $\mathcal{P}_\perp(\Pi)$ by putting

$$\alpha \bullet \beta = \{\pi \in \Pi \mid \text{for all } t \in |\alpha| \text{ and } s \in |\beta|, (t, s.\pi) \in \perp\}^{\perp\perp}$$

Moreover, let $\Phi \subseteq \mathcal{P}_\perp(\Pi)$ be the set

$$\Phi = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_\perp(\Pi) \mid \alpha^\perp \cap \text{QP} \neq \emptyset\}$$

Theorem (Streicher)

The set $\mathcal{P}_{\perp}(\Pi)$ forms, together with the given application, a total order-ca, and Φ is a filter in it. The Set-indexed preorder $[-, \mathcal{P}_{\perp}(\Pi)]$ is a Boolean tripos.

A tripos of this form is called a *Krivine tripos*.

Given a filtered order-pca (A, A') and a downwards closed set $U \subseteq A - A'$, we can produce an abstract Krivine structure, giving (by Streicher's construction) a filtered order-ca $\mathcal{P}(\Pi)_{A,A'}^U$.

Proposition

The tripos $[-, \mathcal{P}(\Pi)_{A,A'}^U]$ is equivalent to the subtripos of $[-, \mathcal{D}(A, A')]$ given by the local operator $((-) \Rightarrow U) \Rightarrow U$.

Corollary

Every Krivine tripos is the Booleanisation of a closed subtripos of a relative realizability tripos.

Proposition

The tripos $[-, \mathcal{P}(\Pi)_{A,A'}^U]$ is localic if and only if there exists an element $e \in A'$ with the following property:

whenever $ba \in U$ for some $b \in A'$, then $ea \in U$

Example

1. If $U = A - A'$ then $[-, \mathcal{P}(\Pi)_{A,A'}^U]$ is localic.
2. There is a “Turing reducibility” preorder \leq_T on A : $a \leq_T a'$ iff for some $b \in A'$, $ba' \leq a$. Note: $a \leq a'$ implies $a' \leq_T a$.
Suppose U is upwards closed w.r.t. \leq_T (hence downwards closed w.r.t. \leq). Then $[-, \mathcal{P}(\Pi)_{A,A'}^U]$ is localic.
3. Consider the pca $\mathcal{K}_2 = \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ with filter the set Rec of total recursive functions; let U be a set of non-recursive functions. If U is discrete in the subspace topology of $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$, then $[-, \mathcal{P}(\Pi)_{\mathcal{K}_2, \text{Rec}}^U]$ is non-localic.

Next Project

Can Krivine's models for ZF be constructed as initial ZF-algebras in these boolean subtoposes of relative realizability toposes?