

Version September 2008

INTRODUCTION TO QUANTUM FIELD THEORY

by

B. de Wit

Institute for Theoretical Physics

Utrecht University

Contents

1	Introduction	4
2	Path integrals and quantum mechanics	6
3	The classical limit	12
4	Continuous systems	22
5	Field theory	27
5.1	Second quantization	31
6	Correlation functions	41
6.1	Harmonic oscillator correlation functions; operators	42
6.2	Harmonic oscillator correlation functions; path integrals	44
6.2.1	Evaluating G_0	46
6.2.2	The integral over q_n	47
6.2.3	The integrals over q_1 and q_2	48
6.3	Conclusion	49
7	Euclidean Theory	52
8	Tunneling and instantons	61
8.1	The double-well potential	63
8.2	The periodic potential	71
9	Perturbation theory	79
10	More on Feynman diagrams	89
11	Fermionic harmonic oscillator states	102
12	Anticommuting c-numbers	106
13	Phase space with commuting and anticommuting coordinates and quantization	113
14	Path integrals for fermions	127
15	Feynman diagrams for fermions	137

16 Regularization and renormalization	147
17 Further reading	157

1 Introduction

Physical systems that involve an infinite number of degrees of freedom can conveniently be described by some sort of *field theory*. Almost all systems in nature involve an extremely large number of degrees of freedom. For instance, a droplet of water contains of the order of 10^{26} molecules and while each water molecule can in many applications be described as a point particle, each molecule has itself a complicated structure which reveals itself at molecular length scales. To deal with this large number of degrees of freedom, which for all practical purposes is infinite, one often regards a system as continuous, in spite of the fact that, at small enough distance scales, it is discrete. Another example is a violin string, which can be understood as a continuous system and whose vibrations are described by a function (called the ‘displacement field’) defined along the string that specifies its (transverse) displacement from equilibrium. This mechanical system is not described by specifying the equations of motion for each atom separately, but instead the displacement field is used as the dynamical variable, which, being continuous, comprises an infinite number of degrees of freedom. In classical mechanics field theory is thus obviously important for continuous systems. But also electromagnetic phenomena are described on the basis of a field theory. In Maxwell’s theory of electromagnetism the basic dynamical variables are the electric and magnetic fields. In terms of these fields one can both understand the electromagnetic forces between charges and the phenomenon of electromagnetic radiation.

The methods of classical mechanics can be suitably formulated so that they can be used for continuous systems. However, to give a quantum-mechanical treatment of field theory is much more difficult and requires new concepts. Some of these concepts are straightforward generalizations of the quantum-mechanical treatment of systems based on a finite number of degrees of freedom, but others are much less obvious. At the quantum mechanical level, the infinite number of degrees of freedom may give rise to divergences which appear when quantum operators are defined at the same point in space. These short-distance singularities require special care. A possible consequence of these singularities is that the physical relevance of a calculated result can not always be taken for granted. Likewise, also the physical meaning of the dynamical variables is not always obvious.

In these lectures we introduce concepts and methods used in quantum field theory. The lectures are not directly aimed at a particular application in physics, as quantum field theory plays a role in many of them, such as in condensed matter physics, nuclear physics, particle physics and string theory. But there is a certain unity in the methods that we use in all of these applications, which may carry different names or they may be used in different ways depending on the context. In these notes we are still somewhat biased in that we will usually

deal with relativistic field theories.

A central role in these lectures is played by the path integral representation of quantum field theory, which we will derive and use for both bosonic and for fermionic fields. Another topic is the use of diagrammatic representations of the path integral. We try to keep the context as simple as possible and this is the reason why we will often return to systems of a finite number of degrees of freedom, to bring out the underlying principles as clearly as possible. For instance, we discuss quantum tunneling by means of instantons, but we will do this for a single particle, thus making contact with ‘standard’ quantum mechanics.

At the end of each chapter we present a number of exercises, where the student can verify whether he/she has understood the material presented in that chapter and is able to apply it in more practical situations. These problems follow an integral part of this text.

Obviously, these lectures are but an introduction to the subject and the material that is covered is very incomplete. Therefore we have added a list of useful textbooks for further reading at the end.

2 Path integrals and quantum mechanics

In quantum mechanics the time evolution of states is governed by the Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\psi, t\rangle = H(P, Q) |\psi, t\rangle, \quad (2.1)$$

where $H(P, Q)$ is the Hamiltonian, and P and Q are the coordinate and the momentum operator (in this chapter we restrict ourselves to a single one-dimensional particle, so that we have only one coordinate and one momentum operator). The Schrödinger equation shows that there exists an evolution operator that relates states at time t to states at an earlier time t' , equal to

$$U(t, t') = \exp \left[-\frac{i}{\hbar} H(t - t') \right]. \quad (2.2)$$

The operators P and Q satisfy the well-known Heisenberg commutation relations

$$[Q, P] = i\hbar. \quad (2.3)$$

Consider now a basis for the quantum mechanical Hilbert space consisting of states $|q\rangle$ which are the eigenstates of the position operator Q with eigenvalue q taken at some given time. These states are time-independent and will in general not coincide with eigenstates of the Hamiltonian. The states $|q\rangle$ form a complete and orthonormal set, so that

$$\langle q_1 | q_2 \rangle = \delta(q_1 - q_2), \quad \int dq |q\rangle \langle q| = \mathbf{1}. \quad (2.4)$$

Similar equations hold for the states $|p\rangle$, which are the eigenstates of the momentum operator P .

From these results it follows that the wave function of a particle with momentum p is equal to

$$\langle q | p \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} pq}, \quad (2.5)$$

and the momentum operator reads $P = -i\hbar \partial / \partial q$. Using the ordering convention where momentum operators P are written to the left of position operators Q in the Hamiltonian $H(P, Q)$, we have

$$\langle p | H(P, Q) | q \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} pq} H(p, q), \quad (2.6)$$

where $H(p, q)$ is the function of p and q corresponding to $H(P, Q)$ with the operators ordered according to the prescription given above.

The above equations were defined in the so-called Schrödinger picture, where states are time-dependent while operators are in general time-independent. Alternatively, one can

make use of the Heisenberg picture. Here states are time-independent and can be defined by

$$|\psi\rangle_H \equiv |\psi, t = 0\rangle_S = e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}Ht} |\psi, t\rangle_S, \quad (2.7)$$

(instead of $t = 0$ one may also choose some other reference time) whereas operators are generally time-dependent and they are given by

$$A_H(t) = e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}Ht} A_S e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}Ht}. \quad (2.8)$$

Of course, these Heisenberg states are determined up to phase factors, but their precise definition is of no concern. Subsequently we consider a state $|q\rangle_{t_1}$ which at $t = t_1$ is an eigenstate of the Schrödinger position operator Q with eigenvalue q . In the Schrödinger picture, this state can be written as

$$(|q, t\rangle_{t_1})_S = e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}H(t-t_1)} |q\rangle, \quad (2.9)$$

while in the Heisenberg picture we have

$$(|q\rangle_{t_1})_H = e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}Ht_1} |q\rangle. \quad (2.10)$$

Observe that (2.10) is an eigenstate of $Q_H(t_1)$ with eigenvalue q . In field theory it is standard to use the Heisenberg picture, as we shall see later.

Define now the transition function between two such states,

$$W(q_2, t_2; q_1, t_1) \equiv {}_{t_2}\langle q_2 | q_1 \rangle_{t_1}, \quad (2.11)$$

which can be written as

$$W(q_2, t_2; q_1, t_1) = \langle q_2 | e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}H(t_2-t_1)} | q_1 \rangle, \quad (2.12)$$

and thus corresponds to matrix elements of the evolution operator. We now observe that W satisfies the following two properties. The first one is the product rule,

$$\int dq_2 W(q_3, t_3; q_2, t_2) W(q_2, t_2; q_1, t_1) = W(q_3, t_3; q_1, t_1). \quad (2.13)$$

The second one is an initial condition,

$$W(q_2, t; q_1, t) = \delta(q_2 - q_1). \quad (2.14)$$

The product rule (2.13) follows directly from the completeness of the states $|q_2\rangle$, while the initial condition (2.14) follows from (2.12) and (2.4).

We will now discuss an alternative representation for W in the form of a so-called path integral. For that purpose we evaluate W by means of a limiting procedure. We first divide a time interval (t_0, t_N) into N intervals (t_i, t_{i+1}) with $t_{i+1} - t_i = \Delta$, so that $t_N - t_0 = N\Delta$, and furthermore we write $q_i = q(t_i)$. Then $W(q_N, t_N; q_0, t_0)$ can be written as

$$W(q_N, t_N; q_0, t_0) = \int dq_{N-1} \cdots \int dq_1 W(q_N, t_N; q_{N-1}, t_{N-1}) \cdots W(q_1, t_1; q_0, t_0). \quad (2.15)$$

For small values of Δ we may write

$$\begin{aligned} W(q_{i+1}, t_i + \Delta; q_i, t_i) &= \langle q_{i+1} | e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} H(P, Q) \Delta} | q_i \rangle \\ &= \int dp_i \langle q_{i+1} | p_i \rangle \langle p_i | e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} H(P, Q) \Delta} | q_i \rangle \\ &\approx \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \int dp_i \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \left[p_i (q_{i+1} - q_i) - H(p_i, q_i) \Delta \right]\right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

where we made use of (2.6). Note that (2.6) is not applicable to matrix elements of powers of the Hamiltonian. Hence we first expanded the exponential to first order in Δ and subsequently we re-exponentiated the result. Therefore (2.16) is only valid in the limit of vanishing Δ . Substituting (2.16) into (2.15) now yields

$$\begin{aligned} W(q_N, t_N; q_0, t_0) &= \int dq_{N-1} \cdots \int dq_1 \int \frac{dp_0}{2\pi\hbar} \cdots \int \frac{dp_{N-1}}{2\pi\hbar} \\ &\quad \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \Delta \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \left[\frac{p_i (q_{i+1} - q_i)}{\Delta} - H(p_i, q_i) \right]\right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

Observe that we have $N - 1$ q_i integrals and N p_i integrals.

We now make the assumption that

$$H = \frac{P^2}{2m} + V(Q), \quad (2.18)$$

and therefore $H(p_i, q_i) = \frac{p_i^2}{2m} + V(q_i)$. The exponent in (2.17) can then be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p_i (q_{i+1} - q_i)}{\Delta} - \frac{p_i^2}{2m} - V(q_i) &= \\ &= \frac{1}{2m} \left(p_i - m \frac{q_{i+1} - q_i}{\Delta} \right)^2 + \frac{m}{2} \left(\frac{q_{i+1} - q_i}{\Delta} \right)^2 - V(q_i). \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

With this result the integrals over p_i in (2.17) are just Gaussian integrals,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ax^2} dx = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a}}, \quad (2.20)$$

apart from the fact that a is imaginary in this case $a = i\Delta/(2m\hbar)$. Ignoring this subtlety¹ for the moment let us perform the p_i integrals by means of (2.20), so that W becomes

$$W(q_N, t_N; q_0, t_0) = \int dq_{N-1} \cdots \int dq_1 \left(\frac{m}{2\pi i \hbar \Delta} \right)^{N/2} \times \exp \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \Delta \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \left[\frac{m}{2} \left(\frac{q_{i+1} - q_i}{\Delta} \right)^2 - V(q_i) \right] \right). \quad (2.21)$$

Taking the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, $\Delta \rightarrow 0$, while keeping $N\Delta = t_N - t_0$ fixed, the exponent in (1.20) tends to

$$\exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t_0}^{t_N} dt' \left(\frac{m}{2} (\dot{q}(t'))^2 - V(q(t')) \right) \right\}. \quad (2.22)$$

This can be written as $\exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} S[q(t)] \right\}$, where S is the (classical) action defined as the time integral of the Lagrangian $L = T - V$. Observe that the action is *not* a function, but a so-called functional, which assigns a number to each trajectory $q(t)$. Of course, by discretizing the time, as we did previously, the action can be regarded as a function of the $N+1$ variables $q_i = q(t_i)$. Furthermore, the integration over dq_i approaches an integration over *all possible functions* $q(t)$ with boundary values $q(t_{1,2}) = q_{1,2}$. Such an integral is called a *path integral* and we may write

$$W(q_2, t_2; q_1, t_1) = \int_{\substack{q(t_1)=q_1 \\ q(t_2)=q_2}} \mathcal{D}q(t) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S[q(t)]}. \quad (2.23)$$

This is the path integral representation of W . Observe that this representation obviously satisfies the product rule (2.13).

In the path integral (2.23) the factor $\exp \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} S[q(t)] \right)$ thus assigns a weight to every "path" or trajectory described by the function $q(t)$. In contrast with the usual integration of functions, the path integral is an integration of functionals. It is not easy to give a general and more rigorous definition of a path integral. Such a definition depends on the class of functionals that appear in the integrand. The expression that results from the limiting procedure (cf. (2.21)) is one particular way to define a path integral. It is known as the Wiener measure, and is usually defined by the requirement that $\int dq_2 W(q_1, t_1; q_2, t_2) = 1$ when the action is that of a free particle. Indeed, it is easy to verify that the N integrals in (2.21) over q_0, \dots, q_{N-1} yield 1 in the case that $V(q_i) = 0$. It turns out, that this definition applies also to more general functionals S that consist of a standard kinetic term and a large class of potentials V (at least, in the Euclidean theory, where one does not have the troublesome factor of i in the exponential; see chapter 7). For this class, trajectories that are not sufficiently smooth will be suppressed in the integral.

¹Observe that (2.20) still holds provided $\text{Re } a > 0$.

An important advantage of the path-integral formalism as compared to the canonical operator approach, is that it is manifestly Lorentz invariant (see chapter 5). The reason is that the action is a Lorentz scalar, at least for relativistically invariant theories. Another advantage is that the path integral can also be used in those cases where the time variable can not be globally defined. This may happen when the (field) theory is defined in a space-time of nontrivial topology.

We will now demonstrate some properties of W . First let $\{|n\rangle\}$ be a complete set of eigenstates of H : $H|n\rangle = E_n|n\rangle$. In the q representation, where $\langle q|n\rangle = \varphi_n(q)$, we then find the following expression for W

$$W(q_2, t_2; q_1, t_1) = \sum_n \varphi_n(q_2) \varphi_n^*(q_1) e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} E_n(t_2 - t_1)}. \quad (2.24)$$

It is easily checked that (2.13) and (2.14) are indeed valid for this representation of W , as a result of the fact that the eigenstates $|n\rangle$ form a complete orthonormal set. Observe that (2.24) is precisely the evolution operator in the Schrödinger representation, so that wave functions $\psi(q, t)$ satisfy

$$\psi(q, t) = \int dq' W(q, t; q', t_0) \psi(q', t_0). \quad (2.25)$$

Therefore W must itself satisfy the Schrödinger equation, as follows indeed from (2.24),

$$\begin{aligned} i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t_2} W(q_2, t_2; q_1, t_1) &= i\hbar \sum_n \left(-\frac{i}{\hbar} E_n \right) \varphi_n(q_2) \varphi_n^*(q_1) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} E_n(t_1 - t_2)} \\ &= \sum_n H_{q_2} \varphi_n(q_2) \varphi_n^*(q_1) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} E_n(t_1 - t_2)} \\ &= H_{q_2} W(q_2, t_2; q_1, t_1), \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

where H_q is the Hamilton operator in the coordinate representation.

Problems chapter 2

Problem 2.1 : How will (2.23) change for a system described by the Hamiltonian $H = \frac{P^2}{2m} + V(Q)$? Show that the action will acquire certain modifications of order \hbar , as a result of the integrations over the p_i .

Problem 2.2 : The free relativistic particle

When a free particle travels from one point to another, the obvious relativistic invariant is the proper time, i.e. the time that it takes measured in the rest frame of the particle. During

an infinitesimal amount of time dt a particle with velocity $\dot{\mathbf{q}}$ is displaced over a distance $d\mathbf{q} = \dot{\mathbf{q}} dt$. As is well-known, the corresponding time interval is shorter in the particle rest frame, and equal to $d\tau = \sqrt{1 - (\dot{\mathbf{q}}/c)^2} dt$, where c is the velocity of light. If we integrate the proper time during the particle motion we have a relativistic invariant. Hence we assume a Lagrangian

$$L = -mc^2 \sqrt{1 - (\dot{\mathbf{q}}/c)^2}. \quad (2.27)$$

Show that the momentum and energy are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{p} &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\mathbf{q}}} = \frac{m\dot{\mathbf{q}}}{\sqrt{1 - (\dot{\mathbf{q}}/c)^2}}, \\ E &= \dot{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \mathbf{p} - L = \frac{mc^2}{\sqrt{1 - (\dot{\mathbf{q}}/c)^2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

and satisfy the relation $E^2 = \mathbf{p}^2 c^2 + m^2 c^4$. To study the Lorentz transformations is somewhat involved, as we are not dealing with space-time coordinates, but with trajectories $\mathbf{q}(t)$. Under Lorentz transformations both \mathbf{q} and the time t transform, and an infinitesimal transformation takes the form $\mathbf{q}'(t') \approx \mathbf{q}(t) + \mathbf{v} t$ with $t' \approx t + c^{-2}(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{q}(t))$. This is enough to establish that $d\tau$ is invariant and so is the action. In order to demonstrate this, derive the *infinitesimal* transformation for the velocity,

$$(\dot{\mathbf{q}})'(t') \approx \left[1 - \frac{\mathbf{v} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{q}}(t)}{c^2} \right] \dot{\mathbf{q}}(t) + \mathbf{v}. \quad (2.29)$$

Note that the Hamiltonian is not invariant as \mathbf{p} and E transform as a four-vector.

3 The classical limit

In the path integral one sums over all possible trajectories of a particle irrespective of whether these trajectories follow from the classical equations of motion. However, in the limit $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ one expects that the relevant contribution comes from the classical trajectory followed by the particle. To see how this comes about, consider the path integral,

$$W = \int \mathcal{D}q e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S[q(t)]}, \quad (3.1)$$

and note that for $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ the integrand $\exp(\frac{i}{\hbar}S)$ becomes a rapidly oscillating "function" (or rather a functional) of $q(t)$. Therefore the integral (3.1) will tend to vanish. The dominant contributions to the integral come from those $q(t)$ at which S approaches an extremum: $\delta S[q(t)] = 0$. This we recognize as Hamilton's principle, according to which the *classical* path is described by that function $q_0(t)$ for which the action has an extremum (note that we are discussing paths that are all subject to the same boundary condition: $q(t_{1,2}) = q_{1,2}$). As is well known, this function must then satisfy the Euler-Lagrange equations. We will make this more explicit in due course.

The fact that we are dealing with a functional, rather than a function, makes our manipulations more involved, and we will have functional derivatives, rather than ordinary derivatives. Normally a derivative of a function $f(x)$ is generated by a displacement $x \rightarrow x + \delta x$, so that $\delta f(x) = f'(x) \delta x$. For a functional $F[f(x)]$ the *functional derivative* is generated by $f(x) \rightarrow f(x) + \delta f(x)$ and the variation of F takes the form,

$$\delta F[f] = \int dx \frac{\partial F[f]}{\partial f(x)} \delta f(x). \quad (3.2)$$

This defines the functional derivative $\partial F[f]/\partial f(x)$. Alternatively the functional derivative can be understood by discretizing x into N parameters $f_i \equiv f(x_i)$, so that $F[f]$ becomes a function $F(x_i)$ of N variables. The integral in (3.2) is then replaced by a sum: $\delta F = \sum_i (\partial F/\partial f_i) \delta f_i$. We have already used this approach in the previous chapter and the reader is encouraged to consider such limiting expressions and verify that they lead to the correct result in the continuum limit.

Let us now return to the path integral (3.1). In quantum mechanics the classical path is somewhat smeared out; the deviations of the classical path are expected to be of order $\sqrt{\hbar}$. To study the quantum-mechanical corrections, let us expand the action about some solution $q_0(t)$ of the equation of motion,

$$S[q(t)] = S[q_0(t)]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{2} \int dt'_1 dt'_2 \frac{\partial^2 S[q(t)]}{\partial q(t'_1) \partial q(t'_2)} \Big|_{q=q_0} (q(t'_1) - q_0(t'_1))(q(t'_2) - q_0(t'_2)) \\
& + \frac{1}{6} \int dt'_1 dt'_2 dt'_3 \frac{\partial^3 S[q(t)]}{\partial q(t'_1) \partial q(t'_2) \partial q(t'_3)} \Big|_{q=q_0} (q(t'_1) - q_0(t'_1))(q(t'_2) - q_0(t'_2))(q(t'_3) - q_0(t'_3)) \\
& + \dots
\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Note that we suppressed the term proportional to $\partial S[q(t)]/\partial q(t'_1)$ because q_0 is a solution of the equation of motion, so that $\delta S[q_0(t)] = 0$. Substituting (3.3) into (3.1), W becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
W & = \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar} S[q_0(t)]\right) \\
& \times \int \mathcal{D}q \exp\left\{\frac{i}{2\hbar} \int dt'_1 dt'_2 \frac{\partial^2 S[q(t)]}{\partial q(t'_1) \partial q(t'_2)} \Big|_{q=q_0} (q(t'_1) - q_0(t'_1))(q(t'_2) - q_0(t'_2)) + \dots\right\},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

where the terms in parentheses represent the quantum-mechanical corrections. It is convenient to replace the integration variables $q(t)$ by $q_0(t) + \sqrt{\hbar}q(t)$, so that, up to an irrelevant Jacobian factor, which can be absorbed into the integration measure, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
W & \propto \int \mathcal{D}q \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \left\{ S[q_0(t)] \right. \right. \\
& \quad + \frac{\hbar}{2} \int dt'_1 dt'_2 \frac{\partial^2 S[q(t)]}{\partial q(t'_1) \partial q(t'_2)} \Big|_{q=q_0} q(t'_1) q(t'_2) \\
& \quad \left. \left. + \frac{\hbar^{3/2}}{6} \int dt'_1 dt'_2 dt'_3 \frac{\partial^3 S[q(t)]}{\partial q(t'_1) \partial q(t'_2) \partial q(t'_3)} \Big|_{q=q_0} q(t'_1) q(t'_2) q(t'_3) + \dots \right\}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Observe that the new integration variable $q(t)$ satisfies the boundary conditions $q(t_{1,2}) = 0$. The functional derivatives of the action are taken at the classical solution $q_0(t)$ and they are therefore not affected by the change of variables.

Let us now recall the following equalities,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_1 \cdots dx_n e^{-(x, Ax)} = \pi^{n/2} (\det A)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{3.6}$$

$$\det A = e^{\ln \det A} = e^{\text{Tr} \ln A}, \tag{3.7}$$

where A is an $n \times n$ matrix and

$$(x, Ax) \equiv \sum_{i,j} x_i A_{ij} x_j. \tag{3.8}$$

It is possible to use analogous expressions for functions. One replaces the continuous time variable by a finite number of discrete points, just as in the limiting procedure employed in the previous chapter. Integrals then take the form of sums and, for instance, the term containing

$\partial^2 S/\partial q(t'_1)\partial q(t'_2)$ in (3.4) can be written as (q, Sq) , where S_{ij} is a matrix proportional to $\partial^2 S/\partial q(t'_i)\partial q(t'_j)$ and $q_i \equiv q(t'_i)$. Using the analogue of (3.6-3.7), W can be written as

$$W \propto \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \left\{ S[q_0(t)] + \frac{1}{2}i\hbar \delta(0) \int dt'_1 dt'_2 \delta(t'_1 - t'_2) \ln \frac{\partial^2 S[q(t)]}{\partial q(t'_1)\partial q(t'_2)} \Big|_{q=q_0} + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^2) \right\}\right), \quad (3.9)$$

where $\delta(t'_1 - t'_2)$ establishes that the trace is taken; $\delta(0)$ represents the inverse separation distance between the discrete time points before taking the continuum limit. In this limit we thus encounter a divergence. In practice we will try to avoid expressions like these and try to rewrite determinants as much as possible in terms of Gaussian integrals. (Observe that in this result we have absorbed certain q_0 -independent multiplicative terms in the path integral.) Of course, we can also write the above formula as

$$W \propto \left[\det \left(\frac{\partial^2 S[q(t)]}{\partial q(t'_1)\partial q(t'_2)} \Big|_{q=q_0} \right) \right]^{-1/2} \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \left\{ S[q_0(t)] + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^2) \right\}\right), \quad (3.10)$$

where we have introduced the determinant of a functional differential operator (subject to the appropriate boundary conditions; therefore this differential operator usually has a discrete eigenvalue spectrum). Note that the prefactor in (3.10) does not depend on \hbar although it represents a quantum-mechanical correction. When suppressing the order \hbar^2 terms in the exponent (3.10) is referred to as the semiclassical approximation.

Let us now derive the following useful result. If the action is at most quadratic in $q(t)$, we only have the second-order term proportional to $\partial^2 S[q(t)]/\partial q(t'_1)\partial q(t'_2)$ in (3.5), which is independent of q_1 and q_2 . Furthermore the boundary condition $(q(t_{1,2}) = 0)$ in the path integral (3.5) does not refer to $q_{1,2}$ either. Therefore the full dependence on $q_{1,2}$ is contained in the classical result $S_{cl} \equiv S[q_0]$, so that W reduces to

$$W(q_2, t_2; q_1, t_1) = f(t_1, t_2) \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar} S_{cl}\right). \quad (3.11)$$

In most theories the Lagrangian does not depend explicitly on the time. In that case the path integral depends only on the difference $t_2 - t_1$. This conclusion is in accord with the representation (2.24). Hence we may write

$$W(q_2, t_2; q_1, t_1) = f(t_2 - t_1) \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar} S_{cl}\right). \quad (3.12)$$

The function f can be determined by various methods. Either one imposes the product rule (2.13) (although this may lead to technical difficulties in view of the factor i in the exponent) or one derives a first-order differential equation for f which follows from the Schrödinger equation (2.26), in which case one needs (2.14) to fix the overall normalization

of f . If one of the eigenfunctions of the Schrödinger equation is explicitly known, one may also determine f from (2.25).

We will now describe the transition from classical mechanics to quantum mechanics in a more formal manner (which was first proposed by Dirac). In classical mechanics, the equations of motion follow from a variational principle, known as Hamilton's principle, according to which the classical trajectory is the one for which the action acquires an extremum,

$$\delta S[q(t)] = 0, \quad (3.13)$$

where

$$S[q(t)] = \int dt L(q, \dot{q}) \quad (3.14)$$

is the action, and $L(q(t), \dot{q}(t))$ is the Lagrangian of the system. The conjugate momentum is defined by

$$p \equiv \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}}. \quad (3.15)$$

and the differential equation corresponding to (3.13) is the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} p = \frac{\partial L}{\partial q}. \quad (3.16)$$

The Hamiltonian is given by

$$H(p, q) \equiv p \dot{q} - L(q, \dot{q}). \quad (3.17)$$

Observe that this relation takes the form of a Legendre transform. Note that the Hamiltonian is a function of the phase space variables p and q . From

$$\begin{aligned} \delta H &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial q} \delta q + \frac{\partial H}{\partial p} \delta p \\ &= \delta p \dot{q} + \left(p - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \right) \delta \dot{q} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q} \delta q, \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

we deduce that the Euler-Lagrange equations can be expressed in terms of Hamilton's equations

$$\dot{q} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p}, \quad \dot{p} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q}. \quad (3.19)$$

This equation can be written with the help of so-called Poisson brackets,

$$\frac{dq}{dt} = (q, H), \quad \frac{dp}{dt} = (p, H), \quad (3.20)$$

where the Poisson bracket for two functions $A(p, q)$ and $B(p, q)$ is defined as

$$(A, B) \equiv \frac{\partial A}{\partial q} \frac{\partial B}{\partial p} - \frac{\partial A}{\partial p} \frac{\partial B}{\partial q}. \quad (3.21)$$

Note that

$$(q, p) = 1, \quad (3.22)$$

and that the time evolution of some function u of the coordinate and momentum, $u(q(t), p(t); t)$, is given by

$$\frac{du}{dt} = (u, H) + \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}. \quad (3.23)$$

In quantum mechanics the coordinates and momenta become operators and the Poisson brackets are replaced by $(i\hbar)^{-1}$ times the commutator. Therefore we obtain in the Heisenberg picture (where operators are time-dependent),

$$[Q(t), P(t')]_{t=t'} = i\hbar \quad (3.24)$$

and

$$\frac{dU}{dt} = \frac{1}{i\hbar}[U, H] + \frac{\partial U}{\partial t}, \quad (3.25)$$

where U is some operator depending on $Q(t)$, $P(t)$ and t . This result is in one-to-one correspondence with the classical result (3.23). This feature is the motivation for using the Heisenberg picture in field theory.

The Feynman path integral leads to an alternative description of quantum mechanics, where the time evolution is encoded in the transition function,

$$W(q_2, t_2; q_1, t_1) = \int \mathcal{D}q \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar}S[q(t)]\right). \quad (3.26)$$

Both the conventional operator formalism and the path integral formalism have advantages and disadvantages, depending on the particular application that one is considering. Often insights from both descriptions are combined, a strategy that sometimes leads to surprising results.

Problems chapter 3

Problem 3.1 : Functions versus functionals

Consider a functional

$$F[f] = \int dx f(x). \quad (3.27)$$

- i) Interpret this expression by discretizing the continuous variable x into N independent variables x_i separated by an increment Δ . The continuum limit amounts to shrinking $\Delta \rightarrow 0$ keeping the range of integration $N\Delta$ fixed. Write down (3.27) as a sum over the function values $f_i = f(x_i)$.

- ii) Define the functional derivative $\partial F[f]/\partial f(x)$ of (3.27) by considering infinitesimal changes of the function $f(x)$. Rewrite this definition in the limiting procedure.
- iii) In the discrete case one would expect that the functional derivative corresponds to the partial derivative with respect to the variables f_i . Can you verify whether or not this is the case? If not, can you write down the correct expression for the functional derivative in the discretized case, such that you obtain the same answer as for the functional derivative?
- iv) Now consider some general functional $F[f]$ subject to the functional differential equation,

$$\frac{\partial F[f]}{\partial f(x)} = \lambda(x) F[f]. \quad (3.28)$$

Derive the solution by first solving the discretized equation and subsequently taking the continuum limit.

Problem 3.2 : The free particle

The Lagrangian is given by

$$L = \frac{1}{2}m\dot{q}^2. \quad (3.29)$$

The equation of motion implies that the velocity must be constant. Therefore the velocity equals

$$\dot{q} = \frac{q_2 - q_1}{t_2 - t_1}.$$

It is now easy to calculate the action for a solution of the equation of motion subject to the proper boundary conditions,

$$S_{cl} = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt' \frac{m}{2} \left(\frac{q_2 - q_1}{t_2 - t_1} \right)^2 = \frac{m}{2(t_2 - t_1)} (q_2 - q_1)^2. \quad (3.30)$$

According to (3.12) the path integral takes the form

$$W = f(t_2 - t_1) \exp \left\{ \frac{im}{2\hbar} \frac{(q_2 - q_1)^2}{t_2 - t_1} \right\},$$

where f can be determined by imposing the Schrödinger equation (2.26). This leads to the following differential equation for f ,

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t_2} + \frac{f}{2(t_2 - t_1)} = 0.$$

Show that, up to a multiplicative constant, this leads to

$$W(q_2, t_2; q_1, t_1) = \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi i\hbar(t_2 - t_1)}} \exp \left\{ \frac{im}{2\hbar} \frac{(q_2 - q_1)^2}{t_2 - t_1} \right\}. \quad (3.31)$$

Problem 3.3 :

Check by using (2.14) that (3.31) is properly normalized and verify the product rule (2.13).

Problem 3.4 : The harmonic oscillator

From the Lagrangian

$$L = \frac{1}{2}m\dot{q}^2 - \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2q^2, \quad (3.32)$$

one easily proves the following results. The classical solution for $q(t)$ is given by

$$q(t) = \frac{1}{\sin \omega(t_2 - t_1)} \left(q_2 \sin \omega(t - t_1) - q_1 \sin \omega(t - t_2) \right), \quad (3.33)$$

whereas the corresponding classical action equals

$$S_{cl} = \frac{m\omega}{2 \sin \omega(t_2 - t_1)} \left\{ (q_1^2 + q_2^2) \cos \omega(t_2 - t_1) - 2q_1q_2 \right\}. \quad (3.34)$$

The path integral is again of the form (3.12). Show that it satisfies the Schrödinger equation when

$$f(t_2 - t_1) = \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{2\pi i \hbar \sin \omega(t_2 - t_1)}},$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} W(q_2, t_2; q_1, t_1) &= \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{2\pi i \hbar \sin \omega(t_2 - t_1)}} \\ &\times \exp \left\{ \frac{im\omega}{2\hbar \sin \omega(t_2 - t_1)} \left[(q_1^2 + q_2^2) \cos \omega(t_2 - t_1) - 2q_1q_2 \right] \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.35)$$

Explain the singularities that arise when $t_2 - t_1 = n\pi/\omega$.

Problem 3.5 : The evolution operator

Verify the validity of (2.25) for the harmonic oscillator with $\psi(q, t)$ the groundstate wave function, using (3.35),

$$\psi(q, t) = \varphi_0(q) \exp(-\frac{1}{2}i\omega t) = \left(\frac{m\omega}{\pi \hbar} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \exp \left(-\frac{m\omega}{2\hbar} q^2 - \frac{1}{2}i\omega t \right).$$

This confirms that W is thus the evolution operator in the coordinate representation.

Problem 3.6 : The Gel'fand-Yaglom method

From (3.5) it follows that the transition function for a particle on a line with potential energy $V(q)$ can be approximated by (semi-classical approximation),

$$W \simeq F(t_N, t_0) \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} S[q_0(t)] \right\},$$

where the prefactor is given by the path integral

$$F(t_N, t_0) = \int dq_{N-1} \dots \int dq_1 \left(\frac{m}{2\pi i \hbar \Delta} \right)^{N/2} \hbar^{(N-1)/2} \quad (3.36)$$

$$\times \exp \left\{ i\Delta \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \left[\frac{m}{2} \frac{(q_{i+1} - q_i)^2}{\Delta^2} - \frac{m}{2} \omega_i^2 q_i^2 \right] \right\},$$

with $q_N = q_0 = 0$ and $m\omega^2(t) \equiv d^2V(q)/dq^2|_{q(t)=q_0(t)}$. To calculate such path integrals there exists a general method due to Gel'fand and Yaglom. Their method is as follows.

Show that the exponent in the integrand can be written as

$$\frac{im}{2\Delta} q_i A_{ij}(\omega) q_j,$$

where q_i are the components of an $(N-1)$ -component vector (q_{N-1}, \dots, q_1) and $A_{ij}(\omega)$ are the elements of an $(N-1) \times (N-1)$ matrix equal to

$$A_{N-1}(\omega) = \begin{pmatrix} 2 - \Delta^2 \omega_{N-1}^2 & -1 & 0 & \cdot \\ -1 & 2 - \Delta^2 \omega_{N-2}^2 & -1 & \cdot \\ 0 & -1 & 2 - \Delta^2 \omega_{N-3}^2 & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \end{pmatrix}.$$

Performing the integrations we thus find the result

$$F(t_N, t_0) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi i \hbar \Delta}} \left[\det A_{N-1}(\omega) \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Observe that this expression depends on $q_0(t)$ through the ω_i .

We now introduce

$$\Psi_N \equiv \Delta \det A_N(\omega).$$

Show that Ψ_N obeys the difference equation

$$\Psi_N = (2 - \Delta^2 \omega_N^2) \Psi_{N-1} - \Psi_{N-2},$$

with $\Psi_1 = \Delta(2 - \Delta^2 \omega_1^2)$ and $\Psi_2 = \Psi_1 + \Delta + O(\Delta^3)$. In the continuum limit we therefore obtain

$$\frac{d^2 \Psi(t, t_0)}{dt^2} = -\omega^2(t) \Psi(t, t_0).$$

with the initial conditions $\Psi(t, t_0) = 0$ and $d\Psi(t, t_0)/dt = 1$ for $t = t_0$. Moreover, the desired expression for $\Delta \det A(\omega)$ then equals $\Psi(t_N)$, thus

$$F(t_N, t_0) = \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi i \hbar \Psi(t_N, t_0)}}.$$

Problem 3.7: Show that the Gel'fand-Yaglom method leads also to the results (3.31) and (3.35) in the case $\omega(t) = 0$ or $\omega(t) = \omega$, respectively.

Problem 3.8: Verify the correctness of (3.9) by uniformly scaling the operator $\delta^2 S / \delta q(t'_1) \delta q(t'_2)$ by a constant. Evaluate the effect of this scaling both directly and by returning to the corresponding term in (3.5). To obtain agreement, it is important to regard the various quantities as (infinite-dimensional) matrices.

Problem 3.9: The path integral in phase space

Consider the transition function $W(q_N, t_N; q_0, t_0) \equiv {}_{t_N} \langle q_N | q_0 \rangle_{t_0}$ for a particle on a line. According to (2.17) it can be written as a path integral over phase space. In this path integral, we are dealing with N p -integrations, but only $N - 1$ q -integrations. Therefore it is natural to consider instead the transition function $W(p_N, t_N; q_0, t_0) \equiv {}_{t_N} \langle p_N | q_0 \rangle_{t_0}$, which can be obtained by making use of (2.5).

- i) From (2.17) derive a discrete expression for $W(p_N, t_N; q_0, t_0)$ and subsequently take the continuum limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ to obtain a phase-space path integral based on two paths, $p(t)$ and $q(t)$. Specify the boundary conditions for both these paths.

From here you are supposed to only make use of the continuum expressions for the transition function. This expression is very similar to that for the fermionic path integral, which we will introduce in a later chapter. Note, in particular, that the action contains a boundary term and is only linear in time derivatives.

- ii) Determine the 'action' $S[p(t), q(t)]$ that appears in the exponent of the integrand of the path-integral representation for $W(p', t'; q, t)$. Do not overlook a boundary term.
- iii) Express the transition function $W(p'', t''; q, t)$ in terms of the transition functions $W(p'', t''; q', t')$ and $W(p', t'; q, t)$ with $t'' > t' > t$. Make use of the completeness of the states $\{|p\rangle\}$ and $\{|q\rangle\}$.
- iv) Derive from $W(p', t'; q, t)$ (or obtain directly from (2.17)) a path integral expression for $W(q', t'; p, t) \equiv {}_t \langle q | p \rangle_{t'}$. Extract again the relevant action and specify the boundary conditions for the path integrations over $p(t)$ and $q(t)$.
- vi) Prove by means of the above action that the classical limit $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ gives rise to Hamilton's equations with corresponding boundary conditions for $p(t)$ and $q(t)$.
- vii) Argue that the unirarity of the evolution operator implies $[W(p', t'; q, t)]^* = W(q, t; p', t')$ and prove that this equation is satisfied for the path integral representation.

Problem 3.10 : Jacobi identity for Poisson brackets and commutators

In (3.21) we introduced the Poisson bracket. Show that it satisfies the Jacobi identity,

$$(A, (B, C)) + (B, (C, A)) + (C, (A, B)) = 0. \quad (3.37)$$

Subsequently show that the same identity is satisfied for commutators of matrices.

Problem 3.11 : A conserved quantity

Consider the Lagrangian for a particle in two dimensions with coordinates $\mathbf{q}(t) = (q_1(t), q_2(t))$, moving in some potential,

$$L = \frac{1}{2}m(\dot{q}_1^2 + \dot{q}_2^2) - \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2(q_1^2 + q_2^2) - \lambda(q_1^2 + q_2^2)^2. \quad (3.38)$$

- i) Argue that the Lagrangian is invariant under two-dimensional rotations of the coordinates q_1 and q_2 . Show that an infinitesimal rotation corresponds to $\delta q_1 = \theta q_2$ and $\delta q_2 = -\theta q_1$, where θ is the infinitesimal rotation angle. Prove that this transformation leaves the Lagrangian invariant.
- ii) Allow the infinitesimal rotation parameter θ to depend on time and evaluate again the variation of the Lagrangian under an infinitesimal rotation. Assuming $\theta(t_1) = \theta(t_2) = 0$, write the variation of the action in the form $\delta S[\mathbf{q}(t)] = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \theta(t) \dot{Q}(t)$ and determine $Q(t)$.
- iii) Use Hamilton's principle and the boundary conditions on $\theta(t)$ to argue that $Q(t)$ is a conserved quantity, i.e. it does not depend on the time. Verify this also by explicit calculation.
- iv) Write down the momenta \mathbf{p} and express Q in terms of coordinates and momenta. Write down the expression for the Hamiltonian and the canonical commutation relations of coordinates and momenta.
- v) Write down the commutators of the Hamiltonian with the coordinate and momentum operators. Determine also the commutation relations of Q with the coordinate and momentum operators. Can you establish a relation between the latter commutators and the infinitesimal rotations?
- vi) Evaluate the commutator $[Q, H]$ and interpret the result.

4 Continuous systems

Until now we have discussed a system with a finite number of degrees of freedom. The transition to an infinite number of degrees of freedom is necessary for the treatment of continuous systems, such as a vibrating solid, since their motion is described by specifying the position coordinates of all points of the solid. The continuum case can be understood as the appropriate limit of a system with a finite number of discrete coordinates. (The text below is taken from De Wit & Smith).

We illustrate this procedure for an elastic rod of fixed length l , undergoing small longitudinal vibrations. The continuous rod can be approximated by a set of discrete coordinates representing a long chain of n equal mass particles spaced a distance a apart and connected by $n + 1$ uniform massless springs having force constants k . The total length of the system equals $l = (n + 1)a$. If the displacement of the i -th particle from its equilibrium position is measured by the quantity ϕ_i then the kinetic energy of this one-dimensional lattice is

$$T = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n m \dot{\phi}_i^2, \quad (4.1)$$

where m is the mass of each particle. The potential energy is the sum of $n + 1$ potential energies of each spring as the result of being stretched or compressed from its equilibrium length (note that $\phi_0 = \phi_{n+1} = 0$),

$$V = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^n k(\phi_{i+1} - \phi_i)^2, \quad (4.2)$$

where k is some constant. The force on the i th particle follows from the potential via $F_i = -\partial V/\partial \phi_i$:

$$F_i = k(\phi_{i+1} - \phi_i) - k(\phi_i - \phi_{i-1}) = k(\phi_{i+1} + \phi_{i-1} - 2\phi_i).$$

The force thus decomposes into two parts; the force exerted by the spring on the right of the i th particle, equal to $k(\phi_{i+1} - \phi_i)$, and the force exerted by the spring on the left, equal to $k(\phi_i - \phi_{i-1})$. Combining (4.1) and (4.2) gives the Lagrangian

$$L = T - V = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n m \dot{\phi}_i^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^n k(\phi_{i+1} - \phi_i)^2. \quad (4.3)$$

The corresponding Euler-Lagrange equations yield Newton's law $m\ddot{\phi}_i = F_i$.

In order to describe the elastic rod we must take the continuum limit of the system discussed above. Hence we increase the number of particles to infinity ($n \rightarrow \infty$) keeping

the total length $l = (n + 1)a$, and the mass per unit length $\mu = m/a$ fixed. Furthermore $Y = ka$ must be kept fixed as well; this follows from Hooke's law, which tells us that the extension of the rod per unit length is directly proportional to the force exerted on the rod, with Young's modulus being the constant of proportionality. In the discrete case the force between two particles is $F = k(\phi_{i+1} - \phi_i)$, and the extension of the interparticle spacing per unit length is $(\phi_{i+1} - \phi_i)/a$; hence we identify $Y = ka$ as Young's modulus which should be kept constant in the continuum limit.

Rewriting the Lagrangian (4.3) as

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n a \left(\frac{m}{a} \dot{\phi}_i^2 \right) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^n a(ka) \left(\frac{\phi_{i+1} - \phi_i}{a} \right)^2, \quad (4.4)$$

it is straightforward to take the limit $a \rightarrow 0$, $n \rightarrow \infty$ with $l = (n + 1)a$, $\mu = m/a$ and $Y = ka$ fixed. The continuous position coordinate x now replaces the label i , and ϕ_i becomes a function of x , i.e. $\phi_i \rightarrow \phi(x)$. Hence the Lagrangian becomes an integral over the length of the rod

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^l dx [\mu \dot{\phi}^2 - Y(\partial_x \phi)^2], \quad (4.5)$$

where we have used

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \frac{\phi_{i+1} - \phi_i}{a} = \lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \frac{\phi(x+a) - \phi(x)}{a} = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \equiv \partial_x \phi.$$

Also the equation of motion for the coordinate ϕ_i can be obtained by this limiting procedure. Starting from

$$\frac{m}{a} \ddot{\phi}_i - ka \frac{\phi_{i+1} + \phi_{i-1} - 2\phi_i}{a^2} = 0, \quad (4.6)$$

and using

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \frac{\phi_{i+1} + \phi_{i-1} - 2\phi_i}{a^2} = \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} \equiv \partial_{xx} \phi,$$

the equation of motion becomes

$$\mu \ddot{\phi} - Y \partial_{xx} \phi = 0. \quad (4.7)$$

We see from this example that x is a continuous variable replacing the discrete label i . Just as there is a generalized coordinate ϕ_i for each i , there is a generalized coordinate $\phi(x)$ for each x , i.e. the finite number of coordinates ϕ_i has been replaced by a function of x . In fact ϕ depends also on time, so we are dealing with a function of two variables. This function

$\phi(x, t)$ is called the *displacement field*, and $\dot{\phi} = \partial_t \phi$ and $\partial_x \phi$ are its partial derivatives with respect to time and position.

The Lagrangian (4.5) appears as an integral over x of

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}\mu\dot{\phi}^2 - \frac{1}{2}Y(\partial_x\phi)^2, \quad (4.8)$$

which is called the Lagrangian density. In this case it is a function of $\phi(x, t)$ and its first-order derivatives $\partial_t\phi(x, t)$ and $\partial_x\phi(x, t)$, but one can easily envisage further generalizations. It has become common practice in field theory to simply call the Lagrangian density the Lagrangian, as the space integral of the Lagrangian density will no longer play a role. What is relevant is the action, which can now be written as an integral over both space and time, i.e.

$$S[\phi(x, t)] = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \int_0^l dx \mathcal{L}(\phi(x, t), \dot{\phi}(x, t), \partial_x\phi(x, t)). \quad (4.9)$$

It is a functional of $\phi(x, t)$, i.e. it assigns a number to any function of space and time.

It is possible to obtain the equations of motion for $\phi(x, t)$ directly from Hamilton's principle by following the same arguments as in the previous chapter. One then investigates the change in the action under an infinitesimal change in the fields

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x, t) &\rightarrow \phi(x, t) + \delta\phi(x, t), \\ \partial_t\phi(x, t) &\rightarrow \partial_t\phi(x, t) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\delta\phi(x, t), \\ \partial_x\phi(x, t) &\rightarrow \partial_x\phi(x, t) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\delta\phi(x, t), \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

leading to

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S[\phi(x, t)] &= S[\phi(x, t) + \delta\phi(x, t)] - S[\phi(x, t)] \\ &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \int_0^l dx \left\{ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi(x, t)} \delta\phi(x, t) + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_t \phi(x, t))} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \delta\phi(x, t) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_x \phi(x, t))} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \delta\phi(x, t) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

Integrating the second and third terms by parts

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_t \phi)} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \delta\phi &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_t \phi)} \delta\phi \Big|_{t_1}^{t_2} - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_t \phi)} \right) \delta\phi, \\ \int_0^l dx \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_x \phi)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \delta\phi &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_x \phi)} \delta\phi \Big|_{t_1}^{t_2} - \int_0^l dx \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_x \phi)} \right) \delta\phi, \end{aligned}$$

leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \delta S[\phi(x, t)] &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \int_0^l dx \delta\phi \left\{ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_t \phi)} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_x \phi)} \right) \right\} \\ &\quad + \int_0^l dx \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_t \phi)} \delta\phi \Big|_{t=t_1}^{t=t_2} + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_x \phi)} \delta\phi \Big|_{x=0}^{x=l}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Hamilton's principle requires that the action be stationary with respect to infinitesimal variations of the fields that leave the field values at the initial and final time unaffected, i.e. $\phi(x, t_1) = \phi_1(x)$ and $\phi(x, t_2) = \phi_2(x)$. Therefore we have $\delta\phi(t_1, x) = \delta\phi(t_2, x) = 0$. On the other hand, because the rod is clamped, the displacement at the endpoints must be zero, i.e. $\delta\phi(x, t) = 0$ for $x = 0$ and $x = l$. Under these circumstances the last two terms in (4.12) vanish, and Hamilton's principle gives

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_t \phi)} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_x \phi)} \right) - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} = 0 \quad (4.13)$$

This is the Euler-Lagrange equation for a continuous system. As a check one can insert the Lagrangian (4.8) into (4.13) to derive the equation of motion, which indeed gives (4.7). Note that with a suitable choice of units we can write the Lagrangian (4.8) as

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial_x \phi)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_t \phi)^2. \quad (4.14)$$

The generalization to continuous systems in more space dimensions is now straightforward, and one can simply extend the definitions of the Lagrangian and the Euler-Lagrange equations. For example, in two dimensions one may start with a two-dimensional system of springs. The displacement of the particle at the site labelled by (i, j) is measured by the quantity $\phi_{ij}(t)$, which is a two-dimensional vector. In the limit when we go to a continuous system this becomes the two-dimensional displacement field $\phi(x, y, t)$, of a membrane subjected to small vibrations in the (x, y) plane.

Problems chapter 4

Problem 4.1 : A vibrating membrane

Consider a membrane (for instance of a drum) and let the field $\phi(x, y, t)$ measure the displacement of the membrane in the direction orthogonal to the membrane. Argue that for small oscillations the relevant Lagrangian density takes the form

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2}Y(\partial_x \phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}Y(\partial_y \phi)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\mu(\partial_t \phi)^2, \quad (4.15)$$

in rescaled units. Give possible reasons for suppressing a term proportional to $\partial_x \partial_y \phi$ and for choosing equal coefficient for the first two terms. Derive the corresponding Euler-Lagrange equations. Write down the normal modes (characterized by a well-defined frequency) for a square membrane where $0 \leq x, y \leq L$. These normal modes can be written as $\sin k_x x \sin k_y y \cos(\omega t + \alpha)$, where the k_x, k_y are the wave numbers and ω denotes the frequency. Express these in terms of Y and μ .

5 Field theory

As we have seen above, the action S in field theory is no longer a function of a finite number of coordinates, but of fields. These fields are functions defined in a d -dimensional space-time, parametrized by the time t and by $d - 1$ spatial coordinates. Henceforth we use a d -vector notation, $x^\mu = (\vec{x}, t)$. Usually we will consider Lorentz invariant field theories. There are many such theories, but the simplest ones are based on scalar fields $\phi(\vec{x}, t)$. Here the field is the dynamical variable and the coordinates \vec{x} should be regarded as labels; they simply specify the value of ϕ at a given point in space and time. For instance, a standard action for a single scalar field $\phi(x) = \phi(\vec{x}, t)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S[\phi(x)] &= \int d^d x \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \phi(x))^2 - \frac{1}{2}m^2 \phi^2(x) \right\} \\ &= \int dt \int d^{d-1} x \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(\partial_t \phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\vec{\nabla} \phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}m^2 \phi^2 \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

which is indeed Lorentz invariant, owing to the fact that we adopted a metric with signature $(-1, 1, \dots, 1)$, corresponding to the Lorentz-invariant inner product $x^2 = \vec{x}^2 - t^2$. Observe that here, and throughout these notes, we choose units where $c = 1$. The field equation (or equation of motion) corresponding to (5.1) is the Klein-Gordon equation,

$$(\partial_\mu^2 - m^2)\phi = 0. \quad (5.2)$$

The action (5.1) describes a free scalar field; interactions will be described by terms of higher order in ϕ .

The expression in parentheses in (5.1) is called the Lagrangian *density*, denoted by \mathcal{L} , because its integral over space defines the Lagrangian,

$$S[\phi] = \int dt \int d^{d-1} x \mathcal{L}(\phi(x), \partial_\mu \phi(x)) = \int dt L. \quad (5.3)$$

In order to see that the action (5.1) describes an infinite number of degrees of freedom, we may decompose the field $\phi(\vec{x}, t)$ in terms of a complete set of functions $Y^A(\vec{x})$,

$$\phi(\vec{x}, t) = \sum_A \phi_A(t) Y^A(\vec{x}). \quad (5.4)$$

When $\{A\}$ is a continuous set, the sum is replaced by an integral. In this particular case, we may consider

$$\phi(\vec{x}, t) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d-1}{2}} \int d^{d-1} k \phi_{\vec{k}}(t) e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}. \quad (5.5)$$

Substituting this expansion into (5.1) we get

$$S[\phi] = \int dt \left\{ \int d^{d-1}k \frac{1}{2} \left[|\dot{\phi}_{\vec{k}}(t)|^2 - (\vec{k}^2 + m^2) |\phi_{\vec{k}}(t)|^2 \right] \right\}, \quad (5.6)$$

where we used that for a real scalar field $[\phi_{\vec{k}}(t)]^* = \phi_{-\vec{k}}(t)$, as can be seen from (5.5). We recognize (5.6) as the action for an infinite set of independent harmonic oscillators with frequencies $\sqrt{\vec{k}^2 + m^2}$. (See problem 1.1.)

Let us therefore momentarily return to the case of a single harmonic oscillator. The Lagrangian is equal to

$$L = \frac{1}{2}m\dot{q}^2 - \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2q^2. \quad (5.7)$$

Defining the canonical momentum in the standard way,

$$p \equiv \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} = m\dot{q}, \quad (5.8)$$

the Hamiltonian reads (from now on it should be clear from the context when p and q are operators, and we will no longer indicate this by using P and Q)

$$H \equiv p\dot{q} - L = \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2q^2. \quad (5.9)$$

We introduce the raising and lowering operators, a^\dagger and a ,

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m\hbar\omega}} (m\omega q + ip), \\ a^\dagger &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m\hbar\omega}} (m\omega q - ip). \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

The canonical commutation relations (3.24) imply that

$$[a, a^\dagger] = 1. \quad (5.11)$$

Clearly, a is not an hermitean operators, unlike p and q . Using the inverse of (5.10),

$$\begin{aligned} q &= \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} (a + a^\dagger), \\ p &= -im\omega \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} (a - a^\dagger), \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

we rewrite the Hamiltonian as

$$H = \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega(a a^\dagger + a^\dagger a) = \hbar\omega(a^\dagger a + \frac{1}{2}). \quad (5.13)$$

In the Heisenberg picture we have time-dependent operators $a(t)$ and $a^\dagger(t)$,

$$a(t) = e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}Ht} a e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}Ht}, \quad a^\dagger(t) = e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}Ht} a^\dagger e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}Ht}, \quad (5.14)$$

which satisfy

$$\frac{da}{dt} = \frac{i}{\hbar}[H, a], \quad \frac{da^\dagger}{dt} = \frac{i}{\hbar}[H, a^\dagger]. \quad (5.15)$$

Note that, since $[H, a] = -\hbar\omega a$ and $[H, a^\dagger] = \hbar\omega a^\dagger$, we can easily derive that

$$a(t) = a e^{-i\omega t}, \quad a^\dagger(t) = a^\dagger e^{i\omega t}. \quad (5.16)$$

Obviously in this picture we have the same decomposition as in (5.12),

$$\begin{aligned} q(t) &= \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} (a(t) + a^\dagger(t)), \\ p(t) &= -im\omega \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} (a(t) - a^\dagger(t)). \end{aligned} \quad (5.17)$$

Note that the operators $q(t)$ and $p(t)$ satisfy the classical equations of motion, $m\dot{q} = p$ and $\dot{p} = -m\omega^2 q$. It is straightforward to calculate the commutators of the Heisenberg operators. First note that $[a(t), a(t')] = [a^\dagger(t), a^\dagger(t')] = 0$ and $[a(t), a^\dagger(t')] = \exp i\omega(t' - t)$, so that we derive

$$\begin{aligned} [q(t), q(t')] &= \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} ([a(t), a^\dagger(t')] + [a^\dagger(t), a(t')]) \\ &= -\frac{\hbar i}{m\omega} \sin \omega(t - t'). \end{aligned} \quad (5.18)$$

Analogously,

$$[q(t), p(t')] = i\hbar \cos \omega(t - t'). \quad (5.19)$$

For $t = t'$, (5.18) vanishes and (5.19) yields $i\hbar$, which are the expected equal-time results.

We now return to the field theory defined by (5.1). The canonical momentum is defined by the functional derivative of the Lagrangian (regarded as a functional over $\phi(\vec{x}, t)$ and $\dot{\phi}(\vec{x}, t)$ for given t),

$$\pi(\vec{x}, t) \equiv \frac{\partial L[\phi, \dot{\phi}]}{\partial \dot{\phi}(\vec{x}, t)}. \quad (5.20)$$

For the case at hand, this yields

$$\pi(\vec{x}, t) = \dot{\phi}(\vec{x}, t). \quad (5.21)$$

The Hamiltonian equals

$$\begin{aligned} H[\phi, \pi] &= \int d^{d-1}x \left\{ \pi \dot{\phi} - \mathcal{L} \right\} \\ &= \int d^{d-1}x \left\{ \frac{1}{2}\pi^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\vec{\nabla}\phi)^2 + \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2 \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.22)$$

and is a functional of $\phi(\vec{x}, t)$ and $\pi(\vec{x}, t)$. Similar to what was done for a simple system depending on one degree of freedom (see the text following (3.17)) we may establish that the variation of the Hamiltonian reads

$$\begin{aligned}\delta H &= \int d^{d-1}x \left\{ \delta\pi \dot{\phi} + \left[\pi - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\phi}} \right] \delta\dot{\phi} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \phi} \delta\phi \right\} \\ &= \int d^{d-1}x \left\{ \frac{\partial H}{\partial \pi} \delta\pi + \frac{\partial H}{\partial \phi} \delta\phi \right\},\end{aligned}\tag{5.23}$$

where, in the second line, we used the Euler-Lagrange equations.

Since this field theory describes just an infinite set of harmonic oscillators, its quantization is obvious. In analogy with (5.17) the field, which now represents an operator acting on a quantum-mechanical Hilbert space, can be decomposed in creation and absorption operators. In the Heisenberg picture we thus find (see also problem 5.1)

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(\vec{x}, t) &= \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{(2\pi)^{d-1}}} \int \frac{d^{d-1}k}{\sqrt{2k_0}} \left\{ a(\vec{k}) e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}-ik_0t} + a^\dagger(\vec{k}) e^{-i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}+ik_0t} \right\}, \\ \pi(\vec{x}, t) &= \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{(2\pi)^{d-1}}} \int \frac{d^{d-1}k}{\sqrt{2k_0}} (-ik_0) \left\{ a(\vec{k}) e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}-ik_0t} - a^\dagger(\vec{k}) e^{-i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}+ik_0t} \right\},\end{aligned}\tag{5.24}$$

where the frequencies are given by $k_0 = \sqrt{\vec{k}^2 + m^2}$. The reason for the factor $(2k_0)^{-1/2}$ in the integrands is follows from substituting $m = 1$ and $\omega = k_0$ in the expressions for a single harmonic oscillator. Note that $\phi(\vec{x}, t)$ is a solution of the field equation (5.2), so that the field satisfies the classical equation of motion. Canonical commutation relations are now effected by

$$[\phi(\vec{x}, t), \pi(\vec{x}', t')]_{t=t'} = i\hbar \delta^{d-1}(\vec{x} - \vec{x}'),\tag{5.25}$$

which is equivalent to

$$[a(\vec{k}), a^\dagger(\vec{k}')] = \delta^{d-1}(\vec{k} - \vec{k}').\tag{5.26}$$

We may also consider the analogue of (5.18) and compute the commutator for the field operators at different space-time points. When these two points, say (\vec{x}, t) and (\vec{x}', t') , are separated by a space-like distance, so that $(\vec{x} - \vec{x}')^2 > (t - t')^2$, this commutator should vanish, because we can always transform to another Lorentz frame such that the two points are at equal time. Let us verify that this is indeed the case. Using (5.24) we evaluate (cf. (5.18))

$$\begin{aligned}[\phi(\vec{x}, t), \phi(\vec{x}', t')] &= \frac{\hbar}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \int \frac{d^{d-1}k d^{d-1}k'}{\sqrt{4k_0k'_0}} \left\{ e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}-ik_0t-i\vec{k}'\cdot\vec{x}'+ik'_0t'} [a(\vec{k}), a^\dagger(\vec{k}')] \right. \\ &\quad \left. + e^{-i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}+ik_0t+i\vec{k}'\cdot\vec{x}'-ik'_0t'} [a^\dagger(\vec{k}), a(\vec{k}')] \right\},\end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{2i\hbar}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \int \frac{d^{d-1}k}{2k_0} \sin k \cdot (x - x'). \quad (5.27)$$

In this integral, the scalar product $k \cdot (x - x') = \vec{k} \cdot (\vec{x} - \vec{x}') - k_0(t - t')$ is Lorentz invariant. Furthermore, it can be shown that the integral $\int \frac{d^{d-1}k}{2k_0}$ is also Lorentz invariant, so that (5.27) is a Lorentz invariant function. If $x - x'$ is a space-like vector, we can exploit the Lorentz invariance of (5.27) by performing a Lorentz transformation such that t becomes equal to t' . Then it is obvious that (5.27) vanishes because the integrand is odd in \vec{k} .

So we conclude that $[\phi(x), \phi(x')] = 0$ whenever x and x' are separated by a space-like distance. This phenomenon is known as *local commutativity*, a fundamental property that any relativistic field theory should satisfy. Local quantum operators taken at points that are not causally connected, commute.

5.1 Second quantization

We started by introducing field theories as a system of infinitely many degrees of freedom. However, there is a dual interpretation which is known under the term ‘second quantization’. By first quantization one means the usual quantum mechanics, used to describe a system with a finite number of degrees of freedom. For instance, take a free particle, whose states are described in terms of a wave number or a momentum vector, say \vec{p} . Both classically and quantum-mechanically the energy of this state is determined in terms of the momentum and denoted by $E_{\vec{p}}$. The precise dependence of $E_{\vec{p}}$ on \vec{p} is not important. For instance, for a free relativistic particle of mass μ we would have $E_{\vec{p}} = \sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + \mu^2}$, while in the nonrelativistic case we have $E_{\vec{p}} = \frac{1}{2}\vec{p}^2/\mu$. Now one introduces a Hilbert space, called *Fock space*, consisting of states that describe an arbitrary number of free particles. Assuming that the particles are bosons, the multiparticle states should be symmetric under interchange. Therefore it is sufficient to specify the *occupation numbers* $n_{\vec{p}}$ which give the number of particles with the same momentum \vec{p} . The vacuum (or groundstate) of the Fock space is denoted by $|0\rangle$, and is the state that contains no particles. Then we have the one-particle states $|\vec{p}\rangle$, the two-particle states $|\vec{p}, \vec{p}'\rangle$, and so on. Using the occupation numbers, we can generally denote these states by

$$|n_{\vec{p}_1}, n_{\vec{p}_2}, n_{\vec{p}_3}, \dots\rangle, \quad \text{with } n_{\vec{p}_i} = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (5.28)$$

where \vec{p}_i are the possible momenta. The energy of these states is given by

$$E(n_{\vec{p}_i}) = \sum_i n_{\vec{p}_i} E_{\vec{p}_i}. \quad (5.29)$$

In the Fock space we can define creation and annihilation operators, which increase or decrease the occupation numbers by 1. They are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} a(\vec{p}_i) |n_{\vec{p}_1}, n_{\vec{p}_2}, \dots, n_{\vec{p}_i}, \dots\rangle &= \sqrt{n_{\vec{p}_i}} |n_{\vec{p}_1}, n_{\vec{p}_2}, \dots, n_{\vec{p}_i} - 1, \dots\rangle, \\ a^\dagger(\vec{p}_i) |n_{\vec{p}_1}, n_{\vec{p}_2}, \dots, n_{\vec{p}_i}, \dots\rangle &= \sqrt{n_{\vec{p}_i} + 1} |n_{\vec{p}_1}, n_{\vec{p}_2}, \dots, n_{\vec{p}_i} + 1, \dots\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (5.30)$$

With this definition, one can show that

$$[a(\vec{p}_i), a^\dagger(\vec{p}_j)] = \delta_{ij}. \quad (5.31)$$

The energy of the multiparticle states (cf. 3.27) are given by the eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian

$$H_0 = \sum_i a^\dagger(\vec{p}_i) a(\vec{p}_i) E_{\vec{p}_i}. \quad (5.32)$$

It is easy to introduce interactions into this theory by including terms of higher order in the operators a and a^\dagger . For instance, the term

$$H_{\text{int}} = \sum_{i,j,k,l} \delta(\vec{p}_i + \vec{p}_j - \vec{p}_k - \vec{p}_l) V_{ijkl} a^\dagger(\vec{p}_i) a^\dagger(\vec{p}_j) a(\vec{p}_k) a(\vec{p}_l), \quad (5.33)$$

describes a four-particle interaction in which two particles are annihilated and two other particles are created. The delta function in (5.33) ensures momentum conservation.

The key observation is that the energy spectrum for the multiparticle states coincides with the spectrum of a system of infinitely many harmonic oscillators with frequencies $\hbar^{-1} E_{\vec{p}_i}$. Using the operators a and a^\dagger we can now write down fields $\phi(\vec{x}, t)$ by using the expression (5.24) with $\vec{k} = \hbar^{-1} \vec{p}$ and $k_0 = \hbar^{-1} E_{\vec{p}}$. The above results can then be cast in the form of a field theory, which, upon quantization, leads to the same Fock space operators as above. For a system of relativistic particles, where $E_{\vec{p}} = \sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + \mu^2}$, this system of harmonic oscillators is precisely described by the field theory defined by (5.1), with $m = \mu/\hbar$. In particular, the Hamiltonian H_0 is equal to (5.22), up to an infinite “zero-point” energy

$$\langle 0|H|0\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \sqrt{\vec{p}_i^2 + \mu^2}. \quad (5.34)$$

This is one of the characteristic infinities that emerge in quantum field theory, caused by the presence of an infinite number of degrees of freedom. We will return to these infinities in due course.

Observe that there is a subtlety with regard to calling a theory “free”. The theory of harmonic oscillators corresponding to (5.32) is not regarded as a free theory from the point of view of first quantization, while in the context of a second quantized system it is regarded as free of interactions.

Finally we note that in this perspective, a system of infinitely many harmonic oscillator is regarded as a free theory of multi-particle states, whereas normally a harmonic oscillator is not regarded as a free theory.

Problems chapter 5

Problem 5.1 : The complex harmonic oscillator

To exhibit the derivation of (5.24) from the Schrödinger picture, consider the theory (5.1) in one space dimension. For simplicity, assume that the space dimension is compactified to a circle of length L . Show that the field ϕ can be expanded in a Fourier series

$$\phi(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_k \phi(k, t) \exp(ikx),$$

with k equal to $2\pi/L$ times a (positive or negative) integer. Subsequently, write down the Lagrangian.

Now restrict yourself to two Fourier modes with a fixed value of $|k|$, so that the infinite Fourier sum is replaced by a sum over two terms with $\pm k$, where we choose k positive. Define $\phi_k = \phi$ and $\phi_{-k} = \phi^\dagger$, so that the Lagrangian reads

$$L = \dot{\phi}\dot{\phi}^\dagger - \omega^2\phi\phi^\dagger. \quad (\omega^2 = k^2 + m^2)$$

For this system of a finite number of degrees of freedom, find the expression for the canonical momenta π and π^\dagger , associated with ϕ and ϕ^\dagger , respectively. Then write the canonical commutation relations and give the Hamiltonian. Express ϕ , ϕ^\dagger , π and π^\dagger in terms of the operators

$$a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\hbar\omega}} (\omega\phi + i\pi^\dagger), \quad b = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\hbar\omega}} (\omega\phi^\dagger + i\pi),$$

and their hermitean conjugates. Derive the commutation relations for a , a^\dagger , b and b^\dagger and write down the Hamiltonian in terms of these operators. What does this system correspond to?

Derive the operators a , a^\dagger , b and b^\dagger in the Heisenberg picture. Reconstruct the field $\phi(x, t)$ by writing the full Fourier sum. Take the limit $L \rightarrow \infty$ and compare to the first line in (5.24). Do the same for the canonical momenta. Here we note the Fourier transform in d space-time dimensions (note the sign in the exponential)

$$\pi(\vec{x}, t) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{d-1}{2}} \int d^{d-1}k \pi(\vec{k}, t) e^{-i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}.$$

Problem 5.2 : Taking the continuum limit

Express the Hamiltonian (5.22) in terms of the operators $a(\vec{k})$ and $a^\dagger(\vec{k})$. In view of the

above presentation it is convenient to consider the field theory (5.1) in a large but finite $((d - 1)$ -dimensional) box of volume V and impose periodic boundary conditions. Then we decompose (cf. 3.23),

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(\vec{x}, t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_{\vec{k}} \phi(\vec{k}, t) e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} = \sum_{\vec{k}} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2Vk_0}} \left\{ a(\vec{k}) e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x} - ik_0 t} + a^\dagger(\vec{k}) e^{-i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x} + ik_0 t} \right\}, \\ \pi(\vec{x}, t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_{\vec{k}} \pi(\vec{k}, t) e^{-i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} = \sum_{\vec{k}} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2Vk_0}} (-ik_0) \left\{ a(\vec{k}) e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x} - ik_0 t} - a^\dagger(\vec{k}) e^{-i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x} + ik_0 t} \right\},\end{aligned}\quad (5.35)$$

where $\pi(\vec{k}, t) \equiv \partial\mathcal{L}/\partial\dot{\phi}(\vec{k}, t)$. Determine the commutator $[\phi(\vec{k}, t), \pi(\vec{k}', t')]_{t=t'}$ from (5.25) and check the expression for $[a(\vec{k}), a^\dagger(\vec{k}')]_{t=t'}$. Give again the Hamiltonian and compare the result with (5.32) and (5.34). Consider the continuum results by making use of the correspondence

$$\sum_{\vec{k}} \longrightarrow \frac{V}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \int d^{d-1}k, \quad \delta_{\vec{k}, \vec{k}'} \longrightarrow \frac{(2\pi)^{d-1}}{V} \delta^{d-1}(\vec{k} - \vec{k}'). \quad (5.36)$$

Problem 5.3 : Prove that the integral measure $\int d^3k [2(\vec{k}^2 + m^2)^{1/2}]^{-1}$ is Lorentz invariant. This can be done in two ways. First perform a Lorentz transformation (see e.g. De Wit & Smith, appendix A) and express $d^3k' [2(\vec{k}'^2 + m^2)^{1/2}]^{-1}$ in terms of the original momenta. Secondly, rewrite the integral as an integral over four momenta \vec{k} and k_0 by including $\delta(k^2 + m^2) \theta(k_0)$ in the integrand.

Problem 5.4 : Show that $\langle 0 | \phi(\vec{x}, t) \phi(\vec{x}, t) | 0 \rangle$ is divergent.

Problem 5.5 : Wave functions versus fields

Give arguments why a field and a wave function are two different concepts, so that (5.2) should not be regarded as a relativistic generalization of the Schrödinger equation. (This point is even more pressing for fermions where the relativistic wave equation – the Dirac equation – is also a first-order differential equation, just as the Schrödinger equation.) For comparison, consider the single harmonic oscillator and confront the second-order differential equation for the operator $q(t)$ in the Heisenberg picture with the first-order Schrödinger equation. Derive the Schrödinger equation in the “coordinate” representation where we have wave functions $\Psi(\phi(\vec{k}), t)$ depending on the “coordinates” $\phi(\vec{k})$ and the time t . For convenience, consider again the theory (5.1) in a box with periodic boundary conditions. Write down the correct expression for the momenta $\pi(\vec{k})$ in this representation and give the Hamiltonian. Show that the normalized ground state wave function $\Psi_0(\phi(\vec{k}), t)$, which

corresponds to the vacuum (i.e. the state with zero occupation numbers) of the Fock space, takes the form (make use of problem 3.2)

$$\Psi_0(\phi(\vec{k}), t) = \exp \left\{ \sum_{\vec{k}} \left(-\frac{\sqrt{\vec{k}^2 + m^2}}{2\hbar} \phi(\vec{k}) \phi(-\vec{k}) - \frac{1}{2} i t \sqrt{\vec{k}^2 + m^2} + \frac{1}{4} \ln \left[\frac{\vec{k}^2 + m^2}{\pi^2 \hbar^2} \right] \right) \right\}.$$

Note the presence of the zero-point energy.

Problem 5.6 : A particle on a circle

Consider a particle of mass m , moving on a circle C of radius R in the (x, y) -plane. The circle is parametrised by $(x, y) = (R \cos \phi, R \sin \phi)$ and $0 \leq \phi < 2\pi$. The particle experiences a force described by a periodic potential $V(\phi) = V(\phi + 2\pi)$, so that the classical action reads

$$S[\phi] = \int dt \left\{ \frac{1}{2} m R^2 \left(\frac{d\phi}{dt} \right)^2 - V(\phi) \right\}.$$

i) Show that the Hamiltonian of the particle equals

$$H(p_\phi, \phi) = \frac{p_\phi^2}{2mR^2} + V(\phi),$$

with p_ϕ the momentum conjugate to ϕ . After quantization p_ϕ and ϕ are operators satisfying the commutation relation $[\phi, p_\phi] = i\hbar$. How does p_ϕ therefore act on the wave function $\Psi(\phi, t)$? Specify the boundary conditions for $\Psi(\phi, t)$ and determine the eigenvalues of the momentum operator p_ϕ . Finally give the time-dependent Schrödinger equation for the wave function.

By means of the above Hamiltonian we would like to derive a path integral expression for the transition function $W_C(\phi_N, t_N; \phi_0, t_0) \equiv \langle \phi_N | e^{-iH(t_N - t_0)/\hbar} | \phi_0 \rangle$. We follow the standard procedure and divide the time interval $t_N - t_0$ into N pieces of ‘length’ $\Delta = (t_N - t_0)/N$, applying the completeness relation $\int_0^{2\pi} d\phi_i |\phi_i\rangle \langle \phi_i| = 1$ at any time instant t_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, N - 1$). In this way we obtain as a first result

$$W_C(\phi_N, t_N; \phi_0, t_0) = \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi_i \prod_{j=1}^N \langle \phi_j | e^{-iH\Delta/\hbar} | \phi_{j-1} \rangle. \quad (5.37)$$

Subsequently we must determine the matrix element $\langle \phi_j | e^{-iH\Delta/\hbar} | \phi_{j-1} \rangle$. For this purpose we use the Poisson resummation formula

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x - n) = \sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2\pi i \ell x}. \quad (5.38)$$

Note that the resummation formula expresses the fact that the phase factors on the right-hand side only interfere constructively when the phases are equal to a multiple of 2π .

ii) Show with help of (5.38) that, after neglecting $O(\Delta^2)$ corrections,

$$\langle \phi_j | e^{-iH\Delta/\hbar} | \phi_{j-1} \rangle = \sum_{\ell_j=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk_{j-1}}{2\pi} e^{i(\phi_j - \phi_{j-1} + 2\pi\ell_j)k_{j-1}} e^{-i\Delta H(\hbar k_{j-1}, \phi_{j-1})/\hbar} .$$

Now we also introduce the transition function $W(\phi_N, t_N; \phi_0, t_0)$ for a particle of ‘mass’ mR^2 that moves subject to the same periodic potential $V(\phi)$ along the full ϕ -axis. (This means that now $-\infty < \phi < \infty$.)

iii) Prove, by substitution of the previous result in (5.37) and by using the path integral expression for $W(\phi_N, t_N; \phi_0, t_0)$,

$$W_C(\phi_N, t_N; \phi_0, t_0) = \sum_{\ell_N=-\infty}^{\infty} W(\phi_N + 2\pi\ell_N, t_N; \phi_0, t_0) .$$

Can you physically explain this formula? (Note: ℓ_N is known as the winding number.)

Finally consider the special case of a free particle ($V(\phi) = 0$). Then

$$W(\phi_N, t_N; \phi_0, t_0) = \sqrt{\frac{mR^2}{2\pi i\hbar(t_N - t_0)}} \exp \left\{ \frac{imR^2}{2\hbar} \frac{(\phi_N - \phi_0)^2}{t_N - t_0} \right\} .$$

Because the transition function W_C is periodic in $\phi_N - \phi_0$, we can expand W_C in the Fourier series

$$W_C(\phi_N, t_N; \phi_0, t_0) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} C_n(t_N - t_0) \frac{e^{in(\phi_N - \phi_0)}}{2\pi} .$$

iv) Determine the coefficients $C_n(t_N - t_0)$ by means of a Fourier transform of the result of part iii) and determine with the help of this the eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian. Here, make use of (2.20).

Problem 5.7: Electromagnetic fields

Consider the Lagrange density for the free photon field $A_\mu(\vec{x}, t)$,

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}(F_{\mu\nu})^2 = -\frac{1}{4}(\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu)^2 . \quad (5.39)$$

i) Determine, by considering the variation of the action under $A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu + \delta A_\mu$, the classical equations of motion for A_μ in their Lorentz covariant form, $\partial^\mu(\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu) = 0$. Write them in terms of the electric field $\vec{E} = \nabla A_0 - \partial\vec{A}/\partial t$ and the magnetic field $\vec{B} = \nabla \times \vec{A}$.

Suggestion: you may want to use the vector identity $\vec{A} \times (\vec{B} \times \vec{C}) = (\vec{A} \cdot \vec{C})\vec{B} - (\vec{A} \cdot \vec{B})\vec{C}$. What kind of (space- and time-dependent) gauge symmetry do the Lagrange density and the equations of motion for A_μ possess?

We want to quantize the above theory. This requires that we first choose a (so-called) gauge condition (as we will discuss later in these lectures). In what follows we choose the so-called temporal gauge, defined by the condition $A_0 = 0$.

- ii) Give the Lagrange density in this gauge and determine again the classical equations of motion. Note that, in comparison with part i), one equation is missing. We return to this shortly.
- iii) The Lagrange density in the temporal gauge still contains a residual gauge symmetry. Give this symmetry or derive it from the original symmetry of the original Lagrange density. In addition, write the transformations for $\vec{A}(\vec{k}, t)$, the Fourier transform of $\vec{A}(\vec{x}, t)$.
- iv) Determine the canonically conjugate momentum $\vec{\pi}$ corresponding to \vec{A} and express it in terms of \vec{E} . Give the Hamiltonian in terms of \vec{E} and \vec{B} .
Suggestion: the following identity may be convenient, $(\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}) \cdot (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}) = \partial_i A_j \partial_i A_j - \partial_i A_j \partial_j A_i$.
- v) What are the commutation relations of the 'momenta' $\vec{\pi}(\vec{k})$ and the 'coordinates' $\vec{A}(\vec{k})$? What are, in the coordinate representation, the momentum operators and the Hamiltonian. Formulate the time-dependent Schrödinger equation for the wave functional $\Psi[\vec{A}(\vec{k}), t]$. Assume that the quantization is performed in a finite box of volume V , so that the \vec{k} assume discrete values.

In question ii), we had found that, at the classical level in the temporal gauge, the equation $\nabla \cdot \vec{E} = 0$ is missing. In order to perform the quantization of the Maxwell theory in a correct way, we must therefore explicitly include this equation. We do this by imposing it as a 'constraint' on the wave functional.

- vi) Give the above 'constraint' on the wave functional in the coordinate representation. In iii) we determined how $\vec{A}(\vec{k})$ transforms under gauge transformations corresponding to the temporal gauge. Use this to show that the 'constraint' guarantees that $\Psi[\vec{A}(\vec{k}), t]$ is invariant under an infinitesimal gauge transformation.

We will now try to determine the wave functional for the ground state by solving the time-independent Schrödinger equation.

- vii) Derive in the usual manner, i.e. from the time-dependent Schrödinger equation, the time-independent Schrödinger equation for a wave functional $\Psi[\vec{A}(\vec{k})]$.

In view of our experience with harmonic oscillators we expect that the wave functional $\Psi_0[\vec{A}(\vec{k})]$ belonging to the ground state will be a Gaussian functional. Therefore we write

$$\Psi_0[\vec{A}(\vec{k})] = C_0 \exp \left\{ \sum_{\vec{k}} A_i(-\vec{k}) G_{ij}(\vec{k}) A_j(\vec{k}) \right\}. \quad (5.40)$$

viii) Determine first from the ‘constraint’ of vii) the form of $G_{ij}(\vec{k})$.

Suggestion: indicate first which tensorial structure you expect for G_{ij} .

ix) Subsequently, solve the time-independent Schrödinger equation. In other words, determine the ground-state wave functional (you may ignore its normalization) and the (infinite) ground-state energy. Could you have written down the answer for the latter directly? If so, explain your answer.

Problem 5.8 : Winding and momentum states

Consider a field theory in a one-dimensional space corresponding to a circle with circumference L , described by a real scalar field $\phi(x, t)$ with action

$$S[\phi] = \int dt \int_0^L dx \left[\frac{1}{2}(\partial_t \phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x \phi)^2 \right]. \quad (5.41)$$

i) Argue that the field ϕ can be expanded as

$$\phi(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_k \phi_k(t) e^{2\pi i k x / L}, \quad (5.42)$$

where k is an integer. Is ϕ_k real?

ii) Write the action in terms of the ϕ_k . As expected we are then dealing with an (infinite) sum of known quantum-mechanical systems. In this sum we distinguish two contributions, i.e. i.e., $S = S_0 + S_{\text{osc}}$, where $S_0 = \int dt \frac{1}{2}(\partial_t \phi_0)^2$ and S_{osc} comprises the contribution of the oscillations described by the ϕ_k with $k \neq 0$. Determine the conjugate momentum π_k of ϕ_k and give the canonical commutation relations.

iii) Determine the spectrum of the conjugate momenta π_k (in other words, their possible eigenvalues). Clarify your answer on the basis of your knowledge of the quantum-mechanical system described by ϕ_k . In particular, pay attention to the momentum π_0 conjugate to ϕ_0 .

iv) Give the Hamiltonian. Give also the corresponding expression in the ‘coordinate’ representation.

- v) Consider now the case that the field ϕ itself characterizes the position on a circle with radius R , so that we identify ϕ with $\phi + 2\pi R$. Argue that the decomposition of ϕ should now be changed into

$$\phi(x, t) = \frac{2\pi m R}{L} x + \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_k(t) e^{2\pi i k x / L}. \quad (5.43)$$

What are the possible values for the number m ? What is their significance? Try to clarify your answer with a figure. Check that $\partial_x \phi$ is continuous over the circle and that the Hamiltonian remains the same up to a constant.

- vi) Give the action again and distinguish as before between the two terms S_0 and S_{osc} . Give the expressions of the conjugate momenta π_k of ϕ_k and write down the canonical commutation relations.
- vii) From the periodicity of ϕ , derive a condition for ϕ_0 . What is now the spectrum of the momenta? Pay again special attention to the conjugate momentum π_0 .
- viii) Give the Hamiltonian and the ground-state energy. Let us concentrate on the contributions of the Hamiltonian that are *not* caused by the oscillations described by ϕ_k with $k \neq 0$. Determine the eigenvalues of this (nontrivial) part of the Hamiltonian,

$$E_0(m, n) = \frac{1}{2L} \left[\frac{\hbar^2 n^2}{R^2} + (2\pi R)^2 m^2 \right]. \quad (5.44)$$

Describe what happens to these eigenvalues when we change R into $\hbar/2\pi R$. In the context of string theory this phenomenon is known as T-duality.

Problem 5.9 : Maxwell theory in 1 + 1 space-time dimensions

Consider the action of Maxwell theory in two spacetime dimensions,

$$S[A] = \int dx dt \left(\frac{1}{2} F_{tx}^2 + \theta F_{tx} + J^\mu A_\mu \right). \quad (5.45)$$

Here F_{tx} is the field strength defined by $F_{tx} = \partial_t A_x - \partial_x A_t$, where the two-vector $A_\mu = (A_t, A_x)$ comprised the potentials, and $J^\mu = (J^t, J^x)$ is some external current. Note that, in two space-time dimensions, there exists no magnetic field whereas the electric field equals $E = -F_{tx}$. The term proportional to the constant θ has no analogue in higher dimensions (at least, not in a Lorentz invariant setting).

- i) Prove that the electric field is invariant under gauge transformations of the form $\delta A_\mu = \partial_\mu \Lambda(t, x)$. Derive the field equations for A_μ and write them as first-order equations for E . Show that the current J^μ must be conserved. In the absence of the current, give the solutions for E .
- ii) Use the gauge transformations to set $A_t(t, x) = 0$. Give the gauge parameter $\Lambda(t, x)$ that is required for this, expressed as an integral over A_t . Write down the resulting Lagrangian in the $A_t = 0$ gauge, which depends only on A_x . Observe that we still have a residual invariance under gauge transformations with functions $\Lambda(x)$ that depend only on x and no longer on t .
- iii) Write down the field equations in this gauge and note that there is one field equation less in this case. For the moment ignore this equation which will have to be imposed eventually as the so-called Gauss constraint. Write down the canonical momentum $\pi(t, x)$ associated to $A_x(t, x)$ and show how it is related to $E(t, x)$.
- iv) Write down the canonical commutation relations for $A_x(x)$ and $\pi(y)$ (in the Schrödinger picture, so that we suppress the time dependence).
- v) Write down the Hamiltonian and define the wave function in the ‘coordinate’ representation. Here and henceforth we suppress the external current J^μ . Give the form of the momentum in this representation. What is the lowest-energy state?
- vi) Let us now return to the Gauss constraint. Show that, for arbitrary functions $\Lambda(x)$, $Q[\Lambda(x)] = \int dx \Lambda(x) \partial_x \pi(x)$ vanishes classically but not as an operator.
- vii) Consider $Q[\Lambda]$ as an operator and calculate the commutator $[Q, A_x(y)]$. Interpret the result. Argue now that physical wave functions should be annihilated by the operator Q . What are the physically relevant wavefunctions?

6 Correlation functions

In principle, one would like to calculate the same kind of quantities in quantum field theory that one considers in the context of more conventional quantum mechanics. Hence one is interested in the determination of energy levels, scattering amplitudes and the like. In general, however, the calculations of these quantities are cumbersome in field theory and one often has to rely on perturbation theory. Intermediate results of the theory are often expressed in terms of so-called correlation functions. These functions may carry different names depending again on the context and play an important role. They are the topic of this chapter.

As a first attempt to define correlation functions, let us consider

$${}_{t_2}\langle q_2 | q(t) q(t') \cdots | q_1 \rangle_{t_1} \quad \text{for } t_2 > t > t' > \cdots > t_1, \quad (6.1)$$

where $|q_i\rangle_{t_i}$ is the eigenstate of the position operator at $t = t_i$. Both states and operators are taken in the Heisenberg picture. To facilitate the notation, let us introduce a so-called time-ordered product,

$$T(q(t) q(t') \cdots) \equiv q(t) q(t') \cdots, \quad \text{if } t > t' > \cdots, \quad \text{etc.} \quad (6.2)$$

The correlation function $G(t, t', \dots)$ may then be defined by

$$G(t, t', \dots) \equiv \frac{{}_{t_2}\langle q_2 | T(q(t) q(t') \cdots) | q_1 \rangle_{t_1}}{{}_{t_2}\langle q_2 | q_1 \rangle_{t_1}} + \dots \quad (6.3)$$

When the time-ordered product contains n operators q at independent times, $G(t, t', \dots)$ is called the n -point correlation function. Of course, there is always the possibility of modifying a correlation function by products of lower- n correlation functions. Such modifications are indicated by the dots in (6.3). Later on we intend to make a specific choice for these modifications, but for the moment we leave them unspecified.

Using the completeness of the states $|q\rangle_t$ for fixed value of t , we can rewrite (6.3) as

$$\begin{aligned} G(t, t', \dots) &= \frac{\int dq \int dq' \cdots {}_{t_2}\langle q_2 | q \rangle_t q {}_t\langle q | q' \rangle_{t'} q' {}_{t'}\langle q' | \cdots | q_1 \rangle_{t_1}}{{}_{t_2}\langle q_2 | q_1 \rangle_{t_1}} \\ &= \frac{\int \mathcal{D}q q(t) q(t') \cdots e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S[q(t)]}}{\int \mathcal{D}q e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S[q(t)]}}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

where both path integrals are defined with boundary conditions

$$q(t_1) = q_1, \quad q(t_2) = q_2. \quad (6.5)$$

Correlation functions are not restricted to products of the $q(t)$, but can also contain so-called composite operators, "functions" of the operators $q(t)$ taken at the same instant of time. However, in field theory the definition of such operators requires special care, because products of fields become singular when taken at the same space-time point. We return to this aspect in due course.

As an example let us consider the correlation functions for the harmonic oscillator, first in the operator formalism and then by means of path integral techniques.

6.1 Harmonic oscillator correlation functions; operators

We consider the two-point correlation function defined above in the context of the operator formalism. Here it is customary to adopt different boundary conditions. Rather than the states $|q_1\rangle_{t_1}$ and $|q_2\rangle_{t_2}$, we will choose the (Heisenberg) groundstates $|0\rangle_{t_1}$ and $|0\rangle_{t_2}$. Because the groundstate energy of the harmonic oscillator is equal to $\frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega$, we have

$$|0\rangle_{t_{1,2}} = e^{\frac{i\omega t_{1,2}}{2}} |0\rangle, \quad (6.6)$$

and therefore

$${}_{t_2}\langle 0|0\rangle_{t_1} = e^{-\frac{i\omega}{2}(t_2-t_1)}. \quad (6.7)$$

Let us now determine the matrix element ${}_{t_2}\langle 0|q(t)q(t')|0\rangle_{t_1}$ for $t_2 > t > t' > t_1$. Using the completeness of the energy eigenstates $|n\rangle_{t_0}$ with $t > t_0 > t'$, we can write

$${}_{t_2}\langle 0|q(t)q(t')|0\rangle_{t_1} = \sum_n {}_{t_2}\langle 0|q(t)|n\rangle_{t_0} {}_{t_0}\langle n|q(t')|0\rangle_{t_1}. \quad (6.8)$$

Using (5.17) we compute

$${}_{t_0}\langle n|q(t')|0\rangle_{t_1} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} e^{\frac{1}{2}i\omega(t_1-3t_0+2t')} \delta_{n-1,0}. \quad (6.9)$$

In this way we find

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{t_2}\langle 0|q(t)q(t')|0\rangle_{t_1} &= \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} \exp\left[\frac{1}{2}i\omega(t_1-3t_0+2t'-t_2+3t_0-2t)\right] \\ &= \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}i\omega(t_2-t_1)\right] \exp[-i\omega(t-t')]. \end{aligned} \quad (6.10)$$

Therefore the two-point correlation function equals

$$\begin{aligned} G(t, t') &= \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} \left\{ \theta(t-t') e^{-i\omega(t-t')} + \theta(t'-t) e^{i\omega(t-t')} \right\} \\ &= \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} \left\{ \cos \omega(t-t') - i \sin \omega(t-t') [\theta(t-t') - \theta(t'-t)] \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (6.11)$$

where $\theta(t)$ is the step function defined by

$$\theta(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } t > 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } t < 0 \end{cases} \quad (6.12)$$

We note the presence of the second term in the second line of (6.11) which exhibits a cusp singularity. Due to that the derivative of $G(t, t')$ is not continuous.

Observe that we could have modified the correlation function by adding a term proportional to the product of two "one-point" correlation functions, containing a single operator $q(t)$ or $q(t')$. However, these terms vanish because of (6.9), so that this aspect may be ignored here. Furthermore we should point out that we have implicitly assumed that t_1 and t_2 are moved to $-\infty$ and $+\infty$, because we have not introduced additional step functions to restrict t and t' to the interval (t_1, t_2) .

Now we use the following representation for the θ function,

$$\theta(t) = \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq \frac{e^{-iqt}}{q + i\epsilon}, \quad (6.13)$$

which can be proven by contour integration.² Substituting (6.13) into (6.11), we find³

$$\begin{aligned} G(t, t') &= \frac{-\hbar}{2m\omega} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq \frac{1}{q + i\epsilon} \left\{ e^{-i(\omega+q)(t-t')} + e^{+i(\omega+q)(t-t')} \right\} \\ &= \frac{-\hbar}{2m\omega} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq e^{-iq(t-t')} \left(\frac{1}{q - \omega + i\epsilon} + \frac{1}{-q - \omega + i\epsilon} \right) \\ &= \frac{\hbar}{m} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq \frac{e^{-iq(t-t')}}{-q^2 + \omega^2 - i\epsilon}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.14)$$

where the limit $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ is taken at the end of the calculation. Note that it is standard to suppress this limit in the formulae. Obviously $G(t, t')$ depends only on $t - t'$ and satisfies the equation

$$(-\partial_t^2 - \omega^2)G(t, t') = \frac{i\hbar}{m} \delta(t - t'). \quad (6.15)$$

To show the latter one uses the Dirac delta function $\delta(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dq e^{iqt}$. Of course, the above equation can also be verified directly for the expression (6.11).

²The integrand has a pole in the lower half plane. If $t > 0$ then it is possible to close the contour in the lower half plane. The pole is then inside the contour, so the Cauchy integral formula, $f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint dw f(w)(w - z)^{-1}$ yields $\theta(t) = 1$. For $t < 0$ the contour can be closed in the upper half plane. Now the pole is outside the contour, so we find $\theta(t) = 0$.

³Note that we shift the integration variable of an integral which is not manifestly convergent. The discussion of such subtleties is postponed until later. In the present case there is no difficulty.

To calculate the real and imaginary part of G , we can use the identity

$$\lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \int dq \frac{f(q)}{q - \omega \pm i\epsilon} = \text{P} \int dq \frac{f(q)}{q - \omega} \mp i\pi f(\omega), \quad (6.16)$$

where $\text{P} \int dq \equiv \lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\omega - \delta} + \int_{\omega + \delta}^{\infty} \right) dq$ is the principal value integral. Substituting (6.16) in (6.14) we easily find

$$\text{Re} G(t, t') = \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} \cos \omega(t - t'), \quad (6.17)$$

which corresponds to the differentiable part of the solution (6.11), and

$$\text{Im} G(t, t') = \frac{\hbar}{2m\pi} \text{P} \int dq \frac{e^{-iq(t-t')}}{q^2 - \omega^2}. \quad (6.18)$$

Note that (6.17) represents a solution of the homogeneous equation associated with (6.15), whereas (6.18) contains the cusp singularity whose derivative gives rise to the delta function in (6.15).

6.2 Harmonic oscillator correlation functions; path integrals

Next we will consider the correlation functions in the context of the path integral. Although this will turn out to be quite a laborious exercise, we will do this rather explicitly to demonstrate a number of techniques which are standard in the evaluation of functional integrals. We start by introducing

$$W_J(q_2, t_2; q_1, t_1) = \int \mathcal{D}q e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S[q(t)] + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt J(t)q(t)}, \quad (6.19)$$

where $J(t)$ is some external source. Just as above we are interested in different boundary conditions, so we define

$$W_J^{(0)}(t_2, t_1) = \int dq_1 dq_2 \varphi_0^*(q_2) W_J(q_2, t_2; q_1, t_1) \varphi_0(q_1), \quad (6.20)$$

with $\varphi_0(q) = {}_t \langle q|0 \rangle_t$ the groundstate wave function (which is time-independent). Now we consider

$$\begin{aligned} G(t, t') &\equiv \frac{\delta}{\delta J(t)} \frac{\delta}{\delta J(t')} \ln W_J^{(0)} \Big|_{J=0} \\ &= \frac{\int \mathcal{D}q q(t) q(t') e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S}}{\int \mathcal{D}q e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S}} - \left(\frac{\int \mathcal{D}q q(t) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S}}{\int \mathcal{D}q e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S}} \right) \left(\frac{\int \mathcal{D}q q(t') e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S}}{\int \mathcal{D}q e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (6.21)$$

where the integration over $q_{1,2}$ according to (6.20) has been implied in every path integral. Observe that the overall normalization of the path integral cancels in this definition. This

is one of the reason why in practice one does not worry so much about these (ill-defined) factors. The definition of two-point correlation function shows the modification by products of lower correlation functions that we alluded to in the text below (6.3) and (6.12). In the two-point function these terms vanish, at least for the harmonic oscillator, but in the general case there are important reasons for including these terms. The above definition can easily be generalized to n -point correlation functions,⁴

$$G(t, t', t'', \dots) = \frac{\delta}{\delta J(t)} \frac{\delta}{\delta J(t')} \frac{\delta}{\delta J(t'')} \cdots \ln W_J^{(0)} \Big|_{J=0}. \quad (6.22)$$

Again this definition leads to modifications by products of lower- n correlation functions. With this particular definition one can show from the results that we are about to present, that all $n > 2$ correlation functions for the harmonic oscillator (or, more generally, for any action quadratic in q) vanish (cf. problem 6.1).

We now apply the above formulae to the harmonic oscillator. Subsequently we will compare the result with (6.14). First we evaluate the path integral (6.11) in the semiclassical approximation (which is exact for an action quadratic in q). We therefore expand $q(t)$ about a classical solution $q_0(t)$ with $q_0(t_1) = q_1$ and $q_0(t_2) = q_2$ as an infinite sum,

$$q(t) = q_0(t) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_n \sin \frac{n\pi(t-t_1)}{t_2-t_1}, \quad (6.23)$$

which gives rise to the following expression for the action,

$$S[q(t)] = S[q_0] + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4} m q_n^2 \left\{ \frac{n^2 \pi^2}{(t_2 - t_1)^2} - \omega^2 \right\} (t_2 - t_1). \quad (6.24)$$

Note that terms linear in q_0 do not appear since those vanish due to the equations of motion (i.e., $q_0(t)$ is a stationary “point” for the action $S[q(t)]$). Then

$$\begin{aligned} W_J &= \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} S[q_0] + \int dt J(t) q_0(t) \right\} \\ &\times \int \mathcal{D}q \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} \frac{m}{4} (t_2 - t_1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_n^2 \left[\frac{n^2 \pi^2}{(t_2 - t_1)^2} - \omega^2 \right] + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_n \int dt J(t) \sin \frac{n\pi(t-t_1)}{t_2-t_1} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (6.25)$$

Observe that only the first factor depends on the boundary values $q_{1,2}$. Now we redefine the integration variables q_n in the path integral by a shift proportional to J such as to eliminate

⁴These correlation functions are called the *connected* correlation functions for reasons that we do not yet explain. In later chapters we often denote these correlation functions by $\langle q(t) q(t') q(t'') \cdots \rangle$.

the term linear in q_n . This leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
W_J &= \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} S[q_0] + \int dt J(t) q_0(t) + \frac{1}{2} \int dt dt' J(t) G_0(t, t') J(t') \right\} \\
&\times \int \mathcal{D}q \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} \frac{m}{4} (t_2 - t_1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_n^2 \left[\frac{n^2 \pi^2}{(t_2 - t_1)^2} - \omega^2 \right] \right\}, \tag{6.26}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$G_0(t, t') = -\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{2}{m(t_2 - t_1)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin \frac{n\pi(t-t_1)}{t_2-t_1} \sin \frac{n\pi(t'-t_1)}{t_2-t_1}}{\frac{n^2 \pi^2}{(t_2-t_1)^2} - \omega^2}, \tag{6.27}$$

which is periodic in t and t' separately with periodicity $2(t_2 - t_1)$. Note that

$$\left(-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \omega^2 \right) G_0(t, t') = \frac{i\hbar}{m} \delta(t - t'), \tag{6.28}$$

where $\delta(t - t')$ is the δ -function for functions on the (t_1, t_2) interval that vanish at the boundary. Outside this interval, the result follows from periodicity.

There are now three expressions that we have to evaluate. First of all we should simplify the expression for (6.27), then we should calculate the Gaussian integral over the q_n , and finally we should determine the integrals over q_1 and q_2 to convert to the same states as in the operator formalism (cf. (6.11)).

6.2.1 Evaluating G_0

It is possible to further evaluate G_0 . First we write

$$G_0(t, t') = \frac{i\hbar}{mT} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\cos \frac{n\pi(t-t')}{T} - \cos \frac{n\pi(t+t'-2t_1)}{T} \right] \left[\frac{n^2 \pi^2}{T^2} - \omega^2 \right]^{-1}, \tag{6.29}$$

where $T = t_2 - t_1$. Now we make use of the following formula,

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos \frac{n\pi(\tau+T)}{T}}{\frac{n^2 \pi^2}{T^2} - \omega^2} = \frac{1}{2\omega^2 T} - \frac{\cos \omega \tau}{2\omega \sin \omega T}. \tag{6.30}$$

This result follows from Fourier decomposing $\cos \omega \tau$ in the interval $-T < \tau < T$ in terms of functions $\cos(n\pi\tau/T)$. Note that, while the left-hand side is periodic under $\tau \rightarrow \tau + 2T$, the right-hand side is not. By exploiting the periodicity of the left-hand side, τ must first be selected such that it is contained in the interval $(-T, T)$.

Now apply the above formula to (6.29), with in the first term $\tau = t - t' - T$ when $t_1 < t' < t < t_2$, or $\tau = t - t' + T$ when $t_1 < t < t' < t_2$, and in the second term

$\tau = t + t' - t_1 - t_2$ where $t_1 < t, t' < t_2$. This leads to

$$G_0(t, t') = \frac{i\hbar}{2m\omega} \left\{ -\theta(t-t') \sin \omega(t-t') - \theta(t'-t) \sin \omega(t'-t) \right. \\ \left. - \cos \omega(t-t') \cot \omega T + \frac{\cos \omega(t+t'-t_1-t_2)}{\sin \omega T} \right\}, \quad (t_1 < t, t' < t_2) \quad (6.31)$$

where the first three terms in the formula above originate from the first term in (6.29). As one easily verifies, this result satisfies again the differential equation (6.15), just as the result (6.11) obtained by means of the operator formalism. We expect to derive the same result (6.11) by means of path integrals. It therefore follows that the remaining terms that we are about to evaluate, must be a solution of the homogeneous equation corresponding to (6.15). As we shall see, this is indeed the case.

6.2.2 The integral over q_n

Let us now discuss the second line in (6.26), which is a path integral independent of the boundary values $q_{1,2}$. There are two ways to evaluate this integral. The easiest one is to observe that this integral is precisely the path integral for the harmonic oscillator, evaluated in chapter 2, with boundary condition $q_1 = q_2 = 0$. Using (3.31) it thus follows that

$$\int \mathcal{D}q \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} \frac{m}{4} (t_2 - t_1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_n^2 \left[\frac{n^2 \pi^2}{(t_2 - t_1)^2} - \omega^2 \right] \right\} = \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{2\pi i \hbar \sin \omega(t_2 - t_1)}}. \quad (6.32)$$

In a more explicit evaluation one computes the Gaussian integrals. First we write the path integral measure as

$$\int \mathcal{D}q \longrightarrow \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq_n. \quad (6.33)$$

Integration over the q_n yields

$$\int \mathcal{D}q \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} \frac{m}{4} (t_2 - t_1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_n^2 \left[\frac{n^2 \pi^2}{(t_2 - t_1)^2} - \omega^2 \right] \right\} \\ = \left\{ \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{4i\hbar(t_2 - t_1)}{m\pi n^2} \right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{\omega^2(t_2 - t_1)^2}{\pi^2 n^2} \right) \right\}^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (6.34)$$

This yields a result proportional to (6.32).⁵ The ill-defined proportionality factor is independent of ω and should be absorbed into the definition of the path integral; this is in accord with the prescription based on the Wiener measure discussed in chapter 2.

⁵Note the formula

$$\frac{\sin x}{x} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{x^2}{\pi^2 n^2} \right). \quad (6.35)$$

Combining (6.26) and (6.32), we find

$$W_J(q_2, t_2; q_1, t_1) = \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{2\pi i\hbar \sin \omega(t_2 - t_1)}} \times \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} S[q_0] + \int dt J(t) q_0(t) + \frac{1}{2} \int dt dt' J(t) G_0(t, t') J(t') \right\}. \quad (6.37)$$

6.2.3 The integrals over q_1 and q_2

Now we are ready to calculate the two-point correlation function of the groundstate in the path integral formalism. We recall that the classical solution for the harmonic oscillator reads

$$q_0(t) = \frac{1}{\sin \omega(t_2 - t_1)} \left\{ q_2 \sin \omega(t - t_1) - q_1 \sin \omega(t - t_2) \right\}, \quad (6.38)$$

which leads to the action

$$S[q_0(t)] = \frac{m\omega}{2 \sin \omega(t_2 - t_1)} \left\{ (q_1^2 + q_2^2) \cos \omega(t_2 - t_1) - 2q_1 q_2 \right\}. \quad (6.39)$$

Furthermore, the groundstate wave function takes the form

$$\varphi_0(q) = \left(\frac{m\omega}{\pi\hbar} \right)^{1/4} \exp \left\{ -\frac{m\omega}{2\hbar} q^2 \right\}. \quad (6.40)$$

Hence $W_J^{(0)}(t_2, t_1)$ is given by

$$W_J^{(0)} = \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{2\pi i\hbar \sin \omega(t_2 - t_1)}} \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{\pi\hbar}} \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int dt dt' J(t) G_0(t, t') J(t') \right\} \times \int dq_1 dq_2 \exp \left\{ \frac{m\omega}{2\hbar} \left[-q_1^2 - q_2^2 + \frac{i}{\sin \omega(t_2 - t_1)} \left[(q_1^2 + q_2^2) \cos \omega(t_2 - t_1) - 2q_1 q_2 \right] + \frac{2\hbar}{m\omega \sin \omega(t_2 - t_1)} (J_1 q_1 + J_2 q_2) \right] \right\}, \quad (6.41)$$

where

$$J_1 = - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt J(t) \sin \omega(t - t_2),$$

$$J_2 = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt J(t) \sin \omega(t - t_1). \quad (6.42)$$

To derive this result, first set $\tau = -T$ in (6.30), so that one obtains (with $x = \omega T$),

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2x}{n^2 \pi^2 - x^2} = \frac{1}{x} - \frac{\cos x}{\sin x}. \quad (6.36)$$

This equation can be integrated and, upon fixing the integration constant at $x = 0$, yields (6.35).

The integral can be written as

$$\int dq_1 dq_2 \exp \left\{ \frac{m\omega}{\hbar} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1,2} q_i A_{ij} q_j + \frac{\hbar}{m\omega \sin \omega(t_2 - t_1)} \sum_{i=1,2} J_i q_i \right] \right\}, \quad (6.43)$$

where the matrix A equals

$$A = \frac{-i}{\sin \omega(t_2 - t_1)} \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\omega(t_2-t_1)} & -1 \\ -1 & e^{i\omega(t_2-t_1)} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6.44)$$

This integral can be evaluated explicitly and we find

$$\left(\frac{m\omega}{2\hbar} \right)^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{\pi^2}{\det A}} \exp \left\{ \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega \sin^2 \omega(t_2 - t_1)} \sum_{i,j=1,2} J_i (A^{-1})_{ij} J_j \right\}. \quad (6.45)$$

Combining this with (6.41) and using

$$\det A = -2i \frac{\exp i\omega(t_2 - t_1)}{\sin \omega(t_2 - t_1)}, \quad A^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & e^{-i\omega(t_2-t_1)} \\ e^{-i\omega(t_2-t_1)} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

yields

$$\begin{aligned} W_J^{(0)}(t_2, t_1) &= e^{-\frac{i\omega}{2}(t_2-t_1)} \exp \left\{ \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega \sin^2 \omega(t_2 - t_1)} \int dt dt' J(t) f(t, t') J(t') \right\} \\ &\times \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int dt dt' J(t) G_0(t, t') J(t') \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.46)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, t') &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sin \omega(t - t_1) \sin \omega(t' - t_1) + \sin \omega(t - t_2) \sin \omega(t' - t_2) \right\} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} e^{-i\omega(t_2-t_1)} \left\{ \sin \omega(t - t_2) \sin \omega(t' - t_1) + \sin \omega(t - t_1) \sin \omega(t' - t_2) \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} i \sin \omega(t_2 - t_1) \left\{ e^{-i\omega(t_2-t_1)} \cos \omega(t - t') - \cos \omega(t + t' - t_1 - t_2) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.47)$$

This function satisfies the homogeneous version of the differential equation (6.15), as was claimed earlier.

6.3 Conclusion

Observe that the logarithm of (6.46) depends quadratically on J , so that only the two-point correlation function is nonvanishing, a result that we have alluded to below (6.22). The two-point correlation function is now given by

$$G(t, t') = G_0(t, t') + \frac{\hbar}{m\omega \sin^2 \omega(t_2 - t_1)} f(t, t'). \quad (6.48)$$

Substituting (6.31) and (6.47) one reproduces the original result (6.11) obtained in the operator method. This is the desired result. To obtain the correct result we had to pay attention to the correct boundary conditions, which are linked to the choice of the matrix elements used in the definition of the correlation functions. The crucial contribution to the correlation function is represented by G_0 , which is itself independent of the choice of the matrix element and satisfies the inhomogenous differential equation (6.15). In practical calculations the question of boundary conditions is often not explicitly addressed.

Problems chapter 6

Problem 6.1 :

Evaluate the discretized path integral

$$W_J = \int \prod_i dq_i \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} q_i A_{ij} q_j + \sum_i J_i q_i \right\}.$$

Define the (connected) correlation functions according to (6.22) and show that only the two-point function is nonvanishing and proportional to the inverse of the matrix A_{ij} . Argue from this that the two-point function for the harmonic oscillator must satisfy the differential equation (6.15).

Problem 6.2 : Time ordering and commutation relations

Evaluate, using the procedure described in the first part of this chapter, the correlation functions $\langle q(t)p(t') \rangle$ and $\langle p(t)p(t') \rangle$ for the harmonic oscillator. Using $p = m\dot{q}$, which is valid in the Heisenberg picture, we may write the result as $m\langle q(t)\dot{q}(t') \rangle$ and $m\langle \dot{q}(t)p(t') \rangle$, respectively. Assume that the time derivative can be written outside the correlation functions and compare the results. Argue why they coincide for the first and not for the second correlation function. Now consider the correlation functions involving q and \dot{q} by including a second source in the path integral that couples to \dot{q} . Argue that in this case time derivatives can be taken outside the correlation functions. How would you evaluate correlation functions of q and p operators using path integrals. Can you see how the discrepancy between correlation functions of q and/or p and correlation functions of q and/or $m\dot{q}$ arises in this context? (In the path-integral derivation, ignore possible subtleties with the boundary conditions.)

Problem 6.3 : In equation (6.21) we have shown explicitly that the two-point correlation function equals

$$\langle q(t)q(t') \rangle = \frac{\delta}{\delta J(t)} \frac{\delta}{\delta J(t')} \ln W_J^{(0)} \Big|_{J=0} \quad (6.49)$$

$$= \langle 0|T(q(t) q(t'))|0\rangle - \langle 0|q(t)|0\rangle \langle 0|q(t')|0\rangle,$$

where $|0\rangle$ denotes the normalized groundstate of the system. Show in a similar manner, thus by functional differentiation, that the four-point correlation function obeys

$$\begin{aligned} \langle q(t) q(t') q(t'') q(t''') \rangle &= \frac{\delta}{\delta J(t)} \frac{\delta}{\delta J(t')} \frac{\delta}{\delta J(t'')} \frac{\delta}{\delta J(t''')} \ln W_J^{(0)} \Big|_{J=0} \\ &= \langle 0|T(q(t) q(t') q(t'') q(t'''))|0\rangle \\ &\quad - \langle 0|T(q(t) q(t'))|0\rangle \langle 0|T(q(t'') q(t'''))|0\rangle \\ &\quad - \langle 0|T(q(t) q(t''))|0\rangle \langle 0|T(q(t') q(t'''))|0\rangle \\ &\quad - \langle 0|T(q(t) q(t'''))|0\rangle \langle 0|T(q(t') q(t''))|0\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

if we assume that the potential $V(q)$ is an even function in q , so that expectation values of an odd number of q operators, such as $\langle 0|q(t)|0\rangle$ and $\langle 0|T(q(t) q(t') q(t''))|0\rangle$, vanish.

Problem 6.4 : For the harmonic oscillator we have found that

$$W_J^{(0)} = \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int dt \int dt' J(t) G(t, t') J(t') \right\}, \quad (6.50)$$

with the two-point correlation function $\langle q(t) q(t') \rangle \equiv G(t, t')$ given by equation (6.11). Using the results of problems 6.1 and 6.3, show that in this case $\langle 0|T(q(t) q(t'))|0\rangle = G(t, t')$ and that

$$\langle 0|T(q(t) q(t') q(t'') q(t'''))|0\rangle = G(t, t') G(t'', t''') + G(t, t'') G(t', t''') + G(t, t''') G(t', t''). \quad (6.51)$$

Argue generally why there is no ambiguity in the value of $G(t, t')$ at equal times $t = t'$. Is the same true for $\langle 0|T(q(t) p(t'))|0\rangle$? (cf. problem 6.2).

Problem 6.5 : Anharmonic oscillator

Consider now an anharmonic oscillator with Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2 q^2 + \frac{1}{2\ell^2}m\omega^2 q^4, \quad (6.52)$$

where the last term is a small perturbation. Prove that in lowest-order perturbation theory the groundstate energy of the anharmonic oscillator equals

$$E_0 \simeq \frac{\hbar\omega}{2} + \frac{m\omega^2}{2\ell^2} \langle 0|T(q(t) q(t) q(t) q(t))|0\rangle = \frac{\hbar\omega}{2} \left(1 + \frac{3}{4} \frac{\hbar}{m\omega\ell^2} \right). \quad (6.53)$$

7 Euclidean Theory

In the previous chapters we have discussed path integrals in quantum mechanics and quantum field theory and we encountered Gaussian integrals such as,

$$\int \mathcal{D}q \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} \int dt \left[\frac{1}{2}m\dot{q}^2 - \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2 q^2 \right] \right\}, \quad (7.1)$$

$$\int \mathcal{D}\phi \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} \int d^d x \left[-\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}m^2 \phi^2 \right] \right\}. \quad (7.2)$$

The exponential functions in the integrand have purely imaginary exponents, so that the integrals are ill-defined. One way to deal with this problem is to add a small *negative* imaginary term to ω^2 or m^2 , so that the integrals converge⁶. At the end one puts the imaginary part to zero. This is in line with the $i\varepsilon$ modification in the correlation functions (cf. (6.14)). Another way (which is not unrelated) is to perform an analytic continuation of the time variable t to imaginary time. Therefore we define the so-called Euclidean time variable τ by

$$\tau \equiv i t. \quad (7.3)$$

The term Euclidean is derived from the fact that the Lorentz-invariant length $\vec{x}^2 - t^2$ is replaced by the Euclidean length $\vec{x}^2 + \tau^2$. The correlation functions in Minkowski space are then *defined* by analytic continuation from the corresponding functions evaluated in Euclidean space. To see how such an analytic continuation must be performed, consider the two-point correlation function

$$\langle q(t) q(0) \rangle = \frac{i\hbar}{2\pi m} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_0 \frac{e^{-ik_0 t}}{k_0^2 - \omega^2 + i\varepsilon}. \quad (7.4)$$

Now the $i\varepsilon$ term prescribes how one should deal with the poles on the integration contour. This is related to the time direction (and thus to causality), because the $i\varepsilon$ modification was induced by the time ordering of the operators in the correlation functions (see the discussion in the previous chapter). Often one has to deal with (momentum) integrals that contain the correlation functions and one can then avoid the poles by rotating the integration contour away from the real axis. Such a rotation is called a Wick rotation. It rotates the integration contour along the real axis to an integration along the imaginary k_0 -axis by closing these contours in the upper-right and lower-left quadrants and using Cauchy's theorem. Obviously one can only rotate such that one avoids the poles at $k_0 = \pm(\omega - i\varepsilon)$, as these would give rise to extra contributions. Therefore the $i\varepsilon$ -modification prescribes how the analytic continuation

⁶We recall that Gaussian integrals $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \exp(-ax^2)$ can also be defined for complex a provided that $\text{Re } a > 0$.

should be done. This continuation is thus defined for integrands whose behaviour is such that the contribution of the arcs vanishes.

Let us consider the Wick rotation in some detail and establish some of the rules. (The reader may also consult De Wit & Smith section 8.2.) The integration contour integrals such as (7.4) is thus rotated counter-clockwise over $\pi/2$, so that k_0 is purely imaginary and related to the real "Euclidean" variable by $k_0 = ik_E$. The Wick rotation then leads to⁷

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_0 \longrightarrow \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} dk_0 = i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_E. \quad (7.5)$$

Consistency requires that delta functions change according to

$$\delta(k_0) \longrightarrow -i \delta(k_E). \quad (7.6)$$

Hence the analytic continuation of (7.4) leads to

$$\langle q(-i\tau) q(0) \rangle = \frac{\hbar}{2\pi m} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_E \frac{e^{-ik_E\tau}}{k_E^2 + \omega^2}. \quad (7.7)$$

From the Dirac representation of the delta function, one deduces from (7.6) that the Wick rotation for the time variable should be taken in the *opposite* direction (so that $tk_0 = \tau k_E$). A clockwise rotation leads to (7.3), so that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt &\longrightarrow -i \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} d\tau, \\ \delta(t) &\longrightarrow i \delta(\tau). \end{aligned} \quad (7.8)$$

With these rules the exponents in (7.1) and (7.2) change according to

$$\frac{i}{\hbar} S[q] \longrightarrow \frac{1}{\hbar} \int d\tau \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} m (dq/d\tau)^2 - \frac{1}{2} m \omega^2 q^2 \right\} \equiv -\frac{1}{\hbar} S^E[q], \quad (7.9)$$

$$\frac{i}{\hbar} S[\phi] \longrightarrow \frac{1}{\hbar} \int d^d x_E \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (\partial_\tau \phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2} (\nabla \phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2 \right\} \equiv -\frac{1}{\hbar} S^E[\phi]. \quad (7.10)$$

The Euclidean action S^E is thus real and positive, so that the Gaussian integration is well defined. It is customary to define also a Euclidean Lagrangian (density) from the action by means of the relation

$$S^E[q(\tau)] \equiv \int d\tau L^E(q(\tau), \dot{q}(\tau)) \quad \text{with} \quad \dot{q} = \frac{dq}{d\tau}. \quad (7.11)$$

⁷For indefinite integrals the integration variable k_E can always be changed into $-k_E$, so that the sign in the relation between k_0 and k_E is not very important. However, for a finite integration range the sign is important as it will define the boundary values of the integration contour after the Wick rotation.

Just as in chapter 2 we can derive a path-integral representation for the transition function,

$$W^E(q_2, \tau_2; q_1, \tau_1) = \langle q_2 | e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar} H(\tau_2 - \tau_1)} | q_1 \rangle = \int_{\substack{q(\tau_1)=q_1 \\ q(\tau_2)=q_2}} \mathcal{D}q(\tau) e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar} S^E[q(\tau)]}. \quad (7.12)$$

The usefulness of this expression, which is a path integral over a real exponential factor and not over a phase factor, will become clear in due course.

Let us now briefly consider the expressions for the Euclidean path integral for the free particle and the harmonic oscillator. For the free particle (cf. problem 3.2) we have $L^E = \frac{1}{2}m\dot{q}^2$. One easily finds

$$S_{cl}^E = \frac{m}{2(\tau_2 - \tau_1)} (q_2 - q_1)^2, \quad (7.13)$$

and

$$W^E(q_2, \tau_2; q_1, \tau_1) = \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi\hbar(\tau_2 - \tau_1)}} \exp\left\{\frac{-m(q_2 - q_1)^2}{2\hbar(\tau_2 - \tau_1)}\right\}, \quad (7.14)$$

which is clearly the analytic continuation of the result found in problem 3.2.

For the harmonic oscillator (cf. problem 3.4) we have $L^E = \frac{1}{2}m\dot{q}^2 + \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2q^2$. The solution to the Euler-Lagrange equation is $q_0(\tau) = A \sinh \omega(\tau - \tau_0)$, which contains two arbitrary constants A and τ_0 . With the usual boundary conditions this becomes

$$q_0(\tau) = \frac{q_2 \sinh \omega(\tau - \tau_1) - q_1 \sinh \omega(\tau - \tau_2)}{\sinh \omega(\tau_2 - \tau_1)}. \quad (7.15)$$

From this classical solution we calculate the classical action S_{cl}^E , which determines the q -dependence of the transition matrix

$$W^E = f(\tau_1 - \tau_2) e^{-S_{cl}^E[q]/\hbar}. \quad (7.16)$$

As explained in chapter 3, the function f can be determined in various ways, and one finds

$$W^E = \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{2\pi\hbar \sinh \omega(\tau_2 - \tau_1)}} \exp\left\{\frac{-m\omega [(q_1^2 + q_2^2) \cosh \omega(\tau_2 - \tau_1) - 2q_1q_2]}{2\hbar \sinh \omega(\tau_2 - \tau_1)}\right\}. \quad (7.17)$$

By analytic continuation this is related to the results derived in problem 3.4.

The Euclidean theory is also interesting in its own right and Euclidean path integrals have many interesting applications in physics. One of them is in equilibrium statistical mechanics. To see this, consider the Euclidean version of (2.24),

$$\begin{aligned} W^E(q_2, \tau_2; q_1, \tau_1) &= \sum_n \langle q_2 | n \rangle e^{-E_n(\tau_2 - \tau_1)/\hbar} \langle n | q_1 \rangle \\ &= \sum_n \varphi_n(q_2) \varphi_n^*(q_1) e^{-\beta E_n}, \end{aligned} \quad (7.18)$$

with

$$\beta \equiv \frac{\tau_2 - \tau_1}{\hbar}. \quad (7.19)$$

This expression is proportional to a matrix element of the density operator ρ_β for a statistical ensemble with temperature $T = (k\beta)^{-1}$,

$$\rho_\beta \equiv \frac{e^{-\beta H}}{Z_\beta} = \frac{\sum_n |n\rangle e^{-\beta E_n} \langle n|}{Z_\beta}, \quad (7.20)$$

which satisfies $\rho_\beta = \rho_\beta^\dagger$ and $\langle q|\rho_\beta|q\rangle \geq 0$ for all $|q\rangle$. The normalization factor Z_β is just the partition function, defined by

$$Z_\beta = \sum_n e^{-\beta E_n}. \quad (7.21)$$

(which gives $Z_\beta = \sum_n N_n e^{-\beta E_n}$ in case of a degeneracy N_n of the energy level E_n). This expression can also be written as

$$Z_\beta = \sum_n \int dq \varphi_n(q) \varphi_n^*(q) e^{-\beta E_n} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq_1 dq_2 W^E(q_1, q_2) \delta(q_1 - q_2), \quad (7.22)$$

where in the second equation we used (7.18). In this way we find a path-integral representation for the partition function,⁸

$$Z_\beta = \int_{q(0)=q(\hbar\beta)} \mathcal{D}q \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{\hbar} \int_0^{\hbar\beta} d\tau L^E(q, \dot{q}) \right\}, \quad (7.23)$$

where we now integrate over all *periodic* paths, i.e. functions $q(\tau)$ that satisfy $q(0) = q(\hbar\beta)$. The original real-time coordinate is thus replaced by a compactified imaginary-time coordinate, whose range extends over $\hbar\beta$.

To illustrate the above result, take, for instance, $L^E = \frac{1}{2}m\dot{q}^2 + V(q)$ and consider the path integral for high temperature. (More precisely, for temperatures such that $\hbar\beta$ is small as compared to the typical scale set by the variations of the potential.) In that case the paths cannot deviate too much from their boundary values, since this will induce large values for the kinetic energy which suppresses the integrand in (7.23). Hence we may approximate $\int_0^{\hbar\beta} d\tau V(q(\tau))$ by $\hbar\beta V(\frac{1}{2}[q_1 + q_2])$, so that

$$W^E(q_2, \hbar\beta; q_1, 0) \approx \exp \left\{ -\beta V(\frac{1}{2}[q_1 + q_2]) \right\} \int \mathcal{D}q \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{\hbar} \int_0^{\hbar\beta} d\tau \frac{1}{2}m\dot{q}^2 \right\}. \quad (7.24)$$

The calculation of the path integral is now simple. From (7.14) we find

$$W^E(q_2, \hbar\beta; q_1, 0) \approx \exp \left\{ -\beta V(\frac{1}{2}[q_1 + q_2]) \right\} \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi\hbar^2\beta}} \exp \left\{ \frac{-m(q_2 - q_1)^2}{2\hbar^2\beta} \right\}. \quad (7.25)$$

⁸In the limit of zero temperature ($\beta \rightarrow \infty$) the groundstate dominates the partition sum (7.21) and we are dealing with the Euclidean theory whose analytic continuation leads to the Minkowski theory.

Using (7.22) then gives

$$Z_\beta = \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi\hbar^2\beta}} \int dq e^{-\beta V(q)}, \quad (7.26)$$

which is just the partition function based on the Boltzmann distribution.

We will now calculate the partition function of the harmonic oscillator from (7.17). However, we will allow ourselves a small extension and evaluate (7.23) for both periodic and antiperiodic paths. The partition function will be denoted by $Z_\beta^{(\pm)}$ corresponding to the boundary condition $q(\hbar\beta) = \pm q(0)$. (We will motivate that extension later in these lectures). The result is

$$\begin{aligned} Z_\beta^{(\pm)} &= \int dq \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{2\pi\hbar \sinh \beta\hbar\omega}} \exp \left\{ \frac{-m\omega(\cosh \beta\hbar\omega \mp 1) q^2}{\hbar \sinh \beta\hbar\omega} \right\} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{2\pi\hbar \sinh \beta\hbar\omega}} \sqrt{\frac{\pi\hbar \sinh \beta\hbar\omega}{m\omega(\cosh \beta\hbar\omega \mp 1)}} \\ &= (e^{\beta\hbar\omega/2} \mp e^{-\beta\hbar\omega/2})^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.27)$$

Therefore

$$Z_\beta^{(+)} = \frac{e^{-\beta\hbar\omega/2}}{1 - e^{-\beta\hbar\omega}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-\beta\hbar\omega(n+\frac{1}{2})}, \quad (7.28)$$

and

$$Z_\beta^{(-)} = \left(\sum_{n=0}^1 e^{-\beta\hbar\omega(n-\frac{1}{2})} \right)^{-1}. \quad (7.29)$$

We see that the partition function for periodic boundary conditions is that of a quantum harmonic oscillator, as expected. It turns out that the *inverse* partition function for antiperiodic boundary conditions equals the partition function of a "fermionic" harmonic oscillator (which has a groundstate with energy $-\frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega$ and an excited state with energy $\frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega$). It is not easy to explain why we get the inverse partition function. This has to do with the fact that fermionic path integrals require the use of so-called anticommuting c -numbers. The significance of this statement will be explained in due course.

We end this chapter by computing the euclidean correlation function for the harmonic oscillator in the operator formulation. The canonical momentum was defined in terms of the real-time (Minkowski) theory,

$$p(t) = \frac{\partial L(q, \dot{q})}{\partial \dot{q}}, \quad \text{where} \quad \dot{q} = \frac{dq}{dt}. \quad (7.30)$$

In the imaginary-time formulation, the relation between p and q reads

$$p = i \frac{\partial L^E(q, \dot{q})}{\partial \dot{q}}, \quad \text{where} \quad \dot{q} = \frac{dq}{d\tau}. \quad (7.31)$$

Quantum-mechanically, p and q are still represented by the same (Schrödinger) operators satisfying the standard commutation relation $[q, p] = i\hbar$. In the Heisenberg picture we have to deal with the operators

$$q(\tau) = e^{\frac{1}{\hbar}H\tau} q e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar}H\tau}, \quad p(\tau) = e^{\frac{1}{\hbar}H\tau} p e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar}H\tau}, \quad (7.32)$$

which can be decomposed in terms of creation and annihilation operators $a(\tau) = a \exp(-\omega\tau)$ and $a^\dagger(\tau) = a^\dagger \exp(\omega\tau)$ in the usual manner (cf. (5.17)),

$$\begin{aligned} q(\tau) &= \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} \left(a(\tau) + a^\dagger(\tau) \right), \\ p(\tau) &= -im\omega \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} \left(a(\tau) - a^\dagger(\tau) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (7.33)$$

Observe that $p(\tau)$ satisfies $p = im\dot{q}$. The reality conditions in the Minkowski case ($q^\dagger(t) = q(t)$, etc.) have to be replaced by the so-called reflection positivity conditions,

$$q(\tau)^\dagger = q(-\tau), \quad p(\tau)^\dagger = p(-\tau). \quad (7.34)$$

Note that the factor i in (7.31) is crucial for the pseudo-reality of $p(\tau)$.

Now we are ready to compute correlation functions in the Euclidean formalism. For the harmonic oscillator the two-point function is computed as in section 6.1,

$$\begin{aligned} G(\tau, \tau') &= \frac{\tau_2 \langle 0 | T(q(\tau) q(\tau')) | 0 \rangle_{\tau_1}}{\tau_2 \langle 0 | 0 \rangle_{\tau_1}} \\ &= \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} \left\{ \theta(\tau - \tau') e^{-\omega(\tau - \tau')} + \theta(\tau' - \tau) e^{\omega(\tau - \tau')} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.35)$$

We use again the integral representation (6.13) of the θ -function to find

$$G(\tau, \tau') = \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq \frac{1}{q + i\epsilon} \left(e^{-i(q-i\omega)(\tau-\tau')} + e^{+i(q-i\omega)(\tau-\tau')} \right). \quad (7.36)$$

We shift the integration contour a distance ω into the upper half of the complex q -plane. As we don't encounter poles in the integrand, which falls off at infinity, we thus obtain

$$G(\tau, \tau') = \frac{\hbar}{2\pi m} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq \frac{e^{-iq(\tau-\tau')}}{q^2 + \omega^2}. \quad (7.37)$$

This is precisely (7.7), which was derived by analytic continuation of the real-time correlation function.

The above Euclidean correlation functions pertain to the case of zero temperature. At finite temperature the correlation functions take the form of ensemble averages. For instance, the two-point function reads ($0 \leq \tau, \tau' < \hbar\beta$)

$$G_\beta(\tau, \tau') = \text{Tr} \left[\rho_\beta T_\tau(q(\tau) q(\tau')) \right] - \text{Tr} \left[\rho_\beta q(\tau) \right] \text{Tr} \left[\rho_\beta q(\tau') \right], \quad (7.38)$$

where T_τ denotes the ordering with respect to the Euclidean time variable τ . One can show that this correlation functions corresponds to the derivative of the logarithm of the partition function (which equals the free energy times $-\beta$) with respect to an external source (see problem 7.5).

Problems chapter 7

Problem 7.1 : The Euclidean path integral

Following the derivation in chapter 2, present the corresponding derivation of the Euclidean path integral (7.12).

Problem 7.2 : Determining the groundstate energy

Consider the limit of (7.17) for $T = \tau_2 - \tau_1 \rightarrow \infty$ and derive the groundstate energy and wave function of the harmonic oscillator. Try to do the same for (7.14) and explain why matters are more subtle in this case.

Problem 7.3 : Differential equation for $G(\tau, \tau')$

Prove that $G(\tau, \tau')$ as given in (7.37) is a solution of $(\partial_\tau^2 - \omega^2)G(\tau, \tau') = -\frac{\hbar}{m} \delta(\tau - \tau')$.

Problem 7.4 : Periodicity for G_β

The correlation function G_β is defined in (7.38) with τ and τ' belonging to the interval $(0, \hbar\beta)$. Show that it actually depends on the difference $\tau - \tau'$, which is thus restricted to the interval $-\hbar\beta < \tau - \tau' \leq \hbar\beta$. Prove that G_β takes the same value for $\tau - \tau' = -\hbar\beta, 0, \hbar\beta$. Restrict $\tau - \tau'$ to the left-half of the interval (so $-\hbar\beta < \tau - \tau' \leq 0$) and prove the periodicity property $G_\beta(\tau - \tau') = G_\beta(\tau - \tau' + \hbar\beta)$.

Problem 7.5 : Matsubara frequencies

Write down the path-integral representation for Z_β in the presence of a source term $\int d\tau J(\tau) q(\tau)$. Argue that J must be periodic and show that the correlation function (7.38) corresponds to the second derivative of $\ln Z_\beta$ with respect to the external source. Subsequently, argue that the correlation function can be expanded in terms of functions periodic in $\tau - \tau'$ with frequencies equal to $\omega_n = \pi n / (\hbar\beta)$ where n are *even* integers. These frequencies are known as the Matsubara frequencies.

Problem 7.6 :

Using the τ -periodicity property, derive, for the harmonic oscillator, an expansion of $G_\beta(\tau - \tau')$ in terms of the even Matsubara frequencies along the lines of what we did in section 6.2

(i.e., expanding the variables $q(\tau)$ in terms of periodic functions). Show that it satisfies

$$(\partial_\tau^2 - \omega^2) G_\beta(\tau - \tau') = -\frac{\hbar}{m} \delta(\tau - \tau'),$$

for $0 \leq \tau, \tau' < \hbar\beta$. Moreover, prove that in the zero-temperature limit, by converting the sum into an integral, one obtains (7.37).

Problem 7.7: Flux periodicity

Consider an electrically charged particle with mass m and charge q moving on a circle C of radius R in the (x, y) -plane. Inside the circle is a magnetic field such that the flux encircled by C is equal to Φ . The circle is parametrized by $(x, y) = (R \cos \theta, R \sin \theta)$ and $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$. The classical action then reads

$$S_\Phi[\theta] = \int dt \left\{ \frac{1}{2} m R^2 \dot{\theta}^2 + q R \dot{\theta} A \right\},$$

with $A = \Phi/2\pi R$ the magnitude of the vector potential along the circle due to the enclosed flux. (Observe that the second term in the right-hand side equals (in cartesian coordinates) precisely the well-known interaction term $\int dt q \vec{x} \cdot \vec{A}(\vec{x})$, which is responsible for the Lorentz force.)

- i) Give the expression for the momentum p_θ and show that the Hamiltonian takes the form

$$H(p_\theta, \theta) = \frac{(p_\theta - \hbar\Phi/\Phi_0)^2}{2mR^2},$$

with $\Phi_0 = h/q$ the flux quantum for this problem. After quantisation p_θ and θ are two operators satisfying the commutation relation $[\theta, p_\theta] = i\hbar$. How does p_θ act therefore on the wavefunction $\Psi(\theta, t)$? Specify the boundary conditions on $\Psi(\theta, t)$ and determine the eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of the operator p_θ .

- ii) Determine the eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian. What is your conclusion concerning the energy spectrum $\{E_\nu(\Phi)\}$ and $\{E_\nu(\Phi + \Phi_0)\}$?

With this Hamiltonian we can now derive a path-integral expression for the transition function $W_{C,\Phi}(\theta_1, t_1; \theta_0, t_0) \equiv \langle \theta_1 | e^{-iH(t_1-t_0)/\hbar} | \theta_0 \rangle$ in the usual way. Following the same steps as in problem 3.7, the result takes the form

$$W_{C,\Phi}(\theta_1, t_1; \theta_0, t_0) = \sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{\infty} W_\Phi(\theta_1 + 2\pi\ell, t_1; \theta_0, t_0),$$

where $W_{\Phi}(\theta_1, t_1; \theta_0, t_0)$ is the transition function for a particle with 'mass' mR^2 and charge q that freely moves along the entire θ -axis. This means that $-\infty < \theta < \infty$, while the path-integral expression for $W_{\Phi}(\theta_1, t_1; \theta_0, t_0)$ takes the form

$$W_{\Phi}(\theta_1, t_1; \theta_0, t_0) = \int \mathcal{D}\theta \, e^{iS_{\Phi}[\theta]/\hbar} ,$$

with $S_{\Phi}[\theta]$ the classical action defined above.

iii) Using the explicit form of the action, show that

$$W_{\Phi}(\theta_1, t_1; \theta_0, t_0) = e^{i(\theta_1 - \theta_0)\Phi/\Phi_0} W_{\Phi=0}(\theta_1, t_1; \theta_0, t_0) . \quad (7.39)$$

Use the expression for the path integral for a free particle (cf. problem 3.2) to determine the corresponding partition function $Z_{\beta}(\Phi)$. To do this, first present the expression for the partition function as a sum over ℓ and determine the periodicity of $Z_{\beta}(\Phi)$.

iv) Subsequently, use the Poisson resummation rule (5.38) (integrated over a suitably chosen function) and rewrite the previous result. Argue now that the resulting expression is in agreement with your conclusions in i) and ii).

8 Tunneling and instantons

Tunneling is one of the most interesting phenomena in quantum mechanics, which cannot be described in perturbation theory in \hbar . It turns out that the Euclidean path integrals introduced in the previous chapter offer a convenient framework for obtaining quantitative results, at least in the semiclassical approximation. In certain cases this involves dealing with so-called *instanton* solutions, as we will demonstrate shortly. Our starting point is the path-integral representation of the Euclidean transition function,

$$W^E(q_2, \tau_2; q_1, \tau_1) = \langle q_2 | e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar} H(\tau_2 - \tau_1)} | q_1 \rangle = \int_{\substack{q(\tau_1)=q_1 \\ q(\tau_2)=q_2}} \mathcal{D}q(\tau) e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar} S^E[q(\tau)]}. \quad (8.1)$$

From this expression we intend to extract information about the energies and certain matrix elements by considering the limit of large T . We will restrict ourselves to the Euclidean Lagrangian $L^E = \frac{1}{2}\dot{q}^2 + V(q)$. In the semiclassical approximation we need the classical trajectory. Hence we must consider solutions of the equations of motion, which read

$$\ddot{q} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial q}. \quad (8.2)$$

We assume that $V(q)$ is bounded from below and by adding a suitable constant we ensure that its minimum value is precisely equal to zero. Hence $V(q) \geq 0$. One easily verifies (e.g. by multiplying the above equation with \dot{q}) that $\frac{1}{2}\dot{q}^2 - V(q)$ is a constant of the motion. Hence we write

$$\frac{1}{2}\dot{q}^2 - V(q) = E, \quad (8.3)$$

with E a constant. Note that E is the energy for a particle moving in the (negative) potential $-V(q)$. Obviously

$$\dot{q} = \pm \sqrt{2(E + V(q))}, \quad (8.4)$$

which can be integrated and yields the solution

$$\tau_2 - \tau_1 = \pm \int_{q_1}^{q_2} \frac{dq}{\sqrt{2(E + V(q))}}. \quad (8.5)$$

The Euclidean action $S_d^E[q_0]$ corresponding to the classical path $q_0(\tau)$,

$$S_d^E[q] = \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} d\tau \left(\frac{1}{2}\dot{q}^2 + V(q) \right), \quad (8.6)$$

is obviously nonnegative for any trajectory, provided that $\tau_2 > \tau_1$. When the initial and final times, τ_1 and τ_2 , tend to $\pm\infty$, then the action will diverge, unless the endpoints $q(\tau_{1,2})$ correspond to absolute minima of the potential (where $V = 0$), *and* the velocity will tend to

zero. This implies that finite action solutions for infinite time intervals must have $E = 0$, at least for solutions of the equation of motion (8.2). The action for solutions can be written in a variety of ways,

$$S_{cl}^E[q_0] = \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} d\tau (\dot{q}^2 - E) = -E(\tau_2 - \tau_1) + \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} d\tau \dot{q}^2, \quad (8.7)$$

or,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{cl}^E[q_0] &= \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} d\tau \left(|\dot{q}| \sqrt{2(E + V(q))} - E \right) \\ &= -E(\tau_2 - \tau_1) + \text{sgn } \dot{q} \int_{q_1}^{q_2} dq \sqrt{2(E + V(q))}. \end{aligned} \quad (8.8)$$

In the last expression we assumed that \dot{q} is of the same sign throughout the trajectory. Note that, for $E = 0$, the action equals

$$S_{cl}^E[q_0] \Big|_{E=0} = \int_{q_1}^{q_2} dq \sqrt{V(q)}. \quad (8.9)$$

In the semiclassical approximation, discussed in chapter 3, we expand about a classical trajectory with boundary values $q(\tau_{1,2}) = q_{1,2}$, keeping terms quadratic in the deviations from this trajectory over which we subsequently integrate (cf. (3.5),(3.10)). Formally this integral leads to a determinant of a differential operator. Up to certain normalizations we thus find

$$W^E(q_2, \tau_2; q_1, \tau_1) = \left[\det \left(-\partial_\tau^2 + V''(q_0(\tau)) \right) \right]^{-1/2} e^{-S_{cl}^E[q_0(\tau)]/\hbar} \left\{ 1 + \mathcal{O}(\hbar) \right\}, \quad (8.10)$$

where $V'' = \partial^2 V / \partial q^2$ and the differential operator in the determinant acts on functions that vanish at the boundaries $\tau_{1,2}$. It is now clear why we are interested in classical paths with finite action, because otherwise, the above expression will simply vanish. It is sometimes convenient to consider ratios of determinants to avoid some of the subtleties with normalization factors. Therefore one often divides the above determinant by the determinant of a similar operator, but now with V'' replaced by a suitably chosen constant (such as the value of V'' taken at a minimum of the potential). The latter determinant is known from the explicit expression for the transition function of the harmonic oscillator (7.17). Setting $\tau_2 = -\tau_1 = T/2$ we rewrite (8.10) as

$$W^E(q_2, \tau_2; q_1, \tau_1) = \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{2\pi\hbar \sinh \omega T}} K e^{-S_{cl}^E[q_0(\tau)]/\hbar} \left\{ 1 + \mathcal{O}(\hbar) \right\}, \quad (8.11)$$

where

$$K = \left| \frac{\det(-\partial_\tau^2 + \omega^2)}{\det(-\partial_\tau^2 + V''(q_0(\tau)))} \right|^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (8.12)$$

Figure 1: A double-well potential $V(q)$ and the instanton solution, which gives the classical trajectory for a particle moving between the maxima of $-V(q)$ in a time interval T .

The factor K depends on T and on ω . In principle ω is chosen such that K remains finite in the limit for large T . Later we will see that subtleties may arise when T tends to infinity, but for the moment we ignore possible complications and continue.

To elucidate our strategy let us first consider a simple example of a potential with a single minimum, say at $q = a$, so that $V(a) = 0$. We consider the Euclidean path integral for boundary values $q_1 = q_2 = a$. There is only a single solution connecting these endpoints, namely $q(\tau) = a$, which has vanishing action. Any other classical trajectory starting at $q_1 = a$ will have a certain velocity and thus a finite energy at $q = a$; however, once the particle moves away from $q = a$ it will never return and acquire more and more kinetic energy and thus increase its action until it reaches the endpoint q_2 , which cannot be equal to a .

Choosing $\omega^2 = V''(a)$, the factor K equals unity and we find

$$W^E(a, T/2; a, -T/2) = \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{2\pi\hbar \sinh \omega T}} \xrightarrow{T \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{\pi\hbar}} e^{-\omega T/2}. \quad (8.13)$$

This shows that the groundstate energy is equal to $\frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega$, up to higher orders in \hbar , while the overlap of $|a\rangle$ with the groundstate $|0\rangle$ satisfies $|\langle a|0\rangle| = [\omega/\pi\hbar]^{1/4}$. This result is obtained in the semiclassical approximation and is exact for the harmonic oscillator (cf. problem 7.2).

8.1 The double-well potential

Let us now consider the more complicated case of a double-well symmetric potential, $V(q) = V(-q)$, with minima at $q = \pm a$. Just as above we will choose the boundary values $q_{1,2}$ equal to the positions of the classical minima. Hence two different transition functions are of interest, namely

$$W(\pm a, T/2; \pm a, -T/2) = \langle \pm a | e^{-HT/\hbar} | \pm a \rangle,$$

$$W(\pm a, T/2; \mp a, -T/2) = \langle \pm a | e^{-HT/\hbar} | \mp a \rangle. \quad (8.14)$$

By virtue of the reflection symmetry $q \leftrightarrow -q$, we identified certain matrix elements. Energy eigenfunctions $\psi(q)$ must be either symmetric or antisymmetric in q . Classically there are two independent groundstates $q = \pm a$. Without tunneling, these states would acquire the same semiclassical energy equal to $\frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega$, where $\omega^2 = V''(a) = V''(-a)$. However, due to quantum tunneling the two states will mix and this causes a shift in the energy levels, such that the symmetric state acquires the lowest energy. Our goal is to calculate these shifts in the energy levels in the semiclassical approximation.

Let us first keep T finite. In that case there is again a unique classical solution with $q_1 = q_2 = \pm a$, which is equal to $q(\tau) = a$. This solution has zero energy and zero action. Also there is always a solution with $q_1 = -q_2 = \pm a$, which is shown in Fig. 1. This solution is known as the instanton⁹. The corresponding solution $-q(\tau)$ is called anti-instanton. Let us first discuss some of its properties. For finite T the classical trajectory connecting the two maxima in $-V(q)$ must carry a finite energy, simply because the particle should move away from the maximum with a nonzero velocity. For the instanton this velocity equals $\sqrt{2E}$, so that the endpoints of the solution are approached linearly, i.e.

$$q(\tau) \approx \pm a + \sqrt{2E}(\tau \mp \frac{1}{2}T), \quad \text{for } \tau \rightarrow \pm \frac{1}{2}T. \quad (8.15)$$

A number of features changes when T becomes infinite. In that case the velocity at $q = \pm a$ must vanish, because otherwise the particle will reach the other maximum in a finite time (after which it continues to move beyond the second maximum and will never return). So E must be zero. This implies that the particle reaches the endpoints at $q = \pm a$ in an

⁹In the limit $T \rightarrow \infty$ the instanton solution may be regarded as a finite-energy static soliton in $1+1$ dimensions. To see this, let q also depend on a real time variable t and interpret τ as a spatial coordinate. Consider then the action

$$S[q(t, \tau)] = \int dt d\tau \left(\frac{1}{2}(\partial_t q)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\tau q)^2 - V(q) \right).$$

For static solutions q satisfies the equation of motion $\partial_\tau^2 q = V'(q)$, which is just (8.2), and defines some extended object in one dimension. Its energy equals $\int d\tau (\partial_\tau q)^2$, with the integral extended to the whole τ -interval. (Previously this quantity was identified with the action, (cf. (8.8)), where the integration constant E (which is *not* the energy of the extended object) must vanish in order that the energy be finite.) The energy of the extended object receives its relevant contributions from the region around $\tau = 0$, where the derivative differs appreciably from zero, so that the energy density is concentrated here. Hence we describe an extended object localized at $\tau = 0$. However, τ is just the Euclidean time variable, so that in some sense we are describing a (somewhat smeared out) “event” at $\tau = 0$. This motivated the name “instanton”, or “pseudoparticle”, for this solution.

In a field theory in 1 time dimension and $d-1$ space dimensions we can thus define instantons as finite-action solutions of the d -dimensional Euclidean version of the theory. These solutions can be regarded as static finite-energy solutions of a theory in 1 time and d space dimensions.

exponentially slow manner. We can determine this from (8.5). Assuming that we choose both τ_1 and $\tau_2 > \tau_1$ large so that the particle moves closely to one of the two maxima (in other words, τ_1 and τ_2 are both in the same asymptotic tail of the instanton solution). Then we may approximate the potential around $q = \pm a$ by $V = \frac{1}{2}\omega^2(q \mp a)^2$, so that

$$\tau_2 - \tau_1 \approx \pm \frac{1}{\omega} \int_{q(\tau_1)}^{q(\tau_2)} \frac{dq}{a \mp q} = -\frac{1}{\omega} \ln \frac{a \mp q(\tau_2)}{a \mp q(\tau_1)}. \quad (8.16)$$

This leads to ($|\tau| \gg 1$)

$$q(\tau) \approx \pm a \left[1 - c e^{-\omega|\tau|} \right], \quad (8.17)$$

with c some positive constant. The action (8.8) remains finite in the $T \rightarrow \infty$ limit and receives its contribution mainly from the center of the instanton solution, where the velocity differs substantially from zero. An explicit solution is discussed in problem 8.1.

There is a subtlety in the $T \rightarrow \infty$ limit, because if T is really infinite, then there is no reason to insist that the instanton solution goes through the origin. Stated differently, the fact that the boundaries in τ have been shifted to infinity implies that we regain translational symmetry in τ . If $q_0(\tau)$ is a solution, then also $q_0(\tau + \kappa)$, with κ some arbitrary finite constant must be a solution of (8.2). Hence we are dealing with a continuous set of solutions and we can thus consider two solutions that are arbitrarily close, i.e., $q' = q_0 + \delta q$. Substituting both sides into the differential equation (8.2), assuming that both q' and q_0 satisfy the equation, and retaining terms linear in δq , we find that δq must satisfy the differential equation

$$\left[-\partial_\tau^2 + V''(q_0(\tau)) \right] \delta q(\tau) = 0. \quad (8.18)$$

The function $\delta q(\tau)$ is called a *zero-mode*, because it represents an eigenfunction of the differential operator with zero eigenvalue. So whenever we have a continuous set of solutions there is an associated set of zero modes that satisfy the above equation. Continuous degeneracies always appear whenever a system has a continuous symmetry. In the case at hand, the symmetry is provided by the translations in τ and the zero-mode solution takes the form $\delta q = \dot{q}_0$, simply because $q_0(\tau + \kappa) \approx q_0(\tau) + \kappa \dot{q}_0(\tau) + O(\kappa^2)$. Indeed, one easily verifies that \dot{q}_0 is a solution of the differential equation (8.18). As long as T is finite, the zero-mode solution poses no difficulty; the shifted solutions do not satisfy the appropriate boundary condition and \dot{q}_0 does not vanish at $\tau = \pm T/2$.¹⁰ Obviously the presence of the boundary conditions suppresses the degeneracy, but when T is infinite this suppression does not take place.

Hence if the time interval is really infinite then there are many solutions that move between maxima of $-V(q)$ in an infinite time interval. First of all, the (anti-)instanton

¹⁰Remember that we are taking the determinant of a differential operator acting on functions that vanish on the boundary.

solution itself can be shifted arbitrarily, but, in addition, we can glue together a set of instanton and anti-instanton solutions, separated by infinite (or at least large compared to $1/\omega$) time intervals. While there is precisely one exact classical solution when the time interval is finite, there is an infinite number of *approximate* solutions that become closer and closer to an exact solution in the $T \rightarrow \infty$ limit. Furthermore, the ratio of determinants K defined in (6.9) will diverge, because the differential operator in the numerator has zero-modes whose eigenvalues vanish as shown in (8.18).

We should stress that these two features, the degeneracy of the solutions and the presence of the zero-modes, are intimately connected. Both seem to lead to divergences, but as it turns out their combined effect will remain finite. With this in mind let us proceed and evaluate first the one-instanton contributions. We thus want to determine the semiclassical contribution of one-instanton solutions to the functional integral with boundary values $q(-\infty) = -a$ and $q(\infty) = a$. For an infinite time interval, we are confronted by an infinite variety of instanton solutions, which we can characterize by the time τ_0 at which the solution is zero. We will call τ_0 the “position” of the instanton. Previously, with a finite symmetric time interval $(-T/2, T/2)$, the instanton’s position was necessarily equal to $\tau = 0$, because of symmetry reasons. However, for an infinite time interval we can shift τ to $\tau - \tau_0$ and obtain an instanton at τ_0 .

Now we want to extract the integration over the instanton position outside the functional integral. We do this by means of the following trivial identity,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau_0 |\dot{q}(\tau_0)| \delta(q(\tau_0)) = 1, \quad (8.19)$$

which holds for any function $q(\tau_0)$ that vanishes precisely once along the infinite τ -axis. As we will be considering a path integral with boundary values $q = \pm a$ at $\tau = \pm\infty$, we know that any function must have an odd number of zeroes. By restricting the semiclassical corrections to the one-instanton sector, we assume precisely one zero. Later we shall include other solutions.

Inserting this identity under the functional integral, interchanging the order of τ_0 integration with the path-integral and identifying q in (8.19) with the function $q(\tau)$ in the path integral, we obtain the following result,

$$W(a, \infty; -a, -\infty) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\tau_0 \int_{\substack{q(-\infty)=-a \\ q(\infty)=a}} \mathcal{D}q(\tau) \delta(q(\tau_0)) |\dot{q}(\tau_0)| e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar} S^E[q(\tau)]}. \quad (8.20)$$

The path integral thus extends over all possible trajectories between $q = \mp a$ at $\tau = \mp\infty$ which vanish (once) at a given time τ_0 . According to (8.20) the path integral factorizes into two parts, one associated with paths running from $q(-\infty) = -a$ to $q(\tau_0) = 0$, and the other

one corresponding to paths running from $q(\tau_0) = 0$ to $q(\infty) = a$. These two path integrals can subsequently be evaluated in the semiclassical approximation. Because of the boundary value at τ_0 , there are no problems with degenerate solutions and corresponding zero-modes.

In principle, the semiclassical approximation is rather straightforward. Because of the symmetry of the potential the classical action associated with the positive or the negative branch of the instanton solution is equal to one-half of the action of a full instanton. In what follows, we denote the action of a full instanton by S_0 , so that the semiclassical results equals $\exp(-\frac{1}{2}S_0/\hbar)$, times a determinant factor corresponding to the integral over the small deviations about the classical instanton solution. We write this determinant in a form that is familiar from the Gel'fand-Yaglom representation (see problem 3.6), but now in the context of Euclidean time τ . For instance, for the time interval $(\tau_0, \tau_0 + T/2)$ we write

$$\det \left[-\partial_\tau^2 + V''(q_0(\tau)) \right] \Big|_{q_0(\tau_0)=0; q_0(\tau_0+T/2)=a} = 2\pi\hbar \Psi(\tau_0 + T/2, \tau_0), \quad (8.21)$$

and there are similar expressions for different boundary values. We return to the definition and the subsequent evaluation of Ψ shortly. For the moment we note that we need a similar expression for the time-interval $(\tau_0 - T/2, \tau_0)$. Furthermore, we also have to incorporate the factor $|\dot{q}(\tau)|$ that appears in (8.20), but as deviations of the classical trajectories induce corrections of order $\sqrt{\hbar}$, we can approximate it by its classical value. According to (8.4), this value is equal to $\sqrt{2V(0)}$. Hence we write

$$\int_{\substack{q(-\infty)=-a \\ q(\infty)=a}} \mathcal{D}q(\tau) \delta(q(\tau_0)) |\dot{q}(\tau_0)| e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar}S^E[q(\tau)]} = \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \sqrt{\frac{2V(0)}{\Psi(\tau_0 + T/2, \tau_0) \Psi(\tau_0, \tau_0 - T/2)}} e^{-S_0/\hbar}. \quad (8.22)$$

At this point we should first discuss the definition and evaluation of the function $\Psi(\tau, \tau')$, which is a function of the (Euclidean) time difference $\tau - \tau'$. It is defined by the following conditions,

$$\left[-\partial_\tau^2 + V''(q_0(\tau)) \right] \Psi(\tau, \tau') = 0, \quad \Psi \Big|_{\tau=\tau'} = 0, \quad \frac{d\Psi}{d\tau} \Big|_{\tau=\tau'} = 1. \quad (8.23)$$

Hence Ψ satisfies a time-independent Schrödinger equation with τ playing the role of the coordinate and the τ -dependent potential given by $V''(q_0(\tau))$. Note that this potential is a function of τ through a given instanton path $q_0(\tau)$. For reference purposes, let us assume that $q_0(\tau)$ is the (anti)instanton solution centered at $\tau = \tau_0$ in the middle of a τ -interval of size T , where T is supposed to tend to infinity. Therefore the potential $V''(q_0(\tau))$ behaves as follows. It is symmetric in $(\tau - \tau_0)$ and for $|\tau - \tau_0| \gg 1$ it tends to a positive constant $\omega^2 = V''(a)$. Around $\tau = \tau_0$ it exhibits a dip. It becomes negative and its minimum at $\tau = \tau_0$ is equal to $V''(0)$.

The second-order differential equation (8.23) has two independent solutions. We will define these two independent solutions and use them extensively to decompose the various solutions of the differential equations with various boundary and/or normalization conditions. One solution that we have already encountered, is proportional to the zero-mode solution. It is symmetric in $\tau - \tau_0$ and we define it by $\varphi(\tau) = \dot{q}_0(\tau)/\dot{q}_0(\tau_0)$, so that its value at $\tau = \tau_0$ is normalized to unity. The second independent solution can therefore be chosen antisymmetric in $\tau - \tau_0$. We denote it by $\psi(\tau)$ and we normalize it by requiring $\dot{\psi}(\tau_0) = 1$. Obviously ψ itself vanishes at $\tau = \tau_0$. The so-called Wronskian associated with these solutions, defined by $\varphi\dot{\psi} - \psi\dot{\varphi}$, is constant and its value is equal to unity, as one can verify at $\tau = \tau_0$. Asymptotically, solutions to the differential equation behave as $\exp[\pm\omega(\tau - \tau_0)]$. However, we know that the zero-mode solution φ vanishes asymptotically (this is qualitatively clear, but follows explicitly from (8.17)), so that we expect the second solution ψ to contain an exponentially increasing factor. Therefore, the two solutions satisfy the following properties

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\tau_0) = 1, & \quad \dot{\varphi}(\tau_0) = 0, & \quad \varphi(\tau) \approx C_+ e^{-\omega|\tau-\tau_0|} & \quad \text{for } |\tau - \tau_0| \rightarrow \infty, \\ \psi(\tau_0) = 0, & \quad \dot{\psi}(\tau_0) = 1, & \quad \psi(\tau) \approx \text{sgn}(\tau - \tau_0) C_- e^{\omega|\tau-\tau_0|} & \quad \text{for } |\tau - \tau_0| \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (8.24)$$

From the value of the Wronskian we derive that C_+ and C_- are not independent and satisfy the condition $2\omega C_+ C_- = 1$.

Armed with this information we determine straightforwardly, in the limit $T \rightarrow \infty$, the two functions Ψ in (8.22).

$$\Psi(\tau_0 + T/2, \tau_0) \approx C_- e^{\omega T/2}, \quad \Psi(\tau_0, \tau_0 - T/2) \approx \frac{1}{2\omega C_+} e^{\omega T/2}. \quad (8.25)$$

The first result is rather obvious as $\Psi \approx \psi$ for large T . To determine second result one first writes the solution for $\Psi(\tau, \tau_0 - T/2)$ near $\tau \approx \tau_0 - T/2$, which is equal to $\omega^{-1} \sinh[\omega(\tau - \tau_0 + T/2)]$. This solution decomposes into φ and ψ and by matching the exponential factors far away from the instanton locations, one can determine the explicit decomposition,

$$\Psi(\tau, \tau_0 - T/2) = \frac{e^{\omega T/2}}{2\omega C_+} \varphi(\tau) + \frac{e^{-\omega T/2}}{2\omega C_-} \psi(\tau). \quad (8.26)$$

When choosing $\tau = \tau_0$, the second term proportional to ψ vanishes so that one obtains the required result (8.25). Of course, for a specific example the above results can be worked out explicitly, as we will see in problem 8.2. Later on in this chapter, we will have to consider a similar matching for the multi-instanton solutions.

After this digression we continue the determination of (8.22). Using that $\Psi(\tau_0+T/2, \tau_0) \Psi(\tau_0, \tau_0-T/2) \approx C_-^2 \exp(\omega T)$, and we obtain

$$W(a, \infty; -a, -\infty) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \sqrt{\frac{2V(0)}{C_-^2}} e^{S_0/\hbar}. \quad (8.27)$$

Introducing the (positive) factor K' , which remains finite for large T ,

$$K' = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{\frac{V(0)}{\omega S_0}} \sqrt{\frac{e^{\omega T}}{\Psi(\tau_0 + T/2, \tau_0) \Psi(\tau_0, \tau_0 - T/2)}} = \sqrt{\frac{V(0)}{S_0 \omega C_-^2}}. \quad (8.28)$$

we rewrite the right-hand side of (8.27) as

$$\sqrt{\frac{\omega}{\pi\hbar}} e^{-\omega T/2} \sqrt{\frac{S_0}{2\pi\hbar}} K' e^{-S_0/\hbar}. \quad (8.29)$$

Integrating (8.29) over the instanton position τ_0 leads to an extra factor T ,

$$W(a, \infty; -a, -\infty) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{\pi\hbar}} e^{-\omega T/2} \sqrt{\frac{S_0}{2\pi\hbar}} K' T e^{-S_0/\hbar}. \quad (8.30)$$

Clearly this answer does not depend exponentially on T , due to the degeneracy of the one-instanton solutions, so that we cannot extract a value for the energy.

Before continuing let us explain the reasons for extracting the prefactor $\sqrt{S_0/(2\pi\hbar)}$ in (8.29). The factor $\sqrt{S_0}$ in the numerator is precisely the norm of the zero-mode \dot{q}_0 , as can be seen from (8.8) upon substituting $E = 0$. The factor $\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}$ in the denominator arises because of the fact that we were dealing with a path integral in which the paths are fixed at some intermediate value (namely at τ_0). Each integration over a point of the path at a given time carries a factor $1/\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}$, as is shown in (2.21). These factors are almost completely cancelled by the appropriate measure of the path integral. The very same factor in (8.21) is a remnant of this. Compared to a path integral over unrestricted paths, a path integral over paths fixed at some intermediary time, carries a relative factor $1/\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}$. When integrating over the intermediary position, the corresponding Gaussian integral yields a factor $\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}$, so that the relative factor disappears.

The multi-instanton solutions can now be treated in the same way. Hence we consider the contribution in the path integral of paths $q(\tau)$ that cross the τ -axis $2n+1$ times and approximate them by an alternating sequence of $n+1$ instanton and n anti-instanton solutions. Here we assume that the instantons remain localized and separated by infinite time intervals, the so-called *dilute-instanton approximation*. Again we use the trick based on (8.19) to write the path integral as an integral over instanton positions $\tau_0 \ll \tau_2 \ll \dots \ll \tau_{2n-2} \ll \tau_{2n}$ and

anti-instanton positions $\tau_1 \ll \tau_3 \ll \dots \ll \tau_{2n-1}$. Keeping the (anti-)instanton positions fixed for the moment, we are interested in the functional integral

$$\int_{\substack{q(-\infty)=-a \\ q(\infty)=a}} \mathcal{D}q(\tau) \left(\prod_{i=0}^{2n} \delta(q(\tau_i)) |\dot{q}(\tau_i)| \right) e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar} S^E[q(\tau)]}. \quad (8.31)$$

This integral can be evaluated and gives rise to the following result

$$\left(\frac{1}{2\pi\hbar} \right)^{\frac{2n+2}{2}} \sqrt{\frac{(2V(0))^{2n+1}}{\Psi(\tau_{2n} + T/2, \tau_{2n}) \Psi(\tau_{2n}, \tau_{2n-1}) \cdots \Psi(\tau_1, \tau_0) \Psi(\tau_0, \tau_0 - T/2)}}} e^{-(2n+1)S_0/\hbar}. \quad (8.32)$$

To further determine this expression we need to evaluate $\Psi(\tau_{i+1}, \tau_i)$, where τ_i and τ_{i+1} denote two consecutive, widely separated (anti-)instanton positions. This can be done by considering $\Psi(\tau, \tau_i)$ at an intermediate value between the instanton and anti-instanton and comparing its form by extrapolating from both sides. Obviously $\Psi(\tau, \tau_i) = \psi_i(\tau) \approx C_- \exp[\omega(\tau - \tau_i)]$, where the subscript i indicates that the function φ_i is defined with respect to the i -th (anti)instanton, which can be written as a linear combination for the functions φ_{i+1} and ψ_{i+1} , but now defined with respect to the (anti)instanton at τ_{i+1} . Matching the exponentials $e^{\omega\tau}$ leads to

$$\Psi(\tau, \tau_i) = \frac{C_- e^{-\omega\tau_i}}{C_+ e^{-\omega\tau_{i+1}}} \varphi_{i+1}(\tau) + \alpha \psi_{i+1}(\tau), \quad (8.33)$$

where α is some unknown coefficient. From this expression one derives

$$\Psi(\tau_{i+1}, \tau_i) \approx 2\omega C_-^2 e^{\omega(\tau_{i+1} - \tau_i)}. \quad (8.34)$$

Substituting this result we obtain the following result for (8.31),

$$\sqrt{\frac{\omega}{\pi\hbar}} e^{-\omega T/2} \left[\sqrt{\frac{S_0}{2\pi\hbar}} K' e^{-S_0/\hbar} \right]^{2n+1}, \quad (8.35)$$

where K' was defined earlier in (8.28).

Subsequently we integrate over the $2n + 1$ (anti-)instanton positions. However, we have to take into account that every instanton must be followed by an anti-instanton, and vice versa, until one reaches the end. Hence the integral takes the form

$$\int_{-T/2}^{T/2} d\tau_{2n} \int_{-T/2}^{\tau_{2n}} d\tau_{2n-1} \cdots \int_{-T/2}^{\tau_2} d\tau_1 \int_{-T/2}^{\tau_1} d\tau_0 = \frac{1}{(2n+1)!} T^{2n+1}. \quad (8.36)$$

Substituting this expression into the path integral and summing over all multi-instanton configurations gives rise to

$$W(a, \infty; -a, -\infty) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{\pi\hbar}} e^{-\omega T/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n+1)!} \left[\sqrt{\frac{S_0}{2\pi\hbar}} K' T e^{-S_0/\hbar} \right]^{2n+1}. \quad (8.37)$$

Of course, the same result is obtained when interchanging the endpoints and considering paths running from $q = a$ to $q = -a$. But multi-instanton solutions contribute also when the endpoints are identical, except that the numbers of instantons and anti-instantons must then be equal, so that the sum runs over even powers of T ,

$$W(a, \infty; a, -\infty) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{\pi \hbar}} e^{-\omega T/2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n)!} \left[\sqrt{\frac{S_0}{2\pi \hbar}} K' T e^{-S_0/\hbar} \right]^{2n}. \quad (8.38)$$

Note that we included the zero-instanton contribution (8.13).

Both results (8.37) and (8.38) can be summed and we obtain

$$W(a, \infty; \pm a, -\infty) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{\pi \hbar}} e^{-\omega T/2} \times \left\{ \exp \left[\sqrt{\frac{S_0}{2\pi \hbar}} K' T e^{-S_0/\hbar} \right] \pm \exp \left[-\sqrt{\frac{S_0}{2\pi \hbar}} K' T e^{-S_0/\hbar} \right] \right\}. \quad (8.39)$$

After this summation the result depends exponentially on T and we can extract the results for the energy and the wave functions. We clearly distinguish two exponential factors corresponding to two different intermediate states in the evolution operator. One corresponds to the ground state, which we expect to be symmetric. The other one, corresponding to an excited state, is antisymmetric in $q \rightarrow -q$. We denote these states by $|S\rangle$ and $|A\rangle$ and we use that $\langle -a|S\rangle = \langle a|S\rangle$ and $\langle -a|A\rangle = -\langle a|A\rangle$. In this way we extract the corresponding energy levels denoted by E_S and E_A ,

$$E_{S/A} = \frac{1}{2} \hbar \omega \mp \hbar \sqrt{\frac{S_0}{2\pi \hbar}} K' e^{-S_0/\hbar}. \quad (8.40)$$

As expected, the ground state (with energy E_S) is symmetric under the reflection symmetry $q \rightarrow -q$ (cf. problem 8.3). Observe that these answers cannot be obtained from standard perturbation theory, which would yield a power series in \hbar .

8.2 The periodic potential

As a third application we consider the periodic potential with minima at $q = na$, with n an integer, where periodicity interval of the potential is equal to a . Then the eigenstates of the Hamiltonian can be chosen such that they are simultaneously eigenstates of the translation operator \mathcal{T} , which shifts the coordinate q to $q + a$. This translation operator commutes with the Hamiltonian and must be a unitary operator, so that its eigenvalues can be written as a phase factor. Hence we expect that eigenfunctions $\psi(q)$ will be quasi-periodic, i.e., they will satisfy $\psi(q + Na) = \exp(i\theta N) \psi(q)$ for some phase θ . This property will be confirmed below.

Let us now repeat the semiclassical instanton approximation. Using the same steps as above, we can sum over the solutions consisting of instanton and anti-instantons. However, in this case there is no correlation in the ordering of instantons and anti-instantons as an instanton does not have to be followed by an anti-instanton. The integration over n_+ instanton and n_- anti-instanton positions yields therefore a factor

$$\frac{T^{n_+}}{n_+!} \frac{T^{n_-}}{n_-!}.$$

The transition function between two states $|\ell a\rangle$ and $|(\ell + N)a\rangle$ therefore takes the form

$$W((\ell + N)a, \infty; \ell a, -\infty) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{\pi \hbar}} e^{-\omega T/2} \sum_{n_+ - n_- = N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n_+! n_-!} \left[\sqrt{\frac{S_0}{2\pi \hbar}} K' T e^{-S_0/\hbar} \right]^{n_+ + n_-}. \quad (8.41)$$

Using the following representation of the Kronecker-delta for integers n ,

$$\delta_{n,0} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta e^{i\theta n}, \quad (8.42)$$

and inserting it into the above expression with n replaced by $N - n_+ + n_-$, we can perform the sums in (8.41) under the θ -integral by summing over unrestricted integers n_{\pm} . The instantons and anti-instantons thus yield a factor

$$\exp \left[\sqrt{\frac{S_0}{2\pi \hbar}} K' T e^{-S_0/\hbar \mp i\theta} \right], \quad (8.43)$$

respectively. The final result takes the form

$$W((\ell + N)a, \infty; \ell a, -\infty) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{\pi \hbar}} e^{-\omega T/2} \times \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta e^{i\theta N} \exp \left[2 \cos \theta \sqrt{\frac{S_0}{2\pi \hbar}} K' T e^{-S_0/\hbar} \right]. \quad (8.44)$$

In (8.44) we distinguish a variety of exponential factors associated with continuous band of energies

$$E_{\theta} = \frac{1}{2} \hbar \omega - 2 \hbar \cos \theta \sqrt{\frac{S_0}{2\pi \hbar}} K' e^{-S_0/\hbar}. \quad (8.45)$$

Denote the corresponding eigenstates by $|\theta\rangle$ and insert a complete set of eigenstates into $\langle(\ell + N)a| \exp(-HT/\hbar) |\ell a\rangle$. In the approximation that we are working with, only the states $|\theta\rangle$ contribute, as is obvious from (8.44), so that we conclude

$$\langle(\ell + N)a|\theta\rangle \langle\theta|\ell a\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{\omega}{\pi \hbar}} e^{i\theta N}, \quad (8.46)$$

or

$$\langle (\ell + N)a | \theta \rangle = e^{i\theta N} \langle \ell a | \theta \rangle, \quad \text{and} \quad |\langle \ell a | \theta \rangle| = \left[\frac{\omega}{\pi \hbar} \right]^{\frac{1}{4}}. \quad (8.47)$$

We conclude that we are dealing with a continuous band of eigenstates with energy (8.45), characterized by an angle θ , which has a two-fold degeneracy. This band structure is very characteristic in solid-state physics and is, for instance, extremely relevant when describing the behaviour of electrons in solids.

Problems chapter 8

Problem 8.1 : Explicit instanton solution

Consider the (anti-)instanton solutions for the double-well potential

$$V(q) = \frac{\omega^2}{8a^2} (a^2 - q^2)^2, \quad (8.48)$$

and show that (for $T = \infty$) it takes the form

$$q(\tau) = a \tanh \left[\frac{1}{2} \omega (\tau - \tau_0) \right], \quad (8.49)$$

where τ_0 is the instanton “position” (the time where the velocity is maximal and q vanishes). Finally show that the action equals $S_d^E[q(\tau)] = \frac{2}{3} \omega a^2$.

Problem 8.2 : The semiclassical determinant

Reconsider the Gel'fand-Yaglom method for the calculation of determinants for the Euclidean case (cf. problem 3.6). As before the semiclassical result takes the form $F(\tau', \tau) \exp(-S/\hbar)$, with S the action corresponding to the classical path. The prefactor is equal to

$$F(\tau, \tau') = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar\Psi(\tau, \tau')}} ,$$

where Ψ is proportional to the determinant of the differential operator $[-\partial_\tau^2 + V''(q_0(\tau))]$ for functions that vanish at the boundary. Prove that Ψ is a solution of the differential equation

$$\left[-\partial_\tau^2 + V''(q_0(\tau)) \right] \Psi(\tau, \tau') = 0, \quad (8.50)$$

with boundary conditions $\Psi(\tau', \tau') = 0$ and $\partial_\tau \Psi(\tau, \tau')|_{\tau=\tau'} = 1$. Discuss the large-time behaviour.

Problem 8.3 :

Consider (8.39) and the matrix elements of $\exp(-HT/\hbar)$ in the two-dimensional space

spanned by the states $|\pm a\rangle$. Insert a complete set of eigenstates and argue that only two of them, denoted by $|S\rangle$ and $|A\rangle$, contribute. Identify their corresponding energies with (8.40). Find the overlap of the eigenfunctions with the states $|\pm a\rangle$ and show that $\langle a|S\rangle = \langle -a|S\rangle$, $\langle a|A\rangle = -\langle -a|A\rangle$ and $|\langle a|S\rangle| = |\langle a|A\rangle| = [\omega/(4\pi\hbar)]^{1/4}$. Interpret this result.

Problem 8.4 :

Show that V'' in the instanton background corresponding to the potential (8.48) takes the form

$$V'' = \frac{\omega^2}{2a^2}(3q^2 - a^2) = \frac{1}{2}\omega^2 \left[2 - \frac{3}{\cosh^2 \frac{1}{2}\omega(\tau - \tau_0)} \right]. \quad (8.51)$$

We consider the differential equation (8.50). This is just like solving the Schrödinger equation with (8.51) as a potential for which we must determine the zero-energy solution. One such solution is already known, as it corresponds to the zero-mode. Show that it equals (with certain normalization)

$$\varphi(\tau) = \frac{1}{\cosh^2(\omega(\tau - \tau_0)/2)}.$$

This is the true groundstate wave function for the potential (8.51). It is nowhere zero and vanishes exponentially at infinity. Show that it satisfies the following properties

$$\varphi(\tau_0) = 1, \quad \dot{\varphi}(\tau_0) = 0, \quad \varphi(\tau) \approx 4e^{-\omega|\tau - \tau_0|} \text{ for } \tau \rightarrow \pm\infty.$$

Prove that all zero-energy solutions behave asymptotically as $\exp(\pm\omega\tau)$ and can be chosen symmetric or antisymmetric under $(\tau - \tau_0) \rightarrow -(\tau - \tau_0)$. We are interested in the independent solution ψ (but only for large and positive τ) that satisfies the boundary conditions

$$\psi(\tau_0) = 0, \quad \dot{\psi}(\tau_0) = 1.$$

Show that any two solutions with equal eigenvalues, say f and g , have a constant Wronskian $f\dot{g} - \dot{f}g$. For φ and ψ use this observation to prove that

$$\varphi\dot{\psi} - \dot{\varphi}\psi = 1$$

Solve this equation at large τ to obtain an asymptotic prediction for ψ .

With the help of the above results show that the Gel'fand-Yaglom function Ψ behaves as

$$\Psi(\tau_0 + T/2, \tau_0) \approx \frac{1}{8\omega} e^{\omega T/2}.$$

Determine also $\Psi(\tau_0, \tau_0 - T/2)$ by matching the solution at $\tau \approx \tau_0 - T/2$ to a linear combination of φ and/or ψ . Calculate the constant K' and, using the result for the instanton action found in problem 6.1, derive that the energies of the lowest-lying states are equal to

$$E_A = \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega \mp 2\sqrt{\frac{\hbar\omega^3 a^2}{\pi}} e^{-\frac{2}{3}\omega a^2/\hbar}.$$

Problem 8.5 : Tunneling in quantum mechanics and in quantum field theory

Consider a particle in a two-dimensional plane and denote its position \vec{q} in polar coordinates by $q(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$. The particle is constrained to move on the circle $q = R$ and the Euclidean action of the particle is therefore equal to

$$S^E[\vec{q}] = \int d\tau \frac{1}{2}(\dot{\vec{q}})^2 = \int d\tau \frac{1}{2}R^2 \dot{\theta}^2. \quad (8.52)$$

We want to calculate the transition function $W(\theta_2, T/2; \theta_1, -T/2)$ in the semiclassical approximation (which is exact here). What are the classical paths that contribute to this transition function? Calculate the classical action for each of them.

Using the (real-time) version of the result of problem 5.7, part iii), and write down the exact transition function. Identify the contribution from the various paths and observe that the prefactor depends on T , and not only on θ_1 and θ_2 , as one may intuitively expect.

The exponential factors do not obviously yield the expected behaviour for large T . Use the Poisson resummation formula (5.38) such that the expected form is obtained and identify the contribution from the energy eigenvalues and the wave functions. Consider the dependence on $\theta_2 - \theta_1$ for large and small T and explain the result.

Next consider a relativistic field theory for a complex scalar field $\phi(x) \equiv |\phi(x)|e^{i\theta(x)}$, which is also constrained to take values on the circle $|\phi(x)| = R/\sqrt{2}$. The relevant Euclidean action is now

$$S^E[\phi] = \int d^4x \partial_\mu \phi^* \partial^\mu \phi = \int d^4x \frac{1}{2}R^2 (\partial_\mu \theta)^2.$$

We want to calculate again the transition function $W(\theta_2, T/2; \theta_1, -T/2)$, where θ_1 and θ_2 are constant (i.e., they do not depend on the space coordinates), in the semiclassical approximation (which is exact). Determine again the classical paths subject to these boundary conditions and the corresponding value of the action. Assume a finite volume V of space at this point.

Observing that the result obtained is almost entirely the same as that found above for a single particle, try to justify the prefactor in the transition function, and use the Poisson resummation formula exactly as above. Then take the limit $V \rightarrow \infty$. What is now your conclusion about the $(\theta_2 - \theta_1)$ -dependence of the transition function in general and the groundstate wave function in particular?

Problem 8.6 : Tunneling for a particle on a circle

Consider a particle with mass m moving on a circle with radius R , described by an angular

variable $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$. The Euclidean Lagrangian is given by,

$$L^E = \frac{1}{2}mR^2 \dot{\theta}^2 + V(\theta), \quad (8.53)$$

with potential $V(\theta) = g^2 \sin^2(N\theta/2)$. This potential is non-negative and has N minima at regular distances around the circle. Clearly there exists a (anti-)instanton solution connecting two adjacent minima, which we denote by $\theta_0(\tau)$.

i) Prove that the potential has minima at $\theta = 2\pi n/N$ where $0 \leq n < N - 1$, and that $d^2V/d\theta^2$ equals $\frac{1}{2}N^2g^2$ at these minima. It is convenient to introduce the quantity $\omega = gN/\sqrt{mR^2}$. What is the meaning of ω ? (g will be taken positive).

ii) Prove that the instanton solution satisfies the differential equation,

$$\frac{d\theta(\tau)}{d\tau} = \frac{\omega\sqrt{2}}{N} \sin(N\theta/2). \quad (8.54)$$

and that the corresponding action equals ($N \neq 0$),

$$S_0 = S^E[\theta_0] = g\sqrt{2mR^2} \int_0^{2\pi/N} d\theta \sin(N\theta/2) = \frac{4\sqrt{2}g^2}{\omega}. \quad (8.55)$$

iii) Let us now consider the Euclidean path integral in the semiclassical approximation in the limit that $\tau_2 - \tau_1 = T \rightarrow \infty$ between two minima $\theta_1 = 2\pi m/N$ and $\theta_2 = 2\pi n/N$, where m, n are integers between 0 and $N - 1$. Discuss all the classical paths that contribute to the functional integral in the infinite- T limit. Note that there is an extra degeneracy as compared to the case of the periodic potential, due to the fact that the particle moves on a circle so that the minima of the potential are identified modulo N .

iv) In the lectures we derived the expression for the Euclidean path integral between two minima at ma and na in a periodic potential on an infinite line, where a is the periodicity interval (which we identify with $a = 2\pi/N$) and m and n are integers,

$$W^E(ma, \infty; na, -\infty) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{\frac{mR^2\omega}{\pi\hbar}} e^{-\omega T/2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} e^{i(n-m)\theta} \exp \left[2 \cos \theta \sqrt{\frac{S_0}{2\pi\hbar}} K' T e^{-S_0/\hbar} \right], \quad (8.56)$$

Argue that the corresponding expression on the circle takes the form

$$W^E(2\pi n/N, \infty; 2\pi m/N, -\infty) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} W^E(2\pi n/N + 2\pi k, \infty; 2\pi m/N, -\infty), \quad (8.57)$$

where $0 \leq m, n < N - 1$.

v) Using the Poisson resummation formula

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2\pi i k x} = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(x - l), \quad (8.58)$$

combine (8.56) and (8.57) to derive the following result,

$$W^E(2\pi n/N, \infty; 2\pi m/N, -\infty) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sqrt{\frac{mR^2\omega}{\pi\hbar}} \sum_{l=-[N/2]}^{[N/2]} e^{2\pi i l(n-m)/N} e^{-E_l T/\hbar}, \quad (8.59)$$

where $[N/2]$ is the integer part of $N/2$ (i.e. $[N/2]$ equals $N/2$ for even N and $(N-1)/2$ for odd N), and

$$E_l = \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega - 2\hbar \cos \frac{2\pi l}{N} \sqrt{\frac{S_0}{2\pi\hbar}} K' e^{-S_0/\hbar}. \quad (8.60)$$

vi) Argue that the above result comprises the contributions of an odd number of states. One is the ground state, which is non-degenerate. The excited energy levels are all degenerate. Can you explain the presence of the factor $\exp[2\pi i l(n-m)/N]$?

Problem 8.7: Instantons and fermions

We consider a quantum mechanical model based on a bosonic coordinate x and a fermionic coordinate ψ . The latter is a real, two-component spinor. Formulated in Euclidean time τ , the action equals

$$S_E = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\tau \left\{ \dot{q}(\tau)^2 + U^2(q) + \psi^T \dot{\psi} + (\psi^T \sigma_2 \psi) \frac{\partial U(q)}{\partial q} \right\}, \quad (8.61)$$

where ψ^T is the transposed of ψ and σ_2 denotes the matrix $\sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

i) Derive the equations of motion for $q(\tau)$ and $\psi(\tau)$.

ii) Consider the (infinitesimal) transformation rules

$$\delta q = \varepsilon^T \sigma_2 \psi; \quad \delta \psi = \dot{q} \sigma_2 \varepsilon - U(q) \varepsilon, \quad (8.62)$$

where ε is a time-independent, anticommuting two-component spinor which serves as a parameter of the transformation. Write down the variation $\delta \psi^T$. Show that the Lagrangian transforms into a total derivative. [**Hint:** Realize that ψ is anticommuting so that $\varepsilon^T \sigma_2 \psi = \psi^T \sigma_2 \varepsilon$ and, furthermore, that any product of more than two identical spinors must vanish!]. Hence the action is invariant under (8.62). Consider first the simple case when $U = 0$, and then prove invariance for general $U(q)$.

iii) Consider the double-well potential, where

$$V(q) = \frac{1}{2}U^2(q), \quad \text{with} \quad U(q) = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\lambda}\left(q^2 - \frac{\mu^2}{\lambda}\right). \quad (8.63)$$

Observe that $U \leq 0$ when q is located between the two minima of the potential. The usual instanton solution is obtained by setting the fermions to zero and choosing

$$q_0(\tau) = \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \tanh\left[\frac{1}{2}\mu(\tau - \tau_0)\right], \quad \psi_0 = 0. \quad (8.64)$$

Here τ_0 is a collective coordinate associated with Euclidean time translations. The instanton solution satisfies $\dot{q}(\tau) + U(q(\tau)) = 0$ and has finite action. The anti-instanton solution satisfies $\dot{q}(\tau) - U(q(\tau)) = 0$. Show that the solutions of these linear differential equations satisfy the field equation which is a second-order differential equation.

iv) There is another solution, for which the two-component spinor is non-zero. Such a solution follows from the observation that $q(\tau) = q_0(\tau) + \delta q(\tau)$ and $\psi(\tau) = \psi_0(\tau) + \delta\psi(\tau)$, where δq and $\delta\psi$ are the result of the transformation (8.62) on the previous solution, must satisfy the equations of motion. Show that this yields

$$q_0(\tau) = \frac{\mu}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \tanh\left[\frac{\mu}{\sqrt{2}}(\tau - \tau_0)\right], \quad \psi(\tau) = \xi f(\tau) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ i \end{pmatrix}, \quad (8.65)$$

where we have introduced a (constant) Grassmann parameter ξ .

- v) Explain why ξ is called a fermionic collective coordinate.
- vi) Give also the solution with non-zero ψ for the anti-instanton.
- vii) Consider performing a semi-classical evaluation where one integrates over small bosonic and fermionic fluctuations around the instanton solution. Can you understand why the semi-classical corrections vanish?

9 Perturbation theory

For most actions S we cannot explicitly calculate the path integral. However, we will show that we can describe the path integral in perturbation theory in terms of an infinite series of so-called *Feynman diagrams*. Consider an action S which is not only quadratic in the fields but also includes higher-order terms. We write

$$S[\phi] = S_0[\phi] + S_I[\phi], \quad (9.1)$$

where $S_0[\phi]$ denotes the part of S quadratic in ϕ , while $S_I[\phi]$ contains the higher-order terms. The path integral W can be written as

$$W = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} S_0[\phi] \right\} \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} S_I[\phi] \right\}. \quad (9.2)$$

In chapter 6 the following identity was derived,

$$\int \mathcal{D}\phi \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} S_0[\phi] + J \cdot \phi \right\} = \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (J, \Delta J) \right\}, \quad (9.3)$$

where Δ is the two-point function of the free theory, determined by S_0 . Clearly the two-point function is directly proportional to the inverse of the quadratic term in the action. Note that we use a compact notation, where

$$J \cdot \phi \equiv \int dx J(x) \phi(x) \quad \text{and} \quad (J, \Delta J) \equiv \int dx dy J(x) \Delta(x, y) J(y). \quad (9.4)$$

If the quadratic term in the action is equal to $\frac{1}{2}(\phi, A\phi)$ then $\Delta = i\hbar A^{-1}$. The two-point function Δ is often called the *propagator*. The path-integral measure $\mathcal{D}\phi$ is normalized such that $\int \mathcal{D}\phi \exp\left[\frac{i}{\hbar} S_0\right] = 1$.

Using (9.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int \mathcal{D}\phi \phi_1 \cdots \phi_n \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} S_0[\phi] \right\} &= \int \mathcal{D}\phi \frac{\partial}{\partial J_1} \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial J_n} \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} S_0[\phi] + J \cdot \phi \right\} \Big|_{J=0} \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial J_1} \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial J_n} \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (J, \Delta J) \right\} \Big|_{J=0}. \end{aligned} \quad (9.5)$$

To compute (9.2) we expand $\exp\left\{\frac{i}{\hbar} S_I[\phi]\right\}$ as a power series in terms of the fields; (9.2) can be written as

$$W = \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} S_I[\partial/\partial J] \right\} \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (J, \Delta J) \right\} \Big|_{J=0}. \quad (9.6)$$

To be more specific and to clarify what is meant by $S_I[\partial/\partial J]$ we discuss an example based on the action

$$S = \int d^d x (\mathcal{L}_0 + \lambda \phi^4(x)), \quad (9.7)$$

Figure 2: Diagrammatic representation of (9.11).

where \mathcal{L}_0 is the quadratic part of L . The coupling constant λ must be taken negative in order that the potential be bounded from below. Then (9.2) becomes

$$W = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} \int d^d x \lambda \phi^4(x) \right\} \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} S_0[\phi] \right\}. \quad (9.8)$$

The first term, $\exp\{\frac{i}{\hbar} S_I\}$, can be expanded as a power series

$$\exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} S_I[\phi] \right\} = 1 + \frac{i\lambda}{\hbar} \int d^d x \phi^4(x) - \frac{\lambda^2}{2\hbar^2} \left\{ \int d^d x \phi^4(x) \right\}^2 + \dots \quad (9.9)$$

We focus on $\int \mathcal{D}\phi \int d^d x \phi^4(x) \exp \{S_0[\phi]\}$, treating higher-order terms as perturbations. With (9.5) this is equal to

$$\int d^d x \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial J(x)} \right)^4 \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(J, \Delta J) \right\} = \int d^d x \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial J(x)} \right)^4 \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int d^d y d^d z J(y) \Delta(y, z) J(z) \right\}. \quad (9.10)$$

Using $\frac{\partial J(y)}{\partial J(x)} = \delta^d(x - y)$ one easily finds this to be equal to

$$\int d^d x \left\{ 3\Delta^2(x, x) + 6\Delta(x, x) \left(\int d^d y \Delta(x, y) J(y) \right)^2 + \left(\int d^d y \Delta(x, y) J(y) \right)^4 \right\} \\ \times \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int d^d z d^d z' J(z) \Delta(z, z') J(z') \right\}. \quad (9.11)$$

The correspondence with Feynman diagrams is given by associating to each propagator $\Delta(x, y)$ a line with end points labelled by x and y , to each source term $J(x)$ a cross labelled by x and to each term originating from $\phi^n(x)$ an n -point vertex labelled by x (an n -point vertex is a point where n lines join). Furthermore, one should integrate over the variables associated with the internal lines. In terms of Feynman diagrams the expression (9.11) is shown in Fig. 2. The coefficients of the three terms in (9.11) are combinatorial factors which are related to the number of ways in which a diagram can be formed by connecting

propagators and vertices. Indeed, there are three different ways to connect the four lines of the vertex by two propagators and six different ways to connect only two lines.

Observe that we did not put the source equal to zero in (9.11). Only the first term therefore represents a contribution to the path integral (9.8). The subsequent terms contribute, however, to the two- and four-point correlation function, which follow from taking further derivatives with respect to $J(x)$, before putting $J(x)$ to zero.

In principle it is straightforward to work out all these expressions including the combinatorial factors. In practice these manipulations are summarized in a number of simple rules, the so-called Feynman rules, which succinctly specify the correspondence between a diagram and its mathematical expression and prescribe in simple terms how to obtain the combinatorial factors, without necessarily having to refer to long expressions such as the ones above. These rules can be applied to any field theory. We refer to De Wit & Smith (in particular to chapter 2) for further details.

In (9.11) one observes that propagators appear whose space-time arguments coincide. They are caused by the fact that in (9.10) and (9.11) we are considering correlation functions of fields taken at the same point in space-time. We have already observed, at the end of chapter 5 (cf. problem 5.4), that such products become singular. The same phenomenon happens here. To see this consider the propagator for Klein-Gordon theory, which follows directly from (6.14) by integrating over all the different harmonic oscillators described by the field theory. Hence

$$\Delta(x, y) = \frac{\hbar}{i(2\pi)^d} \int d^d k \frac{e^{i\vec{k}\cdot(\vec{x}-\vec{y}) - ik_0(x_0-y_0)}}{-k_0^2 + \vec{k}^2 + m^2 - i\epsilon}. \quad (9.12)$$

Obviously Δ satisfies the equation

$$\frac{i}{\hbar} (\nabla^2 - \partial_0^2 - m^2) \Delta(x, y) = -\delta^d(x - y). \quad (9.13)$$

For $x = y$ we find

$$\Delta(x, x) = \frac{\hbar}{i(2\pi)^d} \int d^d k \frac{1}{-k_0^2 + \vec{k}^2 + m^2 - i\epsilon}, \quad (9.14)$$

which diverges (unless we are in $d = 1$ dimensions) where we are dealing with just one harmonic oscillator. The singularity is thus caused by the fact that, in field theory, we are dealing with an infinite number of harmonic oscillators (the singularity is called "ultraviolet" because it is associated with large momenta; observe that the degree of divergence grows with the dimension).

Let us first study these singularities in a little more detail. Because of Lorentz invariance, the propagator is not only singular for $x = y$, but everywhere on the light cone (thus for

$(x - y)^2 = 0$). Therefore we switch to the Euclidean case, where the singularity occurs only at $x = y$. In d dimensions we thus consider the following differential equation,

$$(\partial_i^2 - m^2) \Delta(x - y) = -\hbar \delta^d(x - y). \quad (9.15)$$

Writing $r = |\vec{x} - \vec{y}|$, we use the ansatz

$$\Delta(x - y) = -\frac{\hbar}{\Omega_d} \frac{f(mr)}{r^{d-2}}, \quad (9.16)$$

where

$$\Omega_d = \frac{2\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(d/2)}, \quad (9.17)$$

is the volume of a unit sphere S^{d-1} embedded in d dimensions. To appreciate, the reader is encouraged to calculate the values for S^1 , S^2 and S^3 , which is equal to 2, 2π and 4π , respectively. The ansatz implies that the dimensionless function f must satisfy the equation

$$f''(mr) - \frac{d-3}{mr} f'(mr) - f(mr) = 0. \quad (r > 0) \quad (9.18)$$

Equation (9.18) is a modified Bessel equation (cf. problem 9.4). Integrating (9.15) over a ball of radius R centered at the origin, we obtain

$$\int_{B_R} d^d x (\partial_i^2 - m^2) \Delta(x) = -\hbar. \quad (9.19)$$

Using Gauss' law we rewrite the first term as a surface integral. In this way we find

$$1 + (d-2) f(mR) - mR f'(mR) + m^2 \int_0^R dr r f(mr) = 0. \quad (9.20)$$

Upon differentiation with respect to R one finds again (9.18). Assuming that f is regular at the origin and that $d \neq 2$, one readily concludes that $f(0) = -(d-2)^{-1}$.

For $m = 0$ we thus recover the Coulomb potential in d dimensions,

$$\Delta(x - y) = \frac{\hbar}{\Omega_d} \frac{1}{d-2} \frac{1}{r^{d-2}}, \quad (d \neq 2) \quad (9.21)$$

Problems chapter 9

Problem 9.1 :

For $d = 1$ prove that the solution of (9.15) equals

$$\Delta(x) = \frac{\hbar}{2m} e^{-m|x|} + C_1 e^{mx} + C_2 e^{-mx}, \quad (9.22)$$

where C_1 and C_2 are arbitrary constants. Give physical arguments why one should choose $C_1 = C_2 = 0$. Consider also the case $m = 0$.

Problem 9.2 : Coulomb potential in two dimensions

For $d = 2$ we assume that $rf(mr)$ is regular near the origin. Show that (9.20) then leads to

$$\Delta(x - y) = \frac{\hbar}{2\pi} \ln m|x - y|, \quad (9.23)$$

for $x - y \approx 0$, while for large values of $|x - y|$ we have an exponential damping factor proportional to $\exp(-m|x - y|)$. Convert to complex coordinates $z = x + iy$ and write the massless equation in terms of these coordinates. Show that the general solution away from $x - y = 0$ can be written as the sum of two arbitrary functions $f(z)$ and $g(\bar{z})$, i.e. a holomorphic and an antiholomorphic function. Argue that the special solution of the inhomogenous equation

$$\Delta(x - y) = \frac{\hbar}{2\pi} \ln \mu|x - y|, \quad (9.24)$$

with μ an arbitrary constant, is indeed of that form. This is the Coulomb potential in two dimensions. Demonstrate that the above result is consistent with the limit $d \rightarrow 2$ of (9.21).

Problem 9.3 : The Yukawa potential

Solve (9.18) and (9.19) at $R = 0$ for $d = 3$ and prove that the most general solution for $\Delta(x)$ follows from

$$f(mr) = e^{-mr} + A \sinh mr,$$

where A is an arbitrary constant. For $A = 0$ one obtains the Yukawa potential

$$\Delta_{d=3} = -\hbar \frac{e^{-mr}}{4\pi r}. \quad (9.25)$$

Give a systematic comparison of the short and long distance behaviour of the propagators in various dimensions, both for $m \neq 0$ and $m = 0$.

Problem 9.4 : Green's functions with non-zero mass

Consider the expression for Δ in d Euclidean dimensions,

$$\Delta(\vec{x}) = \frac{\hbar}{(2\pi)^d} \int d^d k \frac{e^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}}{\vec{k}^2 + m^2}.$$

Show that it can be written as

$$\Delta(\vec{x}) = \frac{\hbar}{(2\pi)^d} \int_0^\infty ds \int d^d k e^{-s(k^2+m^2)+i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}.$$

Perform the integral over the component of \vec{k} parallel to \vec{x} and over the transverse components of \vec{k} . Prove the following result ($r = |\vec{x}|$),

$$\Delta(r) = \frac{\hbar m^{d-2}}{(4\pi)^{d/2}} \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s^{d/2}} \exp \left[-s - \frac{(mr)^2}{4s} \right].$$

The modified Bessel functions are defined by

$$K_\nu(x) = \frac{x^\nu}{2^{\nu+1}} \int_0^\infty \frac{ds}{s^{\nu+1}} \exp \left[-s - \frac{x^2}{4s} \right].$$

Show that $x^{-\nu} K_\nu(x)$ satisfies the differential equation (9.18) for appropriately chosen ν . The asymptotic behaviour of K_ν is given by

$$\begin{aligned} K_\nu(x) &\stackrel{x \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2x}} e^{-x} \left(1 + \frac{4\nu^2 - 1}{8x} + O(x^{-2}) \right), \\ K_\nu(x) &\stackrel{x \rightarrow 0}{\sim} \frac{2^{\nu-1} \Gamma(\nu)}{x^\nu} \left(1 + O(x) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Verify the asymptotic statements made in the text.

Problem 9.5 : Loops in connected graphs

Consider the path integral $W = \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} S_I [\partial/\partial J] \right\} \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (J, \Delta J) \right\} |_{J=0}$. The expansion of $\exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} S_I \right\}$ into a power series leads to an expansion of W , which can be represented by Feynman graphs. Similar expansions are obtained for the n -point Green's functions (correlation functions) by differentiating n more times with respect to the source $J(x_i)$, before putting J to zero. Here x_i are the space-time coordinates ($i = 1, \dots, n$) associated with the Green's function. The corresponding diagrams then have n external lines, i.e. propagators that emanate from a vertex at space-time point x_i , with no other propagators attached. The other side of this propagator is then connected to the main body of the diagram. Obviously, we call a diagram *connected* when it can *not* be divided into two parts without cutting one of the lines.

For a field theory with translational symmetry it is convenient to perform a Fourier transformation and to consider the Feynman graphs in momentum space. The Green's functions then depend on n momenta (subject to energy-momentum conservation). Connected diagrams can be classified by the number of loops L , the number of independent momentum integrations once energy-momentum conservation has been imposed at every vertex.

Show that a connected graph with V vertices and I internal and E external lines has $I - V + 1$ loops. The Feynman graphs are proportional to some power of \hbar , which is related to the number of loops. To determine this power, argue that propagators are of order \hbar and

vertices of order $1/\hbar$. Show that as a result connected Feynman graphs are proportional to $\hbar^{I+E-V} = \hbar^{L+E-1}$ and conclude that a loop expansion of a diagram with E external lines corresponds to an expansion in orders of \hbar .

Problem 9.6 : Functional of connected graphs

In the case of a free field theory coupled to an external source we have seen that (apart from a normalization factor) $W = \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(J, \Delta J) \right\}$ and that $\ln W = \frac{1}{2}(J, \Delta J)$ therefore consists of only a connected diagram $\times \text{---} \times$. The fact that $\ln W$ can be written as a sum of only connected diagrams turns out to be a general property for any field theory.

To see this consider some generic theory with an interaction Lagrangian $g \mathcal{L}_I$. Possible interactions with external sources may be included in it. A general graph can always be written as the product of powers of the expressions for *connected* graphs. Let us denote the contribution of a given connected graph $[i]$ by $g^{s_i} \Gamma[i]$, where s_i defines the number of vertices of the graph (which equals the power of the coupling constant g). For simplicity, assume that there is only one kind of vertex. Note that $g^{s_i} \Gamma[i]$ contains all combinatorial factors, i.e. the ones that are encountered when the full diagram is precisely equal to the single connected graph $[i]$. Prove now that a general (dis)connected contribution to W that contains n_i diagrams of type $[i]$ is equal to

$$\Gamma(\{n_i\}) = \prod_i \frac{(g^{s_i} \Gamma[i])^{n_i}}{n_i!},$$

Since W is the sum of all the above contributions for all possible graphs, it follows that

$$W = \sum_{\{n_i\}} \Gamma(\{n_i\}) = \prod_i \left(\sum_{n_i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(g^{s_i} \Gamma[i])^{n_i}}{n_i!} \right) = \exp \left\{ \sum_i g^{s_i} \Gamma[i] \right\}.$$

Hence, $\ln W$ is just the sum of the connected diagrams. How do connected diagrams depend on the total volume of the system? (Suppress external sources here). Argue that $\ln W$ rather than W itself is the quantity that is of physical interest.

Problem 9.7 :

To show explicitly in a nontrivial example that $\ln W$ consists of the sum of only connected diagrams, we consider the path integral

$$W_{\tilde{J}} = \int \mathcal{D}\phi \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}(\phi, \Delta^{-1}\phi) + \frac{1}{2}(\phi, \tilde{J}\phi) \right\}, \quad (9.26)$$

where $(\phi, \tilde{J}\phi) = \int d^d x \tilde{J}(x)\phi^2(x)$. Show that $W_{\tilde{J}}$ is proportional to $(\det(\Delta^{-1} - \tilde{J}))^{-1/2}$ by performing the Gaussian integral. Making use of equation (3.7), prove subsequently that

$$W_{\tilde{J}} = W_{\tilde{J}=0} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \ln(1 - \Delta \tilde{J}) \right\}.$$

Now consider the Feynman diagrams corresponding to (9.26), with propagators Δ and vertices describing the coupling to \tilde{J} . How many loops do these diagrams have? Write down the connected Feynman diagram with n sources \tilde{J} , including its combinatorial factor. Show that its result coincides with the above equation when extracting the n -th order term of the logarithm. Is this consistent with the result proven in problem 9.6?

Problem 9.8 : Auxiliary fields 1

Consider a field theory with two real fields, ϕ en A , described by the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2 - \lambda\phi^4 - \frac{1}{2}A^2 + A(\mu^2 + g\phi^2).$$

- i) Give the propagators and vertices. Determine the dimension of the fields and the coupling-constant and mass parameters λ , g , μ^2 and m^2 .
- ii) Calculate the self-energy diagrams in the tree approximation and give the masses for the *physical* particles described in this approximation. Subsequently give the full propagators in the tree approximation and use these in the next three questions.
- iii) Calculate the (three) self-energy diagrams for the field ϕ in the one-loop approximation. Give the mass-shift of ϕ in that approximation. (Note: do *not* try to evaluate the integrals.) For which value of g does the mass shift vanish?
- iv) Calculate the mass shift for the field A in the one-loop approximation. What do you conclude?
- v) Solve the equations of motion for A en substitute the result into the Lagrangian, which will then depend only on ϕ . Show that this corresponds to integrating out the field A in the path integral.
- vi) Evaluate now again the mass of the field ϕ in tree approximation and compare the result with that of question ii) above.
- vii) Calculate again the self-energy diagrams in the one-loop approximation? Compare the result with that obtained in question iii).

Problem 9.9 : The large- N limit

Consider the action for N real scalar fields ϕ_i ($i = 1 \dots N$) and one real scalar field σ , given by

$$S[\phi_i, \sigma] = \int d^4x \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_i (\partial_\mu\phi_i)^2 - \frac{1}{2}m^2 \sum_i \phi_i^2 + \sigma \sum_i \phi_i^2 + \frac{1}{2}c\sigma^2 \right\}. \quad (9.27)$$

- i) Give the expressions for the propagators and the vertices of the action.

- ii) Calculate the selfenergy diagrams for the fields ϕ_i and σ with one closed loop (do not evaluate the corresponding momentum integrals). Argue by considering the inverse (connected) 2-point correlation function (use the Dyson equation) in the one-loop approximation that these results are valid for *large* values of c .
- iii) Calculate the one-loop diagram with a single external σ -line. Express the result into the (divergent) momentum integral

$$T(m^2) = \int \frac{d^4p}{i(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{p^2 + m^2}. \quad (9.28)$$

How does the result depend on N ?

- iv) We are interested in the (connected) correlation functions of the fields ϕ_i . To that order introduce a source term J_i for every field ϕ_i . The relevant correlation functions are then given by

$$\langle \phi_{i_1}(x_1) \dots \phi_{i_n}(x_n) \rangle = \frac{\delta}{\delta J_{i_1}(x_1)} \dots \frac{\delta}{\delta J_{i_n}(x_n)} \ln Z[J_i] \Big|_{J_i=0}. \quad (9.29)$$

Determine the value of c such that this theory is equivalent with the one given by an action without the field σ , but with a four-point coupling between the ϕ_i fields with coupling strength $-g/N$.

Subsequently we assume that c is equal to this special value that you found in iv). Now we consider the limit of large N with g constant for all correlation functions (9.29).

- v) Consider diagrams with only external ϕ -lines, coupled via internal σ -line, but *without* loops formed *exclusively* by ϕ -propagators. Show that only the disconnected tree diagrams contribute in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$.
- vi) Add a loop of exclusively internal ϕ -propagators. This loop couples through σ -lines to the rest of the diagram. Show which diagrams contribute in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$. Generalize this argument to several ϕ -loops and prove that, in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, only the two-point *connected* correlation functions (9.29) are nonzero. The theory therefore behaves as a free field theory in this limit.
- vii) Prove, in the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit, that the quantum corrections only give rise to changes in the ϕ -mass. Denote this modified mass by M . Show that M satisfies the following equation,

$$M^2 = m^2 + 4gT(M^2). \quad (9.30)$$

Do this by first expanding the right-hand side to g with the aid of the one-loop result. Subsequently, show that both sides of the equation describe the same diagrams.

viii) Give, for large N , the leading expression for the full propagator belonging to the field σ . This expression contains again a divergent integral, which depends on M^2 . In this approximation, can you say something about whether σ can correspond to a possible physical particle?

10 More on Feynman diagrams

Here we follow sections 2.4, 2.5 and 2.6 of De Wit & Smith. We also consider part of the problems listed at the end of chapter 2.

Problems chapter 10

Problem 10.1 : Auxiliary fields 2

Consider the following Lagrangian of real fields A and F in four spacetime dimensions,

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0 + \mathcal{L}_m + \mathcal{L}_g, \quad (10.1)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu A \partial^\mu A + \frac{1}{2}F^2, \quad \mathcal{L}_m = mFA, \quad \mathcal{L}_g = gFA^2. \quad (10.2)$$

- i) Show that, classically, this theory is equivalent to a self-interacting theory of one real scalar.
- ii) Due to the A - F mixing introduced in \mathcal{L}_m the quadratic part of the Lagrangian \mathcal{L} is not diagonal in field space. Therefore, the propagators form a 2×2 -matrix with off-diagonal entries. Show that the propagators for this model read

$$\Delta(p^2) = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{AA}(p^2) & \Delta_{AF}(p^2) \\ \Delta_{FA}(p^2) & \Delta_{FF}(p^2) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{i(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{p^2 + m^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -m \\ -m & -p^2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (10.3)$$

- iii) Write down the Feynman rules corresponding to \mathcal{L} . Represent the AA -propagator by a straight line, the FF -propagator by a wiggly line. The propagators Δ_{AF} and Δ_{FA} are represented by lines which are straight at the end associated with the A -field and turn wiggly at the end associated with the F -field.

We note that the Lagrangian has special properties, as is reflected for example in the fact that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial m} \mathcal{L}_m = \frac{1}{2g} \frac{\partial}{\partial A} \mathcal{L}_g. \quad (10.4)$$

Such properties lead to intricate relations among the diagrams generated by \mathcal{L} . We will derive such a relation in the following. We introduce sources for the fields A and F and the path-integral representation for the generating functional

$$Z[J] = \int \mathcal{D}A \mathcal{D}F \exp \left[i \int d^4y \mathcal{L} + \int d^4y (J_A A + J_F F) \right]. \quad (10.5)$$

iv) Derive the relation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial m} Z[J] + \frac{im}{2g} \int d^4y \frac{\delta Z[J]}{\delta J_F(y)} + \frac{1}{2g} Z[J] \int d^4y J_A(y) = 0. \quad (10.6)$$

(Hint: use that the path integral over a (functional) derivative with respect to a field vanishes,

$$\int \mathcal{D}\phi \frac{\delta}{\delta\phi(x)} G[\phi] = 0, \quad (10.7)$$

as well as

$$\int \mathcal{D}\phi H[\phi] \int dx \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \phi(x) = 0, \quad (10.8)$$

for functionals $G[\phi]$ and $H[\phi]$.)

v) Rewrite the identity (10.6) in terms of the generating functional of *connected* correlation functions $W[J] = \ln Z[J]$.

From above identities one can now deduce many non-trivial relations between different graphs. To pick out the specific graphs contributing to a correlation function one functionally differentiates with respect to the sources and then sets the sources to zero. Let us define

$$\begin{aligned} D_{AA}(x_1, x_2) &= \left. \frac{\delta}{\delta J_A(x_1)} \frac{\delta}{\delta J_A(x_2)} W[J_A, J_F] \right|_{J_A=0=J_F}, \\ \Gamma_{FAA}(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= \left. \frac{\delta}{\delta J_F(x_1)} \frac{\delta}{\delta J_A(x_2)} \frac{\delta}{\delta J_A(x_3)} W[J_A, J_F] \right|_{J_A=0=J_F}. \end{aligned} \quad (10.9)$$

vi) What do $D_{AA}(x_1, x_2)$ and $\Gamma_{FAA}(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ represent? Draw the tree diagrams that contribute to these two functions. (Hint: Don't forget that there is a off-diagonal piece in the propagator which induces a mixing between the fields.)

We now turn to a specific example of the sort of relations that hold between different connected correlation functions,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial m} D_{AA}(x_1, x_2) = -\frac{im}{2g} \int d^4y \Gamma_{FAA}(y, x_1, x_2). \quad (10.10)$$

vii) Prove the relation (10.10) by making use of the result found in v). Why does the relation (10.10) hold to all orders in perturbation theory?

We note that upon Fourier transformation the quantities defined in (10.9) read

$$\begin{aligned} \int d^4x_1 d^4x_2 e^{ip_1 \cdot x_1 + ip_2 \cdot x_2} D_{AA}(x_1, x_2) &= \\ &= (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(p_1 + p_2) D_{AA}(p_1, p_2). \\ \int d^4x_1 d^4x_2 d^4x_3 e^{ip_1 \cdot x_1 + ip_2 \cdot x_2 + ip_3 \cdot x_3} \Gamma_{FAA}(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= \\ &= (2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(p_1 + p_2 + p_3) \Gamma_{FAA}(p_1, p_2, p_3). \end{aligned} \quad (10.11)$$

The δ -functions arise due to translational invariance.

viii) Prove that the relation (10.10) in momentum space is given by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial m} D_{AA}(p, -p) = -\frac{im}{2g} \Gamma_{FAA}(0, p, -p). \quad (10.12)$$

ix) Verify the relation (10.12) explicitly at tree-level approximation using the set of Feynman rules deduced in iii). You may ignore factors of $i(2\pi)^4$.

Problem 10.2 : Field redefinitions

Consider a real scalar field ϕ coupled to an external field H in four spacetime dimensions. The Lagrangian is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = -\frac{1}{2} (\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2 - g \phi [2(\partial\phi)^2 + m^2 \phi^2] - g^2 \phi^2 [2(\partial\phi)^2 + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2] + H\phi. \quad (10.13)$$

In this exercise we will restrict ourselves to tree diagrams.

- i) Write down the Feynman rules for this theory.
- ii) Calculate the connected tree diagram(s) that involve two H -fields (without external ϕ lines). Call the resulting expression $D(p_1, p_2)$, where p_1 and p_2 denote the incoming momenta associated with the H -fields.
- iii) Calculate the connected tree diagram(s) involving three H -fields (without external ϕ lines). Call the resulting expression $T(p_1, p_2, p_3)$, where p_1, p_2 and p_3 are the incoming momenta associated with the H -fields.

We want to compare this result to the result one obtains in a theory where the H -field has an extra coupling to ϕ^2 ,

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = \mathcal{L}_1 + g \phi^2 H. \quad (10.14)$$

Due to the additional interaction term in \mathcal{L}_2 there is a new vertex.

- iv) Argue that there are no contributions from the new vertex to the connected tree diagrams $D(p_1, p_2)$ calculated in ii).
- v) There are now new connected tree diagrams involving three H -fields due to the new $\phi^2 H$ vertex. Show that these new diagram(s) cancel against $T(p_1, p_2, p_3)$ evaluated in iii).
- vi) Explain the results obtained under iv) and v) by arguing that \mathcal{L}_2 is related to a free field theory by a field-redefinition in the latter of the form $\phi \rightarrow a\phi + b\phi^2$. Determine the values of a and b .

Hint: consider the path integral representation

$$W[H] = \int \mathcal{D}\phi e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \int [\mathcal{L}_0 + H\phi]}, \quad (10.15)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = -\frac{1}{2} (\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2. \quad (10.16)$$

You may ignore any subtleties involving a Jacobian that might arise when performing a field-redefinition in this expression.

- vii) For the theory defined by \mathcal{L}_1 , draw the connected tree-diagrams that involve four H -fields.
- viii) Argue now (without performing a calculation) that these diagrams will exactly cancel against contributions coming from the extra tree-diagrams that involve the $\phi^2 H$ vertices in \mathcal{L}_2 . Draw these diagrams.

We now consider two interacting field theories, $\mathcal{L}_3(\phi)$ and $\mathcal{L}_4(\phi)$, that are related by a local field redefinition of the form $\phi \rightarrow a\phi + b\phi^2 + c\phi^3 + \dots$. To calculate the correlation functions of the fields ϕ we can add an external source J , coupled to ϕ , to both \mathcal{L}_3 and \mathcal{L}_4 ,

$$\mathcal{L}_3 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_3 + J\phi, \quad (10.17)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_4 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_4 + J\phi, \quad (10.18)$$

and differentiate with respect to J in the usual fashion (setting J to zero afterwards).

- ix) Argue that the 4-point functions corresponding to \mathcal{L}_3 and \mathcal{L}_4 differ by terms that correspond to diagrams that involve extra source interactions $\phi^n J$ with $n > 1$, where J is the source used to generate the correlation functions. Indicate the three kinds of diagrams that involve these vertices and that contribute to the 4-point function. (recall that we only consider tree diagrams).

- x) Suppose now that we put the diagrams of the 4-point function “on the mass shell”. By this we mean that we multiply each external line with $p_i^2 + m^2$, where p_i is the momentum associated with that line. After thus having removed the propagator poles associated with the external lines, we take $p_i^2 \rightarrow -m^2$. Show that the 4-point functions corresponding to \mathcal{L}_3 and \mathcal{L}_4 are now equal, *i.e.* the extra diagrams based on the $\phi^n J$ vertices do not contribute here.
- xi) Can you describe in words what you think is the significance of the result proven in x). Furthermore, argue that the Jacobian that we suppressed in vi) when performing a field redefinition in (10.15) may be regarded as a closed-loop effect.

Problem 10.3 : Scalar field on a circle

Consider the action of a real scalar field in two spacetime dimensions,

$$S = \int dx dt \left(-\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}m^2 \phi^2 - \lambda \phi^4 \right). \quad (10.19)$$

Assume that the spatial component x parametrizes a circle of length $L = 2\pi R$, and decompose the scalar field in terms of a Fourier sum with coefficients $\phi_n(t)$.

- i) Express the action in terms of these Fourier modes and show that you obtain a quantum-mechanical model of an infinite tower of harmonic oscillators $\phi_n(t)$, where $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$, with frequencies (masses),

$$M_n^2 = m^2 + \frac{n^2}{R^2}. \quad (10.20)$$

Normalize the ϕ_n such that kinetic energy reads $\frac{1}{2}(\partial_t \phi_0)^2 + \sum_{n>0} |\partial_t \phi_n|^2$.

- ii) Write down the propagators and vertices in momentum space for this quantum-mechanical model.
- iii) Draw the Feynman diagram(s) that contribute to the self-energy of ϕ_0 in the one-loop approximation. In the same approximation, compute the full propagator and the correction to the ϕ_0 -mass. Use the fact that the propagator,

$$\Delta(x-y) = \frac{1}{i(2\pi)^d} \int d^d k \frac{e^{ik_\mu(x-y)^\mu}}{k^2 + M^2 - i\epsilon}, \quad (10.21)$$

for $d = 1$, is given by $\Delta(x-y) = \frac{1}{2M} e^{-iM|x-y|}$.

1. Present the separate contributions to the ϕ_0 -mass from the ϕ_0 -propagator and from the ϕ_n -propagators (for fixed non-zero $|n|$). Consider now the limits $R \rightarrow 0$ and $R \rightarrow \infty$, assuming that $\lambda' = \lambda/L$ is kept constant.

- iv) Add the various contributions and discuss the result for the ϕ_0 -mass in the two limits.

Problem 10.4 : Pion annihilation into charged kaons

We consider the annihilation of charged pions into charged kaons, mediated through the exchange of a virtual photon.

- i) We start by considering the Lagrangian for a complex scalar field ϕ interacting with a photon field A_μ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & -\frac{1}{4}(\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu)^2 - \partial_\mu \phi^* \partial^\mu \phi - m^2 \phi^* \phi \\ & -ieA_\mu[\phi^*(\partial_\mu \phi) - (\partial_\mu \phi^*)\phi] - e^2 A_\mu^2 \phi^* \phi. \end{aligned} \quad (10.22)$$

This Lagrangian will be used to describe the interaction of electrically charged pions π^\pm and of electrically charged kaons K^\pm with photons. Pions and kaons are spinless elementary particles with masses of about 140 and 494 MeV/ c^2 and lifetimes of the order of 10^{-8} seconds. Hence the scalar field ϕ can be associated with either π^\pm or K^\pm particles with corresponding masses m_π and m_K . Demonstrate that the Lagrangian (10.22) is invariant under the combined gauge transformations.

$$A_\mu(x) \rightarrow A_\mu(x) + \partial_\mu \xi(x), \quad \phi(x) \rightarrow e^{ie\xi(x)} \phi(x). \quad (10.23)$$

- ii) We consider the process of pion annihilation, in which charged pions annihilate into a virtual photon which subsequently decays into two charged kaons: $\pi^+ + \pi^- \rightarrow K^+ + K^-$. We describe this process in tree approximation by the exchange of a virtual photon. Write down the interaction vertices of the photon with an incoming π^\pm pair with four-momenta p_+ and p_- , and of the photon with an outgoing K^\pm pair with momenta k_+ and k_- . Give the constraints on these momenta when the pions and kaons are on their respective mass shells. Draw the relevant Feynman diagram for this process indicating all the momenta of the external and internal lines. Indicate the direction of the charge by arrows on the lines.
- iii) Consider the definition of the photon propagator and argue that it does not exist on the basis of the Lagrangian (10.22). To cure this problem introduce a so-called gauge-fixing term in the Lagrangian,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{gauge-fixing}} = -\frac{1}{2}(\lambda \partial^\mu A_\mu)^2, \quad (10.24)$$

with λ an arbitrary parameter. Calculate now the photon propagator.

- iv) Use the previous results to write down the amplitude for the process $\pi^+ + \pi^- \rightarrow K^+ + K^-$, with the kaon and pion momenta on their respective mass shells. Show that the result is independent of λ . Do you understand this independence?
- v) Show that the invariant amplitude can be written in simple form,

$$\mathcal{M} = e^2 \frac{u - t}{s}, \quad (10.25)$$

where s , t and u are the so-called Mandelstam variables,

$$s = -(p_+ + p_-)^2, \quad t = -(p_+ - k_+)^2, \quad u = -(p_+ - k_-)^2,$$

Comment: This particular process is not very relevant experimentally. But it is a good prototype for understanding the similar process of electron-positron annihilation into heavy lepton or quark pairs, which is very important experimentally.

Problem 10.5 : Vector boson interactions and masses

Consider the following Lagrangian of a massive vector field in four space-time dimensions coupled to some unspecified fields generically denoted by ϕ with coupling constant g ,

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}(\partial_\mu V_\nu - \partial_\nu V_\mu)^2 - \frac{1}{2}m^2 V_\mu^2 + \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}(g V_\mu, \phi), \quad (10.26)$$

where \mathcal{L}_{int} describes the interactions.

- i) Derive the propagator $\Delta_{\mu\nu}(p)$ for the vector boson field in momentum space. Consider the poles at $p^2 + m^2 = 0$, and deduce from them how many physical states the vector boson has and how its physical polarizations (components) can be characterized.
- ii) Due to the (unspecified) interactions there are irreducible self-energy diagrams contributing to the propagator. Their one-loop contribution is proportional to g^2 and in this case constitutes a 4×4 matrix, which we denote by $g^2 \Pi_{\mu\nu}(p)$.¹¹ Argue that Lorentz invariance leads to the following decomposition,

$$\Pi_{\mu\nu}(p^2) = \left(\eta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{p_\mu p_\nu}{p^2} \right) \Pi_1(p^2) + \frac{p_\mu p_\nu}{p^2} \Pi_2(p^2). \quad (10.27)$$

- iii) According to the Dyson equation (which is now a matrix equation) one must consider the inverse lowest-order propagator and include the self-energy graphs to obtain the full inverse propagator. The mass of the vector boson states is then given by the values of

¹¹Conventionally self-energy diagrams for vector fields are denoted by $\Pi_{\mu\nu}$, rather than by Σ .

$-p^2$ for which the full inverse propagator has zero eigenvalues. By Lorentz invariance the eigenvectors are characterized by polarizations orthogonal to the four-momentum p_μ (there are three of these) and by polarizations parallel to p_μ . Show that the mass M associated with the orthogonal polarizations satisfies the equation,

$$M^2 = m^2 - \frac{g^2}{i(2\pi)^4} \Pi_1(-M^2). \quad (10.28)$$

Give the expression for M^2 in leading order of perturbation theory.

- iv) Write down the analogous equation for the polarization parallel to p_μ . What can you conclude about the physical mass associated with this polarization in perturbation theory?

Problem 10.6 : Infinite corrections in a non-linear sigma model

In problem 2.1 we have established that for a Lagrangian with a “velocity-dependent potential” described by $L = f(q) p^2/(2m)$, the action in the definition of the path integral should be modified by a correction term according to

$$S[q(t)] \longrightarrow S[q(t)] - \frac{1}{2} i\hbar \int dt \delta(0) \log[f(q)], \quad (10.29)$$

where $\delta(0)$ is the delta function $\delta(t)$ taken at $t = 0$. Obviously this answer is infinite and thus ill-defined. Here we consider this correction term in field theory.

- i) We start with the action for a single free, massless, scalar field $\phi(x)$ in d space-time dimensions. The action reads

$$S[\phi(x)] = \int d^d x \left[-\frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi)^2 \right]. \quad (10.30)$$

Let us now perform a field redefinition and write ϕ as $\phi(\varphi)$, where $\phi(x)$ is a local function of $\varphi(x)$, *i.e.* $\phi(x)$ depends only on the field $\varphi(x)$ defined at the *same* space-time point. The action then changes into

$$S[\varphi(x)] = \int d^d x \left[-\frac{1}{2} g(\varphi) (\partial_\mu \varphi)^2 \right], \quad g(\varphi) = \left[\frac{\partial \phi(x)}{\partial \varphi(x)} \right]^2. \quad (10.31)$$

This action now seems to describe an *interacting* field theory. However, in the functional integral, one must now take into account the Jacobian associated with the map $\phi \rightarrow \varphi$. Show that this Jacobian leads to the following term,

$$\det \left| \frac{\partial \phi(x)}{\partial \varphi(y)} \right| = \exp \left[\int d^d x \delta^d(0) \log \left(\frac{\partial \phi(x)}{\partial \varphi(x)} \right) \right], \quad (10.32)$$

which can be included in the action. Here the integral arises because the Jacobian involves fields at all the space-time points. To derive this result you may, for instance, discretize space-time.

- ii) Demonstrate now that (10.32) introduces a correction term in the action which is completely in accord with the result (10.29), *i.e.*,

$$S[\varphi(x)] \longrightarrow S[\varphi(x)] - \frac{1}{2}i\hbar \int d^d x \delta^d(0) \log[g(\varphi)]. \quad (10.33)$$

From now on we will assume that every Lagrangian of this type (also when generalized to several scalar fields) will require this correction.

- iii) We now return to the action (10.31) and calculate the one-loop diagram which contains precisely one propagator and one vertex, where the closed loop originates from connecting the two fields that carry a space-time derivative. For convenience, you may consider a specific example where $g(\varphi) = 1 + \lambda\varphi^2$, so that the relevant Lagrangian is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu\varphi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\lambda\varphi^2(\partial_\mu\varphi)^2. \quad (10.34)$$

Draw the diagram.

- iv) Determine now the expression corresponding to this diagram. Obviously the answer contains the second derivative of the propagator function,

$$\frac{\partial^2\Delta(x,y)}{\partial x^\mu\partial y_\mu} = \frac{\hbar}{i}\delta^d(x-y). \quad (10.35)$$

which follows from the defining differential equation for the propagator of a massless scalar field. Demonstrate that the result for this diagram contains a delta function $\delta^d(0)$ and note how it depends on \hbar .

- v) Compare the result above to the universal correction (10.33) by expanding the logarithm to first order.
vi) Can you explain the result?

Problem 10.7 : An effective potential

Consider a Lagrangian in arbitrary space-time dimension d , of a scalar field $\phi(x)$ coupled to an external source $J(x)$. The Lagrangian reads,

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2 + g(\partial_\mu\phi)^2 J, \quad (10.36)$$

with g some coupling constant. We will consider all one-loop Feynman diagrams with no external ϕ -lines in momentum space. The external J -lines are assumed to carry zero momentum. That means that these diagrams can be encoded in a so-called “effective Lagrangian” of the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}(J) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n g^n [J(x)]^n, \quad (10.37)$$

which depends on $J(x)$ but not on its derivatives. For this reason we denote minus the expression above as the “effective potential”. The coefficients c_n are such that the vertices corresponding to (10.37) give the same result as the result of the loop diagrams based on (10.36). Our goal will be to calculate the coefficients c_n for general n .

- i) Prove that the diagram with one external J -line is equal to

$$D_1 = g \int d^d q \frac{q^2}{q^2 + m^2}. \quad (10.38)$$

Explain why there are no numerical factors and no powers of \hbar . Argue that c_1 will be proportional to the integral D_1 and determine the precise (non-trivial) proportionality factor by comparing with the tree diagram that one obtains from (10.37) with one external J -line .

- ii) Subsequently we consider the one-loop diagram(s) with n external J -lines. When $n > 1$ the external J -lines may carry finite momentum, but, as explained above, we only evaluate the diagrams for zero external momenta! Obviously these are proportional to

$$D_n \propto g^n \int d^d q \left[\frac{q^2}{q^2 + m^2} \right]^n. \quad (10.39)$$

Derive the precise proportionality factor. (It may be advisable to check your result first for $n = 2$).

- iii) Just as before, consider now the tree diagram with n external zero-momentum J -lines that follows from (10.37) and compare the result to the expression obtained for the one-loop diagram above. Derive the general expression for the coefficients c_n in terms of the integral in (10.39). Observe that this expression depends non-trivially on n .
- iv) Consider the limit $m^2 \rightarrow 0$ and evaluate (formally!) all the integrals for arbitrary n .
- v) Can you now sum the series and obtain a simple expression for (10.37)? Can you explain the answer and clarify the various factors in the result?
- vi) Suppose that $J(x)$ is not an independent external source, but instead a local expression of the fields ϕ (meaning that it depends on the field ϕ and *not* on its derivatives). Argue that the effective *potential* remains as before, where the source $J(x)$ is simply replaced by $J(\phi(x))$.

Problem 10.8 : Unstable particle

Consider the action for two scalar fields, ϕ and σ , described by the Lagrangian,

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu\sigma)^2 - \frac{1}{2}M^2\sigma^2 + g\sigma\phi^2. \quad (10.40)$$

- i) Give the expressions for the propagators and vertices of this Lagrangian. Include the $i\epsilon$ -terms in the propagators.
- ii) Compute the amplitude for two incoming and two outgoing ϕ -particles in tree approximation, restricting the values of the momenta assigned to the external lines by $p^2 = -m^2$. Assuming that the internal σ lines carry momenta q such that $q^2 + M^2$ is large, one can safely drop the $i\epsilon$ -terms in the propagators. Note, however, that this will therefore depend on the values taken by the momenta of the external lines.
- iii) Assuming that $M > 2m$, the particle associated with σ is not stable and can decay into two ϕ -particles. This should imply that its propagator $\Delta_\sigma(q^2)$ will no longer exhibit a pole at some real value of q^2 . In lowest order this is not the case, as there is a pole at $q^2 = -M^2$. Therefore it is relevant to see what happens when including a one-loop self-energy diagram with two external σ -lines and two internal ϕ -lines. The shift in the inverse propagator, according to Dyson's equation, takes the form $q^2 + M^2 - [i(2\pi)^4]^{-1}\Sigma_\sigma(q^2)$, where $\Sigma_\sigma(q^2)$ represents the contribution of the σ -self-energy diagram. Prove that this diagram can be written as,

$$\frac{1}{i(2\pi)^4}\Sigma_\sigma(q^2) = 2ig^2 \int d^4x \Delta_\phi(x) \Delta_\phi(x) e^{-iq \cdot x}. \quad (10.41)$$

- iv) To handle the $i\epsilon$ -terms in $\Delta_\phi(x)$ we will not convert the expression (10.41) directly into the momentum representation. Instead we first use an identity for the propagator Δ_ϕ , which is a generalization of an identity that we have discussed in the lectures for the harmonic oscillator,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\phi(x) &= \frac{1}{i(2\pi)^4} \int d^4p \frac{e^{ip \cdot x}}{p^2 + m^2 - i\epsilon} \\ &= \theta(x^0) \Delta^+(x) + \theta(-x^0) \Delta^-(x). \end{aligned} \quad (10.42)$$

Here $\Delta^\pm(x)$ is defined by

$$\Delta^+(x) = [\Delta^-(x)]^* = \Delta^-(-x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3p}{2\omega(\mathbf{p})} e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x} - i\omega(\mathbf{p})x^0}, \quad (10.43)$$

where $\omega(\mathbf{p}) = \sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m^2}$.

Using the relations between Δ^+ and Δ^- indicated in equation (10.43), prove that the imaginary part of (10.41) can be written as

$$\text{Im} \left[\frac{1}{i(2\pi)^4} \Sigma_\sigma(q^2) \right] = g^2 \int d^4x \left[\Delta^+(x) \Delta^+(x) + \Delta^-(x) \Delta^-(x) \right] e^{-iq \cdot x}. \quad (10.44)$$

- v) Write (10.44) in terms of momenta by substituting (10.43). Show that the result is positive and will only differ from zero whenever $q^2 < -4m^2$. **Hint:** the latter is conveniently verified in the Lorentz frame where $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{0}$.

Problem 10.9 : Kaluza-Klein compactification of Maxwell theory

Consider the action of Maxwell theory in five space-time dimensions,

$$S[A_M] = -\frac{1}{4g^2} \int d^5x F_{MN} F^{MN}, \quad (10.45)$$

where $M, N = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ and $F_{MN} = \partial_M A_N - \partial_N A_M$. The action is invariant under gauge transformations,

$$A_M \rightarrow A_M + \partial_M \Lambda(x), \quad (10.46)$$

where Λ is an arbitrary function of the coordinates x^M .

We will be considering the situation where one of the coordinates, $x^4 \equiv y$, is compactified on a circle with radius R , so that $x^M = (x^\mu, y)$ with $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$ and $0 \leq y < 2\pi R$. The (real) gauge field A_M is decomposed accordingly,

$$A_M = (A_\mu, \phi), \quad \text{where } \phi \equiv A_4. \quad (10.47)$$

Both fields, A_μ and ϕ , depend on both x^μ and y . In view of the fact that y parametrizes a circle, we assume the fields to be periodic in y . Therefore they can be written in terms of a Fourier series, leading to an infinite number of four-dimensional fields, $A_\mu^{(n)}(x)$ and $\phi^{(n)}(x)$, labeled by integer numbers n . In the following, x will always refer to the four-dimensional coordinates.

- i) Write down this Fourier series. Which of these four-dimensional fields are real and which ones are complex. Assuming that there are complex fields, what is the consequence of the reality condition on the original field A_M ?
- ii) For the moment, assume that $\Lambda(x, y)$ is also periodic in y , so that it can be written as a similar Fourier sum, leading to infinitely many parameters $\Lambda^{(n)}(x)$, characterized

again by integers n . Write down the gauge transformations for A_μ and ϕ . In the four-dimensional context we are thus confronted with an infinite number of fields and gauge transformations. Prove that we can use the gauge transformations associated with $\Lambda^{(n)}(x)$ to put the fields $\phi^{(n)}(x)$ to zero, provided that $n \neq 0$.

Henceforth we will thus suppress the fields $\phi^{(n)}(x)$ with $n \neq 0$. Only the gauge transformations associated with the function $\Lambda^{(0)}(x)$ remain.

- iii) Substitute the Fourier series for A_μ and ϕ into the Lagrangian (10.45), which is then expressed in terms of the fields $A_\mu^{(n)}(x)$ and $\phi^{(0)}(x)$. First use that,

$$F_{\mu 4} = -F_{4\mu} = \partial_\mu \phi - \partial_y A_\mu, \quad F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu, \quad (10.48)$$

which are to be decomposed in Fourier modes, subject to the gauge condition discussed in ii). The result is an infinite sum of free-field Lagrangians in four space-time dimensions. Consider the spectrum. Which four-dimensional fields are massless and what is their spin? Which fields are massive, what is their spin and what is their mass. Do all fields have different masses, or are there certain mass degeneracies?

- iv) Let us now reconsider the gauge transformation parameter $\Lambda(x, y)$ and try to understand whether we can relax the periodicity condition. Clearly, the fields have to remain periodic on the circle, and therefore the derivatives $\partial_\mu \Lambda$ and $\partial_y \Lambda$ must be taken periodic in y . Consider a Fourier sum for these derivatives and show that there is one particular set of functions for which Λ is not periodic, although its derivatives are periodic. (Use that a function that is not periodic over a certain interval, can always be written as a linear function plus a periodic function).
- v) Based on the previous question can you now indicate which symmetries are implied by the higher-dimensional gauge transformations for the Lagrangian derived in question iii)?
- vi) Demonstrate that the Lagrangian obtained in iii) does indeed exhibit all these symmetries.
- vii) Assume that in five space-time dimensions there is also a complex scalar field Φ with 'charge' q . Under the original gauge transformations, this field thus transforms as

$$\Phi(x, y) \rightarrow e^{iq\Lambda(x, y)} \Phi(x, y). \quad (10.49)$$

Assume that Φ is periodic over the circle parametrized by y and derive the consequences for $\Lambda(x, y + 2\pi R) - \Lambda(x, y)$. Consider again a Fourier decomposition for $\Lambda(x, y)$ and compare the result with the results obtained in v). Show that the results agree in the limit where R is shrunk to zero.

11 Fermionic harmonic oscillator states

Consider a simple extension of the harmonic oscillator, described by the following Hamiltonian

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2 q^2 - \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2 q^2 + \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega \end{pmatrix}. \quad (11.1)$$

Note that this Hamiltonian does not describe two harmonic oscillators, nor a harmonic oscillator in two dimensions. From the harmonic oscillator spectrum it is clear that we have a groundstate of vanishing energy, while each of the excited states is doubly degenerate and has energy equal to $\hbar\omega$, $2\hbar\omega$, $3\hbar\omega$, \dots . The corresponding wave functions have therefore two components. The degeneracy is indicative of a symmetry (called *supersymmetry*), which we shall discuss elsewhere. Now we concentrate on the Hamiltonian itself.

First we introduce the usual creation and annihilation operators a and a^\dagger in terms of p and q . To describe the extension to the two-component system we introduce two further operators,

$$b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (11.2)$$

It is our intention to interpret these operators again as creation and annihilation operators. Before doing so, we note the following properties,

$$b^2 = b^{\dagger 2} = 0, \quad \{b, b^\dagger\} \equiv b b^\dagger + b^\dagger b = \mathbf{1}. \quad (11.3)$$

In terms of these operators the Hamiltonian takes a rather symmetric form,

$$H = \hbar\omega(a^\dagger a + b^\dagger b), \quad (11.4)$$

(the operators a and a^\dagger , which satisfy the usual commutation relation $[a, a^\dagger] = 1$, act uniformly on both components of the wave function, so that they are proportional to the two-by-two identity matrix). The interpretation of creation/annihilation operators is based on

$$[H, a] = -\hbar\omega a, \quad [H, b] = -\hbar\omega b, \quad (11.5)$$

so that, when acting on an eigenstate of the Hamiltonian, a and b lower the energy by $\hbar\omega$, while their hermitean conjugates raise the energy by this amount. The Hamiltonian can also be written as

$$H = \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2 q^2 + \frac{1}{2}m\omega [\psi^\dagger, \psi], \quad (11.6)$$

where $\psi \equiv \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{m}} b$.

Eventually we want to extend this system to a field theory. As we discussed earlier, a (free) field theory can be regarded as a theory describing an infinite number of harmonic oscillators. With this in mind, we generalize the above system to an arbitrary number N of harmonic oscillators, each extended to a 2×2 matrix. In that case we have creation and annihilation operators a_i, b_i, a_i^\dagger and b_i^\dagger , where $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$, satisfying the (anti)commutation relations

$$[a_i, a_j] = [a_i^\dagger, a_j^\dagger] = 0, \quad [a_i, a_j^\dagger] = \delta_{ij}, \quad (11.7)$$

$$\{b_i, b_j\} = \{b_i^\dagger, b_j^\dagger\} = 0, \quad \{b_i, b_j^\dagger\} = \delta_{ij}. \quad (11.8)$$

The Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^N \left\{ \frac{p_i^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2 q_i^2 + \frac{1}{2}m\omega [\psi_i^\dagger, \psi_i] \right\} \quad (11.9)$$

describes N harmonic oscillators with a $2^N \times 2^N$ matrix extension. The latter because N operators ψ_i with the required anticommutation relations can only be realized in a 2^N -dimensional space. The excited states in this theory exhibit again a degeneracy. However, this degeneracy is due to our choice of parameters and the resulting supersymmetry can be broken rather easily, for instance by changing the factor in front of the $\psi^\dagger\psi$ term. For the purpose of this chapter supersymmetry is not important, but it makes the discussion somewhat more elegant.

In view of the anticommutation relations, the states produced by applying the creation operators b_i^\dagger are antisymmetric under exchange: defining states $|i, j\rangle \equiv b_i^\dagger b_j^\dagger |0\rangle$, where $|0\rangle$ is some state that is not annihilated by the creation operators, we have $|i, j\rangle = -|j, i\rangle$. This implies that the states associated with the operators b_i^\dagger are to be interpreted as *fermions*. When extending the above models to a field theory, they should be viewed in the context of second quantization, as is explained in chapter 5. The conclusion is that such a field-theoretic extension will describe particles with Fermi-Dirac statistics.

For the moment we postpone the extension to a relativistic field theory and first discuss how to deal with systems with anticommuting coordinates and momenta, with the aim of eventually setting up a path integral formulation for fermions. We will therefore first develop the Lagrangian and Hamiltonian formulations in terms of coordinates that are anticommuting. Naively, it is clear that the following Lagrangian would lead to the Hamiltonian (11.6),

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}m \dot{q}^2 - \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2 q^2 + im \psi^\dagger \dot{\psi} - m\omega \psi^\dagger \psi. \quad (11.10)$$

In the Lagrangian the quantities ψ and ψ^\dagger are taken as anticommuting and we ignored a possible constant term. When passing to the Hamiltonian the coordinate ψ^\dagger will play

the role of the canonical momentum and the time derivative of ψ cancels. There exists an appropriate canonical bracket, which after quantization, leads to operators ψ and ψ^\dagger whose anticommutator is proportional to the identity. Before exhibiting this in detail we introduce so-called *anticommuting c-numbers*.

Problems chapter 11

Problem 11.1 : Fermion/bosonic wave functions

Write down the two-component wave functions (in the coordinate representation) for the three lowest-energy states corresponding to the Hamiltonian (11.1).

Problem 11.2 :

Consider the case $N = 2$, where we have four-component wave functions. Construct the four-component space by choosing $|0, 0\rangle$ as the state that is annihilated by both b_1 and b_2 . Argue that such a state can always be found. By acting on it with b_1^\dagger and b_2^\dagger construct the four remaining states $|1, 0\rangle$, $|0, 1\rangle$ and $|1, 1\rangle$. Write the operators b_i and b_i^\dagger as four-by-four matrices and write down the Hamiltonian (11.6) in matrix form. Write down the wave function for the groundstate and the first excited states.

Problem 11.3 : Extended supersymmetry

It is possible to construct operators that give transitions between the degenerate states. Show that the so-called supercharges,

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= \sqrt{\hbar\omega}(a^\dagger b + a b^\dagger), \\ Q_2 &= i\sqrt{\hbar\omega}(a^\dagger b - a b^\dagger), \end{aligned}$$

commute with the Hamiltonian and must therefore be such operators. Somewhat unexpectedly, it thus turns out that we are dealing with two independent supersymmetries. Show that both supercharges annihilate the groundstate. Write the bosonic and fermionic states in terms of products of creation operators acting on the groundstate, and show that Q_i changes a bosonic state into a fermionic one, and vice versa. Finally show that

$$\{Q_i, Q_j\} = 2H \delta_{ij}. \quad (11.11)$$

Prove from this result that zero-energy states must be annihilated by Q_i . Can you construct a conserved bosonic operator other than the Hamiltonian? Can you give an interpretation of this operator?

Problem 11.4 : Two-by-two matrix Hamiltonian and partition functions

Generalize the various results of chapter 2 to argue that the path integral for the Hamiltonian (11.1), which now takes the form of a two-by-two matrix, takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} W(q_2, t_2; q_1, t_1) &= \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{2\pi i\hbar \sin \omega(t_2 - t_1)}} \\ &\times \exp \left\{ \frac{im\omega}{2\hbar \sin \omega(t_2 - t_1)} [(q_1^2 + q_2^2) \cos \omega(t_2 - t_1) - 2q_1q_2] \right\} \\ &\times \begin{pmatrix} e^{\frac{1}{2}i\omega(t_2-t_1)} & \\ 0 & e^{-\frac{1}{2}i\omega(t_2-t_1)} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (11.12)$$

Evaluate now the partition function for this model at finite temperature and show that it is given by $Z_\beta^{(+)} / Z_\beta^{(-)}$, where $Z_\beta^{(\pm)}$ was defined in chapter 7. Reread the text following (7.29). What is your conclusion?

12 Anticommuting c -numbers

In this chapter we briefly introduce the mathematical concepts that form the basis for the so-called anticommuting c -numbers and exhibit how to perform practical calculations with them.

The Grassmann Algebra:

Anticommuting c -numbers can be discussed within the context of *Grassman algebras*. We start by considering anticommuting c -numbers θ_i satisfying

$$\theta_i \theta_j = -\theta_j \theta_i. \quad (12.1)$$

The numbers θ_i are taken to be real, i.e. $\theta_i^\dagger = \theta_i$. Under conjugation the order of anticommuting c -numbers is reversed. For instance, we have $(\theta_i \theta_j)^\dagger = \theta_j \theta_i = -\theta_i \theta_j$.

On the basis of n such anticommuting objects one defines an n -dimensional Grassmann algebra with n generators $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$. Each element $P(\theta)$ of the algebra can be decomposed in the following way

$$P(\theta) = p^{(0)} + \theta_i p_i^{(1)} + \sum_{i>j} \theta_i \theta_j p_{ij}^{(2)} + \dots + \theta_n \theta_{n-1} \dots \theta_1 p^{(n)}, \quad (12.2)$$

where summation over repeated indices is implied. The total number of independent terms in (12.2) is at most 2^n . A monomial $\theta_{i_1} \dots \theta_{i_p}$ is called a monomial of degree p . Monomials of odd (even) degree are anticommuting (commuting) objects. The square of a monomial vanishes unless its degree is zero, in which case we have an ordinary c -number. Monomials of degree higher than the dimension of the Grassmann algebra vanish identically. It is not difficult to define differentiation and integration on the Grassmann algebra. As we shall see below, the application of functional methods to fermions does not require major modification.

Differentiation on the Grassmann algebra:

We distinguish two different derivatives on the Grassmann algebra, called *right* and *left* derivatives. The derivative of a general element of the algebra is obtained by differentiating its monomials and resumming the result. To calculate the right (left) derivative with respect to θ_i we must, in every monomial, permute θ_i to the right (left) and then drop it. If $\epsilon[i]$ is the sign of the permutation needed to bring θ_i to the right (left), and $\epsilon[i] = 0$ when θ_i does not occur in the monomial, then the right (left) derivative of a monomial can be written in the following way,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{i_k}} (\theta_{i_1} \dots \theta_{i_{k-1}} \theta_{i_k} \theta_{i_{k+1}} \dots \theta_{i_m}) = \epsilon[i_k] (\theta_{i_1} \dots \theta_{i_{k-1}} \theta_{i_{k+1}} \dots \theta_{i_m}). \quad (12.3)$$

Unless specified otherwise, we shall always use left derivatives.

Although the expression $P(\theta)$ for an element of the Grassmann algebra is not a function of θ in the strict mathematical sense, as it does not assign a number to a number, most of the properties of left and right derivatives of $P(\theta)$ are similar to the properties of derivatives of ordinary functions. For example if $\delta\theta_i$ denotes an additional ‘‘infinitesimal’’ anticommuting c -number we can symbolically write for (12.3)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}P(\theta) = \lim_{\delta\theta_i \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\delta\theta_i} \left\{ P(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_i + \delta\theta_i, \dots, \theta_n) - P(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_i, \dots, \theta_n) \right\}. \quad (12.4)$$

The chain rule holds in the same form as for commuting numbers

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_i}P(\alpha(\theta)) = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_i}\alpha_j(\theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha_j}P(\alpha), \quad (12.5)$$

where P is an element of a Grassmann algebra on the basis of the α 's, and α is an element of a Grassmann algebra with the θ 's as its generators. However, the order in which one writes the terms in (12.5) is important for anticommuting parameters; for right derivatives, the order of the two terms is interchanged! Also Leibniz' rule has a direct analogue. For instance, for left derivatives we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} \left(f(\theta) g(\theta) \right) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} f(\theta) \right) g(\theta) \pm f(\theta) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} g(\theta) \right), \quad (12.6)$$

where the plus (minus) sign is valid for an even (odd) ‘‘function’’ $f(\theta)$.

Often explicit minus signs induced by the anticommutativity of the underlying quantities can effectively be avoided. For instance, rather than considering derivatives, it is often possible to consider variations instead. Indeed (12.2), (12.3) and (12.4) can be written as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta P(\theta) &= \delta\theta \frac{\partial P(\theta)}{\partial_L \theta} = \frac{\partial P(\theta)}{\partial_R \theta} \delta\theta, \\ \delta P(\theta(\alpha)) &= \delta\alpha \frac{\partial\theta}{\partial_L \alpha} \frac{\partial P(\theta)}{\partial_L \theta} = \frac{\partial P(\theta)}{\partial_R \theta} \frac{\partial\theta}{\partial_R \alpha} \delta\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (12.7)$$

Integration over the Grassmann algebra:

We construct the analogue of the indefinite one-dimensional integral (for a suitable class of functions)

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx f(x)$$

for the case of anticommuting c -numbers, which we denote by

$$\int d\theta P(\theta).$$

As a starting point for its construction we require that the following property of the integral over commuting parameters,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx f(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx f(x+a), \quad (12.8)$$

which is valid for any finite a , holds also for an integral over anticommuting parameters. Also we assume that the standard rules for taking linear combinations of integrals remain valid. We now consider a one-dimensional integral. A general element of the one-dimensional Grassmann algebra is decomposed as

$$P(\theta) = a + \theta b, \quad (12.9)$$

with a and b arbitrary, and θ anticommuting. The expression analogous to (12.8) for anticommuting numbers is

$$\int d\theta P(\theta) = \int d\theta P(\theta + \alpha), \quad (12.10)$$

for any anticommuting α . Substituting (12.9) into (12.10), we find that the latter requires

$$\int d\theta \alpha b = 0, \quad (12.11)$$

for any α and b . Hence we must define

$$\int d\theta [\text{any element not depending on } \theta] = 0. \quad (12.12)$$

Consequently we are left with the integral over θ , which we simply normalize to unity,

$$\int d\theta \theta \equiv 1. \quad (12.13)$$

Multiple integrals can be understood as iterated integrals, leading to the anticommuting symbols¹² $d\theta_i$. Integration over anticommuting numbers turns out to be equivalent to taking the left derivative

$$\int d\theta P(\theta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial_L \theta} P(\theta). \quad (12.14)$$

Also the rules of partial integration apply for integrals over anticommuting parameters. In analogy with

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x) = 0,$$

¹²It is possible to set up differential forms with both commuting and anticommuting coordinates. In that case the one-forms dx are anticommuting and the one-forms $d\theta$ are commuting.

one can easily show that

$$\int d\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} P(\theta) = 0. \quad (12.15)$$

Gaussian integrals and the superdeterminant:

In the previous discussions on path integrals, generalized Gaussian integrals played an important role. We now discuss their evaluation for anticommuting variables. The generalization of a Gaussian integral over complex commuting variables,

$$\int \left(\prod_i \frac{d\bar{z}_i dz_i}{2\pi i} \right) \exp(-(\bar{z}, Az)) = (\det A)^{-1}, \quad (12.16)$$

is easy to find.¹³ Using the integration rule for anticommuting quantities one derives straightforwardly

$$\int \left(\prod_i d\theta_i d\bar{\theta}_i \right) \exp(\bar{\theta}, A\theta) = \det A. \quad (12.17)$$

Note that A is required to be a positive-definite hermitean matrix in order that the integral (12.16) converges, whereas (12.17) is valid for arbitrary A .

It is also possible to define Gaussian integrals over real variables. We give the result without further derivation

$$\int \left(\prod_i \frac{dx_i}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x, Ax)\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det A}}, \quad (12.18)$$

$$\int \left(\prod_i d\theta_i \right) \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}(\theta, A\theta)\right) = \pm\sqrt{\det A}. \quad (12.19)$$

In this case the matrix A should be symmetric and positive definite in (12.18) and antisymmetric in (12.19). The quantity $(\det A)^{1/2}$, with A antisymmetric, is sometimes called a Pfaffian in the mathematical literature. One can show that the Pfaffian is a monomial in each of the eigenvalues of the matrix, a property which is obvious from (12.19).

Gaussian integrals can be used to define the determinant of a matrix acting in superspace, the space of commuting and anticommuting coordinates. Vectors in this space are decomposed in terms of commuting and anticommuting variables, x and θ , respectively. Linear transformations in superspace can be written as matrices acting on these coordinates,

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ \theta \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} x' \\ \theta' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & D \\ C & B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ \theta \end{pmatrix}, \quad (12.20)$$

¹³Use $z = x + iy$ and $d\bar{z} dz/2i = dx dy$.

where the submatrices A and B have commuting, and C and D have anticommuting elements. One can now construct the so-called superdeterminant of a superspace matrix M

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} A & D \\ C & B \end{pmatrix} \quad (12.21)$$

by calculating a generalized Gaussian integral over commuting and anticommuting variables,

$$\frac{1}{\det M} = \int \frac{d\bar{z} dz}{2\pi i} d\bar{\theta} d\theta \exp(-(\bar{z}, Az) - (\bar{z}, D\theta) - (\bar{\theta}, Cz) - (\bar{\theta}, B\theta)). \quad (12.22)$$

This integral can be evaluated formally by making a shift in integration variables,

$$\begin{aligned} \theta &\longrightarrow \theta - B^{-1}Cz, \\ \bar{\theta} &\longrightarrow \bar{\theta} - \bar{z}DB^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Subsequently, using (12.16) and (12.17) leads to the following result for the superdeterminant

$$\det M = \frac{\det(A - DB^{-1}C)}{\det B} = \frac{\det A}{\det(B - CA^{-1}D)}. \quad (12.23)$$

The second form can be obtained by performing a similar shift, but now in the integration variables z and \bar{z} . Both results for the superdeterminant become plausible when we write M as a product of two matrices,

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ C & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & A^{-1}D \\ 0 & B - CA^{-1}D \end{pmatrix}, \quad (12.24)$$

or, alternatively,

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & D \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A - DB^{-1}C & 0 \\ B^{-1}C & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (12.25)$$

Precisely as for the conventional determinant one has a product rule for the superdeterminant

$$\det(M_1 M_2) = \det M_1 \det M_2. \quad (12.26)$$

To show this we first introduce the notion of the *supertrace*,

$$\text{Tr } M \equiv \text{Tr } A - \text{Tr } B, \quad (12.27)$$

where the trace operation on the right-hand side is the conventional one applied to the submatrices A and B . Owing to the minus sign in front of the submatrix B , which acts exclusively in the anticommuting sector, the supertrace satisfies the characteristic cyclicity property of a trace,

$$\text{Tr}(M_1 M_2) = \text{Tr}(M_2 M_1), \quad (12.28)$$

so that the trace of the commutator of two matrices vanishes. This allows one to straightforwardly derive (see problem 12.2)

$$\text{Tr} \ln(M_1 M_2) = \text{Tr} \ln M_1 + \text{Tr} \ln M_2. \quad (12.29)$$

We now write M as the product of two triangular matrices according to (12.24) or (12.25), and use (12.29) to construct $\text{Tr} \ln M$. This then shows that

$$\text{Tr} \ln M = \ln \det M, \quad (12.30)$$

with $\det M$ as defined by (12.23). This then suffices to establish the product rule (12.26) by means of (12.29). Here we note that the exponent and the logarithm of a matrix in superspace are defined by series expansions, precisely as for ordinary matrices.

An important aspect of determinants is that they occur in the definition of the Jacobian of a transformation. A similar situation exists for superdeterminants. Indeed for a transformation in superspace

$$(x, \theta) \rightarrow (x(\hat{x}, \hat{\theta}), \theta(\hat{x}, \hat{\theta})), \quad (12.31)$$

we may write

$$\int dx d\theta P(x, \theta) = \int d\hat{x} d\hat{\theta} J(x(\hat{x}, \hat{\theta})) P(x(\hat{x}, \hat{\theta}), \theta(\hat{x}, \hat{\theta})), \quad (12.32)$$

where

$$J(x(\hat{x}, \theta), \theta(\hat{x}, \hat{\theta})) = \pm \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial x}{\partial \hat{x}} & \frac{\partial x}{\partial \hat{\theta}} \\ \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \hat{x}} & \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \hat{\theta}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (12.33)$$

In (12.32) the fermionic derivatives are right derivatives. The correctness of (12.32) is entirely obvious for linear transformations. For instance, we may redefine the integration variables (x, θ) of the Gaussian integral (12.22) according to a linear transformation (12.20) and recover (12.33) by using the product rule. But (12.33) holds for general nonsingular transformation as well.

Problems chapter 12

Problem 12.1 :

Prove that the determinant of an $n \times n$ antisymmetric matrix vanishes when n is odd. Derive the same result on the basis of (12.19).

Problem 12.2 : Cyclicity of the supertrace

Prove the cyclicity property (12.28). Use the Campbell-Baker-Hausdorff formula for ordinary matrices

$$(\exp A)(\exp B) = \exp(A + B + \text{repeated commutators of } A \text{ and } B),$$

to show the validity of (12.29).

Problem 12.3 : The super-Jacobian for a simple example

An intermediate step in the proof of (12.33) is to show that it holds for an integral over a two-dimensional Grassmann algebra. Parametrize the transformation $\theta_i(\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2)$ according to (12.2), and demonstrate the validity of (12.33) by explicit calculation. Show also the validity of (12.33) for an integral over one commuting and one anticommuting parameter.

13 Phase space with commuting and anticommuting coordinates and quantization

Consider a system with Lagrangian L depending on a commuting coordinate q and two anticommuting coordinates, c and d ,

$$L(q, \dot{q}, c, \dot{c}, d) = \frac{1}{2}m\dot{q}^2 + id\dot{c} - V(d, c, q). \quad (13.1)$$

Naively one defines the action for given ‘trajectories’ $q(t)$, $d(t)$ and $c(t)$ as the time integral of the above Lagrangian and applies Hamilton’s principle to obtain the equations of motion. Requiring the action to be stationary under changes of $q(t)$, $c(t)$ and $d(t)$, ignoring the various boundary terms that arise in the variation of the action, leads to the following differential equations,

$$m\ddot{q} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial q} = 0, \quad (13.2)$$

$$id\dot{c} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial_{RC}c} = 0, \quad (13.3)$$

$$i\dot{c} - \frac{\partial V}{\partial_L d} = 0, \quad (13.4)$$

where the suffix R (L) on the fermionic derivatives denotes right(left)-differentiation. Obviously, the Lagrangian and the equations of motion can only be interpreted in the context of a Grassmann algebra, as introduced in the previous chapter. However, there is a subtlety with the boundary conditions and thus with the application of Hamilton’s principle for the fermionic trajectories, because the Lagrangian (13.1) contains terms that are at most *linear* in the time derivative of the fermionic coordinates. The corresponding equations of motion (13.3-13.4) are therefore first-order differential equations, whose solution becomes unique once the trajectory is specified at one instant of time. So unlike for the coordinate $q(t)$, where one has a second-order differential equation, whose determination requires to fix the trajectory at two different instants of time, Hamilton’s principle can only be consistently applied for the fermionic coordinates provided one fixes only *one* of the endpoints for the trajectories specified by $c(t)$ and $d(t)$. More explicitly, suppose we consider trajectories at times t satisfying $t_1 \leq t \leq t_2$ and we fix $q(t_1) = q_1$, $q(t_2) = q_2$, $d(t_2) = d_2$ and $c(t_1) = c_1$. The solutions of (13.2-13.4) are then uniquely determined. The endpoint values $d(t_1)$ and $c(t_2)$ are left unrestricted and will follow from the classical equations of motion. The action, whose variation subject to these boundary conditions leads to the equations of motion, is equal to

$$S[q(t), d(t), c(t)] = -id(t_2)c(t_2) + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt L(q, \dot{q}, c, \dot{c}, d). \quad (13.5)$$

We now proceed with the canonical formulation of the theory. Canonical momenta are defined in the usual manner,

$$p = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}}, \quad p_c = -i \frac{\partial L}{\partial_{RC} \dot{c}}. \quad (13.6)$$

Hence $p_c = d$, whereas the canonical momentum associated with d vanishes.¹⁴

The Hamiltonian is defined by

$$H = p \dot{q} + i p_c \dot{c} - L. \quad (13.7)$$

Because the Lagrangian is at most linear in the time derivatives of the fermionic coordinates, the Hamiltonian takes the simple form,

$$H(q, p, c, p_c) = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V(p_c, c, q). \quad (13.8)$$

Hamilton's equations can be derived straightforwardly¹⁵ from the Euler-Lagrange equations,

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial q}, \quad \frac{dp_c}{dt} = -i \frac{\partial L}{\partial_{RC} c}, \quad 0 = i \frac{\partial L}{\partial_L p_c}, \quad (13.9)$$

by considering the change of H under a variation of p, q, \dot{q}, p_c, c and \dot{c} . When collecting all the terms, the variations proportional to $\delta \dot{q}$ and $\delta \dot{c}$ cancel by virtue of (13.6). Using (13.9), the result then takes the form,

$$\delta H = -\dot{p} \delta q + \delta p \dot{q} + i \delta p_c \dot{c} - i \dot{p}_c \delta c, \quad (13.10)$$

which yields Hamilton's equations,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{p} &= -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q}, & \dot{q} &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial p}, \\ \dot{p}_c &= i \frac{\partial H}{\partial_{RC} c}, & \dot{c} &= -i \frac{\partial H}{\partial_L p_c}. \end{aligned} \quad (13.11)$$

Assuming that the variation in (13.10) are induced by time evolution, we can substitute (13.11) and show that the Hamiltonian is a constant of the motion, as expected.

As usual Hamilton's equations describe the time evolution in phase space, but here in a phase space consisting of both commuting and anticommuting coordinates. Consider now

¹⁴The conditions $p_c = d$ and $p_d = 0$ impose constraints on the phase space. We solve these constraints by simply restricting the phase space coordinates to q, p, c and d , but note that there exists a general and more elaborate theory of phase-space constraints, originally introduced by Dirac.

¹⁵Remember that the Lagrangian is a function of coordinates and velocities, while the Hamiltonian is a function of coordinates and momenta. Consequently, partial derivatives of the Lagrangian and the Hamiltonian are not the same, although one conventionally uses the same notation.

a function $u(q(t), p(t), c(t), p_c(t); t)$ of coordinates and momenta, with possibly an explicit dependence on t . The time derivative of such a function can be written in the usual form,

$$\frac{du}{dt} = (u, H) + \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}, \quad (13.12)$$

where the bracket (A, B) is a generalization of the usual Poisson bracket and can be defined as (observe that A and B are kept in the same order),

$$(A, B) \equiv \frac{\partial A}{\partial q} \frac{\partial B}{\partial p} - \frac{\partial A}{\partial p} \frac{\partial B}{\partial q} - i \frac{\partial A}{\partial_{RC}} \frac{\partial B}{\partial_{LP_c}} - i \frac{\partial A}{\partial_{Rp_c}} \frac{\partial B}{\partial_{Lc}}. \quad (13.13)$$

This bracket applies to ‘functions’ A and B that can be commuting or anticommuting, but obvious care is required in the order of writing the various terms. It obviously takes its values in the Grassmann algebra. We note the following relations,

$$(A, B)^\dagger = -(B^\dagger, A^\dagger), \quad (B, A) = -(-)^{AB} (A, B), \quad (13.14)$$

where in the exponent AB is the product of the degrees of A and B , so that $(-)^{AB}$ equals -1 when both A and B are anticommuting (i.e. of odd degree), while in all other cases it is equal to $+1$. We return to the precise definition of hermitean conjugation shortly. To derive the relation above, one may, for instance, assume that c and p_c are real. Note, however, that right and left derivatives must be conjugate to each other.

When applied to the phase space coordinates the only nonvanishing brackets are,

$$(q, p) = 1, \quad (c, p_c) = -i. \quad (13.15)$$

Quantization is now implemented by replacing the phase-space coordinates by operators and the bracket by $(i\hbar)^{-1}$ times the corresponding (anti)commutators,

$$(A, B) \longrightarrow \frac{1}{i\hbar} \left\{ A B - (-)^{AB} B A \right\}. \quad (13.16)$$

In particular this yields the canonical (anti)commutation relations,

$$[q, p] = i\hbar, \quad \{c, p_c\} = \hbar, \quad (13.17)$$

while all other (anti)commutators vanish.

So in this way we naturally arrive at the same kind of theory described in chapter 11. We should point out that at this point it may seem natural to associate hermitean operators to c and p_c , because both the ‘kinetic term’ $ip_c\dot{c}$ in the Lagrangian (13.1) and the boundary term $-ip_c(t_2)c(t_2)$ in the action (13.5), are real under

$$c^\dagger = c, \quad p_c^\dagger = p_c. \quad (13.18)$$

This conjugation is compatible with the anticommutation relation $\{c, p_c\} = \hbar$. However, there is a second type of conjugation, namely

$$c^\dagger = p_c, \quad p_c^\dagger = c, \quad (13.19)$$

which is also compatible with the anticommutator, while the contribution in the action from the kinetic term changes only by boundary terms. Both types of conjugations satisfy (13.14). As it turns out, (13.19) is the conjugation that is physically relevant. For the matrix representation of the anticommutator introduced in chapter 11, this was indeed the case as c and p_c correspond to b and b^\dagger defined in (11.2). This is imposed on us because c and p_c are nilpotent (non-hermitean) operators.

Hence we have now reobtained the matrix model of chapter 11 starting with an extended dynamical system based on both commuting and anticommuting coordinates and momenta. Whether or not one chooses to make use of the matrix formulation is now a matter of convenience. We should stress that in this way we cannot formulate arbitrary matrix Hamiltonians, but only the ones that eventually admit an interpretation in terms of bosons and fermions. In the next chapter we derive the path integral formulation for the anticommuting fields.

Problems chapter 13

Problem 13.1 : The bosonic path integral for coordinates and momenta

Reconsider the path integral (2.17), which involves an integral over the trajectories $q(t)$ and $p(t)$. Specify the boundary conditions and consider the expression in the continuum limit. Show that Hamilton's principle leads to the Hamilton equations for p and q , with these boundary conditions.

Problem 13.2 : Coherent state quantization

Consider the path integral in the phase-space representation where the action equals $S[p(t), q(t)] = \int dt [p\dot{q} - H(p, q)]$. Subsequently choose the complex variable

$$a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\omega}}(\omega q + ip). \quad (13.20)$$

We assume $\hbar = 1$.

1. Write the action in terms of $a(t)$ and $a^*(t)$ and show that, up to boundary terms it is equal to

$$S[a(t), a^*(t)] = \int dt [ia^*\dot{a} - H(a^*, a)]. \quad (13.21)$$

Derive the equations of motion for a and a^* from Hamilton's principle. Do not worry about the precise boundary values for a and a^* .

2. Determine $H(a^*, a)$ for the harmonic oscillator (we choose $m = 1$ and select the same ω as above),

$$H = \frac{1}{2}(p^2 + \omega^2 q^2). \quad (13.22)$$

3. Note that this system has only first-order in time derivatives. Determine the momentum conjugate to a and derive the canonical commutation relations for the operators a and a^* .
4. View this system as a 'field' theory based on two fields, a and a^* . What is the propagator when using the Hamiltonian $H(a^*, a)$ that you derived in question 2?
5. Show that a quantum-mechanical representation for the operators a and a^* is given in the “ z -representation” by

$$a^* = z, \quad a = \frac{d}{dz}. \quad (13.23)$$

In this representation a and a^* act on wavefunctions $\psi(z)$, where z is complex. Hence the wavefunctions are holomorphic.

6. Show that the functions $\psi_\lambda(z) \propto \exp(\lambda z)$ are eigenfunctions of the operator a with eigenvalue λ . These are the so-called coherent states. Show that the monomials $\psi_n(z) \propto z^n$ are eigenfunctions of the occupation number operator a^*a . (**Remark:** In this representation hermitean conjugation and the normalizability of wavefunctions is not so obvious. You may ignore these aspects here.)

Problem 13.3 : First-order field equation for boson fields

Consider a theory based on five real fields, denoted by a five-component vector

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi_0 \\ \Phi_1 \\ \Phi_2 \\ \Phi_3 \\ \Phi_4 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (13.24)$$

The action has a form reminiscent of the Dirac action for fermions,

$$S = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \bar{\Phi}(\beta^\mu \partial_\mu + M)\Phi, \quad (13.25)$$

and is thus at most linear in spacetime derivatives. Here, however the fields are *commuting* and therefore describe bosons. The conjugate vector is defined by $\bar{\Phi} = \Phi^T \eta$, with η a symmetric 5×5 matrix ($\eta^T = \eta$) satisfying $\eta^2 = \mathbf{1}$, and Φ^T denotes the transposed of the vector Φ .

The four matrices β^μ and the matrix η are given by

$$\beta^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\beta^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \eta = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- i) Give the mass dimension of the parameter M and the field Φ . Verify that the matrices $(\eta\beta^\mu)$ are antisymmetric. Show that $\bar{\chi}\beta^\mu\rho = -\bar{\rho}\beta^\mu\chi$ for two arbitrary five-component vectors χ and ρ .
- ii) Derive the equations of motion for Φ . Use them to express $\Phi_0, \Phi_1, \Phi_2,$ and Φ_3 in terms of Φ_4 and give the equation satisfied by Φ_4 . What are the degrees of freedom that this Lagrangian describes?

The phase space, described in terms of the coordinates Φ_i and their canonically conjugate momenta, is reduced by a number of constraints. We now consider these constraints.

- iii) Determine the canonically conjugate momentum for each of the fields Φ_i . Note that, because the Lagrangian does not contain any time derivatives of Φ_1, Φ_2 and Φ_3 , their canonically conjugate momenta are zero. For this reason, we can solve for these three fields using their equations of motion.
- iv) The relevant phase space quantities are hence Φ_0 and Φ_4 , and their conjugate momenta. Show that these quantities are subject to a constraint as well. As a result, the effective phase space is smaller. Give the dimension of the effective phase space and argue that this result is in agreement with the result obtained in ii).
- v) There exist many relations among products of matrices β^μ . Show that $\beta^\mu\beta^\nu\beta^\mu$ is proportional to β^μ for arbitrary values of μ . A more general relation (which you are not asked to prove) is

$$\beta^\mu\beta^\nu\beta^\lambda + \beta^\lambda\beta^\nu\beta^\mu = \beta^\mu\eta^{\nu\lambda} + \beta^\lambda\eta^{\nu\mu}, \quad (13.26)$$

where $\eta^{\mu\nu}$ is the Minkowski metric. Derive from this relation an identity for \not{p}^3 , where $\not{p} \equiv \beta^\mu p_\mu$. Using this identity and the equations of motion (in matrix form, i.e. not the

component form), show that each of the components of Φ satisfies the Klein-Gordon equation.

- vi) Show that the propagator of the field Φ (which can be defined as the vacuum expectation value of the time-ordered product of $\bar{\Phi}(x)$ and $\Phi(y)$ in momentum space), is given by

$$\Delta(p) = \frac{1}{i(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{p^2 + M^2 - i\epsilon} \frac{i\not{p}(\not{p} - M) + p^2 + M^2}{M}, \quad (13.27)$$

- vii) The propagator has a singularity for $p^2 = -M^2$. What can you say about the residue of the 5×5 matrix? Analyse the residue by choosing $p^\mu = (\vec{0}, p^0)$ and studying the propagator in the limit $p^0 \rightarrow \pm M$. Explain the result.

We now add a complex scalar field scalar σ to the model, accompanied by an interaction with the field Φ , which has a form similar to the interaction of a gauge field with σ , i.e.

$$S' = - \int d^4x \left[|\partial_\mu \sigma|^2 + m^2 |\sigma|^2 + ieV^\mu [\sigma^* (\partial_\mu \sigma) - (\partial_\mu \sigma^*) \sigma] \right]. \quad (13.28)$$

Here V^μ is given by $V^\mu = \bar{\Phi} \beta^\mu u$ with u a constant 5-component vector.

- viii) Draw the two 1-loop self energy diagrams of the σ field. Argue that one of them does not contribute.

Problem 13.4 : Free fermions in 1 + 1 dimensions

Consider the following fermionic field theory in one space and one time dimension with the Lagrangian

$$L_0 = \int d^2x \, i\psi^\dagger(x, t) (\partial_t + \partial_x) \psi(x, t). \quad (13.29)$$

- i) Write down the field equation and give its (plane-wave) solutions.
- ii) Derive how the fermion fields should transform (up to a possible phase factor) in order that this Lagrangian density (or the action) be invariant under Lorentz transformations. Use here that under a Lorentz transformation with $\beta = v/c$, we have

$$x' \pm t' = \sqrt{\frac{1 \mp \beta}{1 \pm \beta}} (x \pm t),$$

In addition we introduce an interaction with an electromagnetic field A_μ , via

$$\partial_t + \partial_x \rightarrow \partial_t + \partial_x - iA_t - iA_x.$$

This leads to a Lagrangian that we will denote by L_1 . The electric charge is defined by the coupling of the fermions to the field A_t , and is thus given by

$$Q = \int dx \psi^\dagger(x, t)\psi(x, t) . \quad (13.30)$$

We now assume that the spatial coordinate x parametrizes a circle with circumference L ; the x -integrations then extend from 0 to L .¹⁶ Furthermore we assume $A_t = 0$ and restrict A_x to a constant value, so that $\Phi = LA_x$ equals the magnetic flux through the circle. At this stage it is possible to prove that a time-independent A_x can always be chosen equal to a constant in a certain interval by using a suitable gauge transformation. We will not derive this, but consequences of this fact will become apparent in the results below.

iii) Argue that the field ψ can be expanded in the following Fourier series

$$\psi(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}} \sum_k \psi(k, t) \exp(ikx) ,$$

where k is equal to $2\pi/L$ times an integer n (which can be of either sign).

iv) Write down the Lagrangian L_1 , the Hamiltonian and the charge Q in terms of the Fourier modes. Prove that the charge does not depend on the time by using the field equations.

v) Determine the conjugate momenta and the anticommutation relations for $\psi(k)$ and $\psi^\dagger(k)$ in the Schrödinger representation. Consider the commutation relations of the Hamiltonian with $\psi(k)$ and $\psi^\dagger(k)$. Identify creation and annihilation operators (such that creation operators increase the energy of a state).

vi) Argue that the wave function in the 'coordinate representation' is a 'function' of the anticommuting coordinates $\psi(k)$. Define the momentum and write down the Schrödinger equation in the coordinate representation (cf. Problem 5.5). Give the ground-state wave function.

vii) Give the decomposition of the Heisenberg field $\psi(x, t)$ as a Fourier series in terms of the creation and annihilation operators found above. For $A_x = 0$, justify the following decomposition for the Heisenberg field (in the $L \rightarrow \infty$ limit)

$$\psi(x, t) = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2\pi}} \int_0^\infty dk \left[a(k) e^{ikx - i\omega t} + b^\dagger(k) e^{-ikx + i\omega t} \right] ,$$

with $\omega = k \geq 0$, and identify the operators $a(k)$ and $b(k)$ in terms of the $\psi(k)$.

¹⁶In the limit $L \rightarrow \infty$ we can use $\sum_k \rightarrow (L/2\pi) \int_{-\infty}^\infty dk$. We also remind you of the relation $\sum_n \exp(2\pi i n x) = \sum_l \delta(x - l)$, where on both sides of the equation the sum extends over all integers.

- viii) Calculate the anticommutator of the Heisenberg fields $\psi^\dagger(x_1, t_1)$ and $\psi(x_2, t_2)$ in the special case that $A_x = 0$. Consider this result in the limit $L \rightarrow \infty$ and prove that it is in agreement with Lorentz invariance.
- ix) Consider again (for $A_x \neq 0$) the Hamiltonian in terms of the creation and annihilation operators and show that the energy spectrum does not change under $\Phi \rightarrow \Phi + 2\pi$. Give an expression for the (infinite) energy of the groundstate, i.e., the state of lowest energy, which depends on the flux Φ . Sketch the energy of the one-particle states (with respect to the energy of the groundstate) as a function of k , first for $\Phi = 0$ and then for a value of Φ between 0 and 2π . Stress the qualitative differences.
- x) Consider the charge operator and give the expression for the (infinite) charge of the groundstate. Give also the charge of the one-particle states (with respect to the charge of the groundstate).
- xi) The infinite energy and charge of the groundstate is characteristic for a system with infinitely many degrees of freedom. Of course, these expressions are not really well defined. In practice one ignores these infinite contributions and there are good arguments that justify this. However, in this case the expressions depend on the value of the flux Φ . Show that the charge of the groundstate is insensitive to small changes in the value of Φ , but changes with an amount ΔQ when we let the flux increase from 0 to 2π . Determine the value for ΔQ .
- xii) Add a second fermion field, but now with Lagrangian

$$L_2 = \int dx \, i\psi_2^\dagger(x, t)(\partial_t - \partial_x - iA_t + iA_x)\psi_2(x, t) .$$

Determine the value of ΔQ for the combined system described by $L_1 + L_2$.¹⁷

Problem 13.5 : Fermions in 2 + 1 dimensions

We consider a field theory for a complex anti-commuting field $\psi(x)$. We have already seen in chapter 9 that a natural term in the Lagrangian will be $\int d^3x \, \psi^\dagger(x)i\partial_t\psi(x)$, but we still need to find a term depending on the gradients of $\psi(x)$.

In the non-relativistic limit we know that the energy of a (spinless) fermion with momentum \vec{p} and mass m is equal to $E(\vec{p}) = \vec{p}^2/2m$. Argue that this requirement leads to the Lagrangian

$$L(\psi^\dagger, \psi) = \int d^3x \, \psi^\dagger(\vec{x}, t) \left\{ i\partial_t + \frac{\nabla^2}{2m} \right\} \psi(\vec{x}, t) , \quad (13.31)$$

¹⁷Systems with $\Delta Q \neq 0$ are called *anomalous* and give rise to a violation of charge conservation.

by showing that the field-equation for $\psi(\vec{x}, t)$ has the plane-wave solutions $\psi(\vec{p}) \exp[i\vec{p} \cdot \vec{x} - iE(\vec{p}) t]$.

For a relativistic fermion we must also include the spin of the particle and $\psi(x)$ becomes a spinor (i.e. a vector in spin-space, whose dimension will be specified later on). We still expect the term $\int d^3x \psi^\dagger(x) i\partial_t \psi(x)$, where the components of the spinor fields are contracted as in a complex inner product, but the gradient term must be modified because the energy of a particle with momentum \vec{p} and mass m is now equal to $\sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2}$. To see how this can be achieved consider the Lagrangian

$$L(\psi^\dagger, \psi) = \int d^3x \psi^\dagger(x) \{i\partial_t + i\alpha^i \partial_i - \beta m\} \psi(x), \quad (13.32)$$

with α^i and β matrices in spin-space. What is the field-equation for $\psi(x)$ and $\psi(\vec{p})$, respectively? Derive from the latter that the correct relativistic energy is obtained if $\{\alpha^i, \alpha^j\} = 2\delta^{ij}$, $\{\alpha^i, \beta\} = 0$ and $\{\beta, \beta\} = 2$. Finally, show that by introducing the Dirac matrices $\gamma^0 = -i\beta$ and $\gamma^i = -i\beta\alpha^i$, the Lagrangian can be rewritten as

$$L(\bar{\psi}, \psi) = - \int d^3x \bar{\psi}(x) \{\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu + m\} \psi(x), \quad (13.33)$$

where $\bar{\psi}(x) = \psi^\dagger(x)\beta = i\psi^\dagger(x)\gamma^0$. Calculate also $\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\}$. Finally write down a plane-wave expansion, analogous to (3.23), for solutions of the field equation that follows from (13.33). This equation is the celebrated Dirac equation. (For more details, consult sections 5.1-3 of *Field Theory in Particle Physics*, where also the Lorentz invariance of the action corresponding to the Lagrangian (13.33) is shown.)

Problem 13.6 : Quantization of relativistic fermions in 2 + 1 dimensions

In this exercise we will derive the plane-wave expansion for a Dirac field $\psi(t, \vec{x})$ in three spacetime dimensions and canonically quantize it. Consider the Lagrangian density describing a free massive fermion in three spacetime dimensions (we take c , the velocity of light, equal to $c = 1$),

$$\mathcal{L} = i\psi^\dagger \partial_t \psi + \psi^\dagger \sigma_3 \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \psi - m\psi^\dagger \sigma_3 \psi, \quad (13.34)$$

where σ_i are the Pauli matrices satisfying $\sigma_i \sigma_j = \delta_{ij} \mathbf{1} + i\varepsilon_{ijk} \sigma_k$, and conventionally represented by

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (13.35)$$

The vector arrow denotes vectors in the two spatial dimensions, *i.e.*,

$$\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2), \quad \vec{p} = (p_1, p_2), \quad \vec{\nabla} = (\partial_1, \partial_2), \quad \vec{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2). \quad (13.36)$$

and the inner product is the usual one, $\vec{x} \cdot \vec{y} = x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2$. Note that ψ is a two-component spinor.

- i) Derive the field equations for $\psi(t, \vec{x})$ and $\psi^\dagger(t, \vec{x})$.
- ii) Consider plane wave solutions $\psi(t, \vec{x}) \sim \psi(\vec{p}) e^{i\vec{p} \cdot \vec{x} - ip_0 t}$ and show that they must satisfy the equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_0 & ip_1 + p_2 \\ ip_1 - p_2 & -p_0 \end{pmatrix} \psi(\vec{p}) = m \psi(\vec{p}). \quad (13.37)$$

Show that, to have non-trivial solutions $\psi(\vec{p})$ to the above equation, p_0 must satisfy

$$p_0 = \pm \omega(\vec{p}), \quad \text{where} \quad \omega(\vec{p}) = \sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2}. \quad (13.38)$$

Argue that this indicates that the theory based on (13.34) is relativistically invariant.

- iii) Assume that the field is placed in a box with volume V and that periodic boundary conditions are imposed. Argue that $\psi(t, \vec{x})$ can be expanded as

$$\psi(t, \vec{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_{\vec{p}} \psi(t, \vec{p}) e^{i\vec{p} \cdot \vec{x}}. \quad (13.39)$$

Give the possible values of the momenta \vec{p} and write down the Lagrangian in terms of $\psi(t, \vec{p})$ and $\psi^\dagger(t, \vec{p})$.

- iv) Determine the conjugate momentum $\pi(t, \vec{p})$ of $\psi(t, \vec{p})$ and prove that the Hamiltonian is given by

$$H = \sum_{\vec{p}} \psi^\dagger(t, \vec{p}) \sigma_3 (-i \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p} + m) \psi(t, \vec{p}). \quad (13.40)$$

Show that $\sigma_3 (-i \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p} + m)$ is hermitean (so that the Hamiltonian is hermitean) and argue that its eigenvalues are equal to $\pm \omega(\vec{p})$, where $\omega(\vec{p})$ was defined in (13.38).

- v) We now choose a basis for $\psi(t, \vec{p})$ in such a way that the Hamiltonian becomes diagonal. The eigenvectors of $\sigma_3 (-i \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{p} + m)$ with eigenvalue $\omega(\vec{p})$ and $-\omega(\vec{p})$ are denoted by $u_\alpha(\vec{p})$ and $v_\alpha(\vec{p})$, respectively. They take the form

$$u_\alpha(\vec{p}) = \sqrt{m + \omega} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ ip_1 - p_2 \\ m + \omega \end{pmatrix}, \quad v_\alpha(\vec{p}) = \sqrt{m + \omega} \begin{pmatrix} ip_1 + p_2 \\ m + \omega \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (13.41)$$

Show that these eigenvectors are orthogonal and normalized according to

$$u^\dagger u = u^\dagger v = 0, \quad u^\dagger v = v^\dagger v = 2\omega. \quad (13.42)$$

(We note in passing that $u^\dagger \sigma_3 u = 2m$ and $v^\dagger \sigma_3 v = -2m$.)

Using $u(\vec{p})$ and $v(\vec{p})$ we decompose the two-component field $\psi_\alpha(t, \vec{p})$ according to

$$\psi_\alpha(t, \vec{p}) = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2\omega}} \left[c_+(t, \vec{p}) u_\alpha(\vec{p}) + c_-(t, \vec{p}) v_\alpha(\vec{p}) \right]. \quad (13.43)$$

Subsequently we proceed to quantize the system in the Schrödinger picture, promoting the modes of ψ to time-independent operators $\psi_\alpha(\vec{p})$, and the modes of the conjugate momentum to momentum operators $\pi(\vec{p})$. The conjugate momentum was already considered in iv).

vi) Impose the canonical quantization conditions and give the value of the anti-commutators,

$$\{\psi_\alpha(\vec{p}), \psi_\beta(\vec{p}')\}, \quad \{\psi_\alpha^\dagger(\vec{p}), \psi_\beta(\vec{p}')\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{\psi_\alpha^\dagger(\vec{p}), \psi_\beta^\dagger(\vec{p}')\}. \quad (13.44)$$

Here $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2$ denote spinor components. Subsequently derive the anti-commutation relations for the Schrödinger picture operators $c_\pm(\vec{p})$ and $c_\pm^\dagger(\vec{p})$, making use of (13.42).

vii) Express the Hamilton operator H in terms of $c_\pm^\dagger(\vec{p})$ and $c_\pm(\vec{p})$. Evaluate the commutator between the Hamiltonian H and the operators $c_\pm^\dagger(\vec{p})$ and $c_\pm(\vec{p})$; identify which of these operators play the role of annihilation operators ($a(\vec{p}), b(\vec{p})$) and of creation operators ($a^\dagger(\vec{p}), b^\dagger(\vec{p})$). Convert now to the Heisenberg picture with operators $a(t, \vec{p}), b(t, \vec{p}), a^\dagger(t, \vec{p})$ and $b^\dagger(t, \vec{p})$ and determine the time dependence of these operators.

viii) Express the quantum field $\psi(t, \vec{x})$ in terms of the Schrödinger picture creation and annihilation operators. Give the corresponding expression in the large volume limit. Does this result satisfy the field equation and why (not)?

ix) Give the energy of the ground state $\langle 0|H|0\rangle$. Discuss the size and the sign for given \vec{p} . Did you expect this result?

Problem 13.7: Lorentz invariance and fermions in 2 + 1 space-time dimensions

Consider the free massive spinor field ψ in 2 + 1 space-time dimensions described by Lagrangian (13.33) with the gamma matrices defined by $\gamma^0 = -i\sigma_3$, $\gamma^1 = \sigma_1$ and $\gamma^2 = \sigma_2$ and $\bar{\psi} \equiv i\psi^\dagger(\gamma^0)^T$. Lorentz transformations on the spinor are defined by

$$\psi'(x') = U \psi(x), \quad (13.45)$$

where U is a matrix in the two-dimensional spinor space. Lorentz transformations consist of spatial rotations and boosts.

i) For rotations we have

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{x} \rightarrow \vec{x}' &= O(\theta) \vec{x}, \\ U(\theta) &= \exp\left[\frac{1}{2}i\theta\sigma_3\right],\end{aligned}\tag{13.46}$$

where $O(\theta)$ is the 2×2 rotation matrix,

$$O(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}.\tag{13.47}$$

Use the identity (which can be proven, for instance, by showing that both sides of the equation satisfy the same linear differential equation),

$$U^{-1}(\theta) \vec{\sigma} U(\theta) = O(\theta) \vec{\sigma},\tag{13.48}$$

to prove that

$$(\not{\partial}\psi)'(x') = U(\theta) \not{\partial}\psi(x),\tag{13.49}$$

Show now that the Lagrangian (13.33) is rotationally invariant.

ii) We can extend this proof to all Lorentz transformations by including the Lorentz boosts ($c = 1$). Finite boosts are conveniently parametrized by a vector \vec{y} , which is parallel to $\vec{\beta} = \vec{v}/c$ and has length

$$y = \log \sqrt{\frac{1 - \beta}{1 + \beta}}.\tag{13.50}$$

The vector \vec{y} is known as the *rapidity*. Note that $\vec{y} \approx \vec{\beta}$ for small $\vec{\beta}$. The relevant transformations are now given by

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{x} \rightarrow \vec{x}' &= \cosh y \vec{x} + \sinh y \frac{\vec{y}}{y} t \approx \vec{x} + \vec{\beta} t, \\ t \rightarrow t' &= \cosh y t + \sinh y \frac{\vec{y} \cdot \vec{x}}{y} \approx t + \vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{x}, \\ U(\vec{y}) &= \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}i\vec{y} \cdot \vec{\sigma}\sigma_3\right] = \\ \cosh y/2 - i \sinh y/2 \frac{\vec{y} \cdot \vec{\sigma}\sigma_3}{y} &\approx \mathbf{1} - \frac{1}{2}i\vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{\sigma}\sigma_3.\end{aligned}\tag{13.51}$$

Note that $-i\sigma_i\sigma_3 = \gamma^i\gamma^0$ is an hermitean matrix and demonstrate that $\bar{\psi}'(x') = \bar{\psi}(x) U^{-1}(\vec{y})$. Subsequently prove that (note the obvious change of notation, $\vec{\gamma} = \vec{\sigma}$)

$$\begin{aligned}U^{-1}(\vec{y}) \vec{\gamma} U(\vec{y}) &= \cosh y \vec{\gamma} + \sinh y \frac{\vec{y}}{y} \gamma^0, \\ U(\vec{y}) \gamma^0 U(\vec{y}) &= \cosh y \gamma^0 + \sinh y \frac{\vec{y} \cdot \vec{\gamma}}{y}.\end{aligned}\tag{13.52}$$

Show now that the Dirac Lagrangian (13.33) is also invariant under Lorentz boosts.

iii) Consider the spinor $u_\alpha(\vec{p})$ defined in (13.41) and verify (13.45) for spatial rotations (replacing $\psi(\vec{x}, t)$ by $u(\vec{p})$).

14 Path integrals for fermions

Let us return to the model of chapter 11 and consider the path integral. There are several ways in which one can proceed. First we may stay within the matrix formulation with two-component wave functions. We can follow the same steps as in chapter 2, taking into account that we have a matrix Hamiltonian and that the states carry an extra quantum number. Obviously the transition function then takes the form of a two-by-two matrix and we have

$$W(q_2, t_2; q_1, t_1) = \int_{\substack{q(t_1)=q_1 \\ q(t_2)=q_2}} \mathcal{D}q(t) \mathcal{D}\frac{p(t)}{2\pi\hbar} \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \left[p(t) \dot{q}(t) - H(p(t), q(t)) \right] \right\}. \quad (14.1)$$

We remind the reader (cf. (2.17)) that the boundary conditions on $p(t)$ are such that we integrate over $p(t_1)$, while $p(t_2)$ is left unrestricted (so that the result satisfies the product rule (2.13); cf. exercise 3.9).

Formally this is the same result as found before except that we are dealing with two-by-two matrices. We already evaluated the corresponding expressions for the model of chapter 11 in problem 11.4. However, we prefer to avoid a matrix formulation here. Ultimately we are interested in a field theory consisting of an infinite number of oscillators, so that the matrices will become infinite-dimensional and impossible to deal with. Our goal is therefore to find a description in terms of anticommuting variables, such that the anticommuting degrees of freedom can be treated in parallel with the commuting ones and no matrices are necessary. The material presented in the previous chapters makes it rather straightforward to set up such a formulation.

First we introduce a way of dealing with matrices where matrix multiplication is implemented by means of integrals over anticommuting c -numbers. Consider two-by-two matrices A_{ij} , where $i, j = 0, 1$. To each matrix we associate an element of the Grassmann algebra,

$$A(\bar{\alpha}, \alpha) \equiv A_{00} + \bar{\alpha} A_{10} + A_{01} \alpha + \bar{\alpha} A_{11} \alpha, \quad (14.2)$$

where α and $\bar{\alpha}$ are two anticommuting c -numbers. Multiplication of two matrices A and B is now implemented by performing the following integral over anticommuting c -numbers,

$$\int d\bar{\beta} d\alpha e^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} A(\bar{\alpha}, \alpha) B(\bar{\beta}, \beta) = (AB)(\bar{\alpha}, \beta). \quad (14.3)$$

In other words, the above integral over the product of two Grassmann algebra elements associated with matrices A and B yields the element of the Grassmann algebra corresponding to the matrix product AB . This result is easy to verify by writing out the expression for the integral and using the results for integrals over anticommuting c -numbers.

Note that the Grassmann-algebra valued form corresponding to the unit matrix is equal to

$$\mathbf{1} \longrightarrow \mathbf{1}(\bar{\alpha}, \alpha) = 1 + \bar{\alpha} \alpha = e^{\bar{\alpha} \alpha}. \quad (14.4)$$

We also need the following expression in the limit where ϵ is small,

$$\exp \left[\epsilon \begin{pmatrix} A_{00} & A_{01} \\ A_{10} & A_{00} + A_{11} \end{pmatrix} \right] \longrightarrow \exp \left[\bar{\alpha} \alpha + \epsilon A(\bar{\alpha}, \alpha) \right] + O(\epsilon^2). \quad (14.5)$$

This result follows straightforwardly from a power series expansion of the exponential.

Also the ordinary trace over the matrix can be evaluated as an integral, as well as the graded trace, introduced in chapter 12, where certain entries of the matrix contribute with opposite sign. The reader can easily verify the following results

$$\text{Tr}(A) \equiv A_{00} + A_{11} = - \int d\bar{\alpha} d\alpha e^{-\alpha \bar{\alpha}} A(\bar{\alpha}, \alpha), \quad (14.6)$$

$$\text{Tr}((-)^F A) \equiv A_{00} - A_{11} = \int d\bar{\alpha} d\alpha e^{\alpha \bar{\alpha}} A(\bar{\alpha}, \alpha). \quad (14.7)$$

Finally we note that hermitean conjugation of the matrix is consistent with hermitean conjugation of the corresponding element of the Grassmann algebra (according to the prescription given in chapter 11), where $\alpha^\dagger = \bar{\alpha}$ and $\bar{\alpha}^\dagger = \alpha$. This leads to

$$(A^\dagger)(\bar{\alpha}, \alpha) = (A(\bar{\alpha}, \alpha))^\dagger. \quad (14.8)$$

For a unitary matrix we thus have

$$\int d\bar{\beta} d\alpha e^{\alpha \bar{\beta}} A(\bar{\alpha}, \alpha) (A(\bar{\beta}, \beta))^\dagger = e^{\bar{\alpha} \beta}. \quad (14.9)$$

Rather than setting up a path integral expression for the matrix valued Hamiltonian, we start from the corresponding Grassmann-algebra valued expression, which we denote by $W(q_2, t_2, \bar{\alpha}_2; q_1, t_1, \alpha_1)$. The approach is the same as in chapter 2. We divide a time interval (t_0, t_N) into N intervals (t_i, t_{i+1}) with $t_{i+1} - t_i = \Delta$, so that $t_N - t_0 = N\Delta$. Then we approximate the path integral by a product of path integrals with small time increments Δ , so that $W(q_N, t_N, \bar{\alpha}_N; q_0, t_0, \alpha_0)$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} W(q_N, t_N, \bar{\alpha}_N; q_0, t_0, \alpha_0) &= \int dq_{N-1} \cdots \int dq_1 \int d\bar{\alpha}_{N-1} d\alpha_{N-1} \cdots \int d\bar{\alpha}_1 d\alpha_1 \\ &\times \left(\prod_{i=1}^{N-1} e^{\alpha_i \bar{\alpha}_i} \right) W(q_N, t_N, \bar{\alpha}_N; q_{N-1}, t_{N-1}, \alpha_{N-1}) \cdots W(q_1, t_1, \bar{\alpha}_1; q_0, t_0, \alpha_0). \end{aligned} \quad (14.10)$$

The matrix product is correctly implemented by virtue of (12.3). The Hamiltonian is now a two-by-two matrix, which depends on the operators P and Q , which we decompose according to (12.2). For small values of Δ we may write

$$W(q_{i+1}, t_{i+1}, \bar{\alpha}_{i+1}; q_i, t_i, \alpha_i) = \langle q_{i+1} | e^{\bar{\alpha}_{i+1} \alpha_i - \frac{i}{\hbar} H(P, Q, \bar{\alpha}_{i+1}, \alpha_i) \Delta} | q_i \rangle \quad (14.11)$$

$$\approx \langle q_{i+1} | q_i \rangle \mathbf{1} - \frac{i\Delta}{\hbar} \begin{pmatrix} \langle q_{i+1} | H_{00}(P, Q) | q_i \rangle & \langle q_{i+1} | H_{01}(P, Q) | q_i \rangle \\ \langle q_{i+1} | H_{10}(P, Q) | q_i \rangle & \langle q_{i+1} | H_{00}(P, Q) + H_{11}(P, Q) | q_i \rangle \end{pmatrix},$$

so that for vanishing H we obtain the unit matrix. As a consequence of this parametrization H_{00} represents the part of the Hamiltonian that acts uniformly on the two-dimensional vector space (cf. 12.5).¹⁸ Inserting a complete set of eigenstates of the momentum operator then leads to

$$W = \int dp_i \langle q_{i+1} | p_i \rangle \langle p_i | e^{\bar{\alpha}_{i+1} \alpha_i - \frac{i}{\hbar} H(P, Q, \bar{\alpha}_{i+1}, \alpha_i) \Delta} | q_i \rangle \quad (14.12)$$

$$\approx \int \frac{dp_i}{2\pi\hbar} \exp \left(\bar{\alpha}_{i+1} \alpha_i + \frac{i}{\hbar} [p_i(q_{i+1} - q_i) - H(p_i, q_i, \bar{\alpha}_{i+1}, \alpha_i) \Delta] \right),$$

where the two-component character resides in the Grassmann-valued character of the Hamiltonian, so that there is no need for indicating the two-component nature of the states. As before we made use of (1.7) and of the fact that Δ is small.

Putting the previous expressions together we thus obtain (observe that there is no dependence on $\bar{\alpha}_0$ and α_N)

$$W(q_N, t_N, \bar{\alpha}_N; q_0, t_0, \alpha_0) = \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} \int dq_i d\bar{\alpha}_i d\alpha_i \prod_{i=0}^{N-1} \int \frac{dp_i}{2\pi\hbar} \quad (14.13)$$

$$\times \exp \left(\bar{\alpha}_N \alpha_N - \sum_{i=1}^N \bar{\alpha}_i (\alpha_i - \alpha_{i-1}) \right)$$

$$\times \exp \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \Delta \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \left[\frac{p_i (q_{i+1} - q_i)}{\Delta} - H(p_i, q_i, \bar{\alpha}_{i+1}, \alpha_i) \right] \right)$$

$$= \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} \int dq_i d\bar{\alpha}_i d\alpha_i \prod_{i=0}^{N-1} \int \frac{dp_i}{2\pi\hbar}$$

$$\times \exp \left(\bar{\alpha}_N \alpha_N + \frac{i}{\hbar} \Delta \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \left[\frac{i\hbar \bar{\alpha}_{i+1} (\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i)}{\Delta} + \frac{p_i (q_{i+1} - q_i)}{\Delta} - H(p_i, q_i, \bar{\alpha}_{i+1}, \alpha_i) \right] \right).$$

¹⁸Observe that the matrix Hamiltonian, such as given in (11.1), does not correspond directly to the Grassmann-parameter representation $H(P, Q, \bar{\alpha}_{i+1}, \alpha_i)$ according to (14.2); instead the relationship proceeds through (14.11).

The boundary conditions on the fermionic path are in accord with the description given in the previous chapter and the boundary term $\bar{\alpha}_N \alpha_N$ coincides with the corresponding term in the action (13.5). Observe that this term, which actually vanishes against a similar term, is important for establishing the decomposition rule,

$$\int dq_2 d\bar{\beta} d\alpha e^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} W(q_3, t_3, \bar{\alpha}; q_2, t_2, \alpha) W(q_2, t_2, \bar{\beta}; q_1, t_1, \beta) = W(q_3, t_3, \bar{\alpha}; q_1, t_1, \beta). \quad (14.14)$$

The continuum limit is now straightforward and after rescaling of $\bar{\alpha}$ and α with a factor $\hbar^{-1/2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} W(q_2, t_2, \bar{\alpha}_2; q_1, t_1, \alpha_1) &= \int \mathcal{D}q(t) \int \mathcal{D}\frac{p(t)}{2\pi\hbar} \int \mathcal{D}\frac{\bar{\alpha}(t)}{\sqrt{\hbar}} \int \mathcal{D}\frac{\alpha(t)}{\sqrt{\hbar}} \\ &\times \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \left[-i\bar{\alpha}(t_2) \alpha(t_2) + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \left[i\bar{\alpha}(t) \dot{\alpha}(t) + p(t) \dot{q}(t) - H(p(t), q(t), \bar{\alpha}(t), \alpha(t)) \right] \right] \right), \end{aligned} \quad (14.15)$$

where the various trajectories have the following characteristic properties:

$$q(t_1) = q_1, \quad q(t_2) = q_2, \quad \alpha(t_1) = \alpha_1, \quad \bar{\alpha}(t_2) = \bar{\alpha}_2, \quad (14.16)$$

and there is no integration over $p(t_2)$, $\alpha(t_2)$ and $\bar{\alpha}(t_1)$ (which are not contained in the integrand), while we do integrate over $p(t_1)$. Obviously, the term in the exponent, including the boundary term, is precisely the action defined earlier in (13.5). This guarantees that the $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ limit leads to the correct classical results. In the Hamiltonian, we have absorbed the $\sqrt{\hbar}$ from the rescaling of the fermionic coordinates into the definition of the matrix elements of H .

Let us momentarily return to the discrete version. It is possible to obtain the expression for the trace (with respect to the original matrix indices) of the path integral by using (12.6). Hence we integrate (14.13) over $\bar{\alpha}_N$ and α_0 with an exponential factor $-\exp(-\alpha_0 \bar{\alpha}_N)$. Therefore the exponent in (14.13) will explicitly contain the following combination of terms

$$-\bar{\alpha}_N(-\alpha_0 - \alpha_{N-1}) - \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \bar{\alpha}_i(\alpha_i - \alpha_{i-1}).$$

The trace over the bosonic states is implemented by taking the integral over $q_N = q_0$, as was shown in (5.21). Close inspection now shows that the combined trace coincides with

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr } W &= - \prod_{i=0}^{N-1} \int dq_i d\bar{\alpha}_i d\alpha_i \prod_{i=0}^{N-1} \int \frac{dp_i}{2\pi\hbar} \\ &\times \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \Delta \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \left[\frac{i\hbar\bar{\alpha}_{i+1}(\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i)}{\Delta} + \frac{p_i(q_{i+1} - q_i)}{\Delta} - H(p_i, q_i, \bar{\alpha}_{i+1}, \alpha_i) \right] \right). \end{aligned}$$

for *antiperiodic* fermionic paths, i.e. paths satisfying $\bar{\alpha}_N = -\bar{\alpha}_0$ and $\alpha_N = -\alpha_0$, and *periodic* bosonic paths with $q_N = q_0$. After converting to the Euclidean case, we can thus obtain a closed expression for the partition function for a theory where the original Hamiltonian is a matrix, in which the matrix degrees of freedom are incorporated by anticommuting coordinates and momenta, whose treatment is very analogous to the treatment of commuting coordinates and momenta.

With these results, we can evaluate the fermionic path integral and set up a perturbation expansion in terms of Feynman diagrams, precisely as for the bosonic theories. This will be discussed in chapter 15. Of course, one must be aware of various minus signs that show up in actual calculations, due to the anticommuting nature of the fermionic coordinates or fields. We briefly mention two of them.

First consider the definition of fermionic correlation functions. On the basis of the path integral representation the correlation function should exhibit the fact that the fermion operators are anticommuting. More explicitly, it is clear that one should have

$$\langle \alpha(t) \bar{\alpha}(t') \rangle = -\langle \bar{\alpha}(t') \alpha(t) \rangle, \quad (14.17)$$

for a correlation function defined by

$$\langle \alpha(t) \bar{\alpha}(t') \rangle = \frac{\int \mathcal{D}\phi \alpha(t) \bar{\alpha}(t') e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S[\phi]}}{\int \mathcal{D}\phi e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S[\phi]}}, \quad (14.18)$$

where ϕ generically denotes all the variables in the path integral. For the operator definition the minus sign in (14.18) implies that one must take a modified time-ordered product,

$$T(\alpha(t) \bar{\alpha}(t')) = \theta(t - t') \alpha(t) \bar{\alpha}(t') - \theta(t' - t) \bar{\alpha}(t') \alpha(t). \quad (14.19)$$

Secondly, in the evaluation of Feynman diagrams it turns out that a closed loop associated with a fermion line acquires an overall minus sign.

Problems chapter 14

Problem 14.1 : Semiclassical fermionic path integral

Consider the Hamiltonian $H(\bar{\alpha}, \alpha) = \hbar\omega \bar{\alpha}\alpha$, which corresponds in matrix notation to

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \hbar\omega \end{pmatrix},$$

and calculate the transition function $W(\bar{\alpha}_N, t_N; \alpha_0, t_0)$ by first explicitly performing the integrations $\int d\bar{\alpha}_{N-1} d\alpha_{N-1} \dots \int d\bar{\alpha}_1 d\alpha_1$ in the path integral (14.13) and then taking the limit

$N \rightarrow \infty$ and $\Delta \rightarrow 0$ such that $N\Delta = t_N - t_0$ remains fixed. (It is convenient to perform the integrals starting from the left, i.e. from α and $\bar{\alpha}$ with the highest index values.) Compare the result with equation (11.12) and explain the differences. Calculate the transition function also by immediately making use of the continuum limit of the path integral, i.e. find the classical trajectories $\alpha(t)$ and $\bar{\alpha}(t)$, write the transition function as $f(t_N - t_0) e^{iS_{cl}/\hbar}$ and determine $f(t_N - t_0)$, for instance by requiring that the evolution operator for one of the states takes the expected form (semiclassical approximation). Note once more the relevance of the boundary term at $t = t_2$. (Comment: in the continuum limit the Hamiltonian reads $H(\bar{\alpha}, \alpha) = \omega \bar{\alpha} \alpha$, because of the rescaling of the fermionic coordinates by $\sqrt{\hbar}$.)

Problem 14.2 : Hermiticity properties of the fermionic path integral

We have seen in chapter 11 that the Hamiltonian of the fermionic harmonic oscillator is $H = \hbar\omega b^\dagger b$, which has the eigenstates $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle = b^\dagger|0\rangle$. In matrix notation the transition function is therefore

$$W_{ij}(t_N; t_0) = \langle i | e^{-iH(t_N-t_0)/\hbar} | j \rangle .$$

We now introduce the so-called coherent states $|\alpha\rangle \equiv \exp(-\alpha b^\dagger)|0\rangle = |0\rangle + |1\rangle\alpha$, where α is again an anti-commuting number that also anticommutes with the operators b and b^\dagger . Prove that these coherent states obey $b|\alpha\rangle = \alpha|\alpha\rangle$, $\langle\alpha'|\alpha\rangle = e^{\bar{\alpha}'\alpha}$ and $\int d\bar{\alpha} d\alpha e^{-\bar{\alpha}\alpha} |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha| = 1$. Furthermore, show now that

$$W(\bar{\alpha}_N, t_N; \alpha_0, t_0) = \langle\alpha_N| e^{-iH(t_N-t_0)/\hbar} |\alpha_0\rangle.$$

Can you understand on the basis of these results that the action,

$$S[\bar{\alpha}, \alpha] = -i\hbar\bar{\alpha}(t_2)\alpha(t_2) + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \{i\hbar\bar{\alpha}\dot{\alpha} - H(\bar{\alpha}, \alpha)\} ,$$

appearing in the path integral for $W(\bar{\alpha}_N, t_N; \alpha_0, t_0)$, is not hermitian if one uses the physically relevant conjugation introduced in equation (13.19)? (Hint: To facilitate matters, consider the path integral in the special case $H = 0$.) Determine also $S^\dagger[\bar{\alpha}, \alpha]$ and explain why this is the desired result by writing a path integral representation for complex conjugate matrix element of the evolution operator.

Problem 14.3 : Fermionic correlation function

Calculate, using the fermionic harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian $H = \hbar\omega b^\dagger b$ and the modified time-ordered product of (14.19), the two-point correlation function $G(t, t') = \langle b(t) b^\dagger(t') \rangle$. Here $b(t)$ and $b^\dagger(t)$ are the usual annihilation and creation operators in the Heisenberg

picture and the average is with respect to the groundstate $|0\rangle$. Show that it can be written as

$$G(t, t') = \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq \frac{e^{-iq(t-t')}}{q - \omega + i\epsilon}.$$

Therefore, $G(t, t')$ now obeys the first-order differential equation $(i\partial_t - \omega)G(t, t') = i\delta(t-t')$. Obtain the same result by path-integral methods, i.e. by functional differentiation of the logarithm of

$$W_J^{(0)} = \int \mathcal{D}\bar{\alpha} \mathcal{D}\alpha \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar} S[\bar{\alpha}, \alpha] + \int dt \{ \bar{J}(t)\alpha(t) + \bar{\alpha}(t)J(t) \}\right).$$

Problem 14.4 : Fermionic and bosonic harmonic oscillators

In the lectures we found the following discretized version of the fermionic path integral, which we present here in the Euclidian setting,

$$W(\tau_N, \bar{\alpha}_N; \tau_0, \alpha_0) = \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} \int d\bar{\alpha}_i d\alpha_i \times \exp\left(\bar{\alpha}_N \alpha_N - \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \left[\bar{\alpha}_{i+1}(\alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_i) + \frac{1}{\hbar} \Delta H(\bar{\alpha}_{i+1}, \alpha_i) \right]\right) \quad (14.20)$$

For the fermionic harmonic oscillator we choose $H(\bar{\alpha}_{i+1}, \alpha_i) = \hbar[\omega \bar{\alpha}_{i+1}\alpha_i - \omega_0]$, where ω_0 represents a zero-point energy, to be discussed later. The continuum version of the integral (14.20) reads (we take the limit $\Delta \rightarrow 0$, keeping $\tau_N - \tau_0 = N\Delta$ finite in the usual fashion),

$$W = \int \mathcal{D}\bar{\alpha}(\tau) \mathcal{D}\alpha(\tau) \exp\left(\bar{\alpha}(\tau_2)\alpha(\tau_2) - \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} d\tau [\bar{\alpha}\dot{\alpha} + \hbar^{-1}H(\bar{\alpha}, \alpha)]\right), \quad (14.21)$$

where $H(\bar{\alpha}, \alpha) = \hbar[\omega \bar{\alpha}(\tau)\alpha(\tau) - \omega_0]$ and τ_1 and τ_2 correspond to τ_0 and τ_N , respectively, in the discretized expression (14.20). We are interested in evaluating the path integral by using the semiclassical approximation, which is exact in this case.

- i) Write down the field equations for $\alpha(\tau)$ and $\bar{\alpha}(\tau)$ and evaluate their classical solutions expressed in the proper boundary values at τ_1 and τ_2 .
- ii) Write down the integrand that appears in the path integral (14.21) for these classical solutions.
- iii) As always the result of the semiclassical approximation is expressed in terms of the integrand taken at the classical solution times a gaussian integral involving the fluctuations around this solution. To determine the gaussian integral, return to the discretized version of the path integral (14.20) and substitute the required boundary values for the fields. Prove that this path integral is equal to 1.

- iv) Combine the above results to write down the resulting expression for the path integral W and show that it satisfies the product rule, thus confirming the normalization derived in iii). Furthermore evaluate the trace and the supertrace of W from the corresponding integrals over the fermionic variables, using $\beta\hbar = \tau_2 - \tau_1$. These will yield the expression for the partition function $\text{Tr}[e^{-\beta H}]$ and for $\text{Tr}[(-)^F e^{-\beta H}]$, respectively. Here F is the fermion number operator, which equals 0 for a bosonic and 1 for a fermionic state. Explain the result for the partition function in terms of the ground state and the excited states of a fermionic harmonic oscillator.
- v) Let us now also introduce a bosonic harmonic oscillator into the path integral. We know that the corresponding partition sum takes the form,

$$Z^{\text{boson}}(\beta) = \frac{e^{-\beta\hbar\omega/2}}{1 - e^{-\beta\hbar\omega}}. \quad (14.22)$$

Combine this result with the expression for the supertrace of the fermionic harmonic oscillator and fix the value for the zero-point energy ω_0 such that the supertrace becomes independent of β .

- vi) Can you explain the β -independence of the supertrace? Assume that, for a similar theory with a more general potential, the result for the supertrace would also be β -independent. Can you deduce in that case why the supertrace should take an integer value?

Problem 14.5 : Anti-periodic boundary conditions

Write the partition function for the (Euclidean) harmonic oscillator with antiperiodic boundary conditions as

$$Z_{\beta}^{(-)} = \int_{\text{AP}} \mathcal{D}q(\tau) \exp\left(-\frac{m}{\hbar} \int_0^{\hbar\beta} d\tau q(\tau) \left[-\frac{d^2}{d\tau^2} + \omega^2\right] q(\tau)\right) \propto \left(\det_{\text{AP}} \left[-\frac{d^2}{d\tau^2} + \omega^2\right]\right)^{-1/2}$$

Also consider the corresponding expression of the fermionic partition function

$$Z_{\beta}^{\text{fermion}} = \int_{\text{AP}} \mathcal{D}\bar{\alpha}(\tau) \mathcal{D}\alpha(\tau) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\hbar} \int_0^{\hbar\beta} d\tau \bar{\alpha}(\tau) \left[\frac{d}{d\tau} + \omega\right] \alpha(\tau)\right) \propto \det_{\text{AP}} \left[\frac{d}{d\tau} + \omega\right]$$

Problem 14.6 : The Witten index

Consider a quantum-mechanical model with a Hamiltonian that depends on a coordinate operator q and a momentum operator p , satisfying the standard commutation relation $[q, p] = i\hbar$. The Hamiltonian takes the form of a two-by-two diagonal matrix, whose matrix elements are denoted by $H^{\pm}(p, q)$.

i) The class of Hamiltonians that we consider is encoded in functions $W(q)$, and we have

$$H^\pm(p, q) = \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}mW^2(q) \pm \frac{1}{2}\hbar W'(q), \quad W' = \frac{\partial W}{\partial q}. \quad (14.23)$$

Write down a function $W(q)$ such that you obtain the Hamiltonian for the supersymmetric harmonic oscillator that was treated in class. Can you give the eigenvalues of this Hamiltonian?

To appreciate why this class of Hamiltonians is special, consider the operators,

$$Q = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & mW(q) + ip \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Q^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ mW(q) - ip & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (14.24)$$

Furthermore there is an operator $\mathbf{F} = \text{diag}(1, -1)$, which obviously commutes with the Hamiltonian. This operator will be called *fermion* operator: states with eigenvalue $+1$ will be called bosonic and are described by H^+ ; states with eigenvalue -1 will be called fermionic and are described by H^- . Of course, these names are a matter of convention and can be interchanged.

ii) Show that the following operator relations hold,

$$\{Q, Q^\dagger\} = Q Q^\dagger + Q^\dagger Q = H, \quad Q^2 = (Q^\dagger)^2 = 0. \quad (14.25)$$

Prove from these relations that Q and Q^\dagger commute with the Hamiltonian. Hence they define conserved charges. Furthermore, prove that \mathbf{F} anticommutes with the operators Q and Q^\dagger , so that these operators may be regarded as fermionic.

iii) Prove from the first (anticommutation) relation (14.25), that the Hamiltonian must have non-negative expectation values for any state $|\psi\rangle$. Therefore we expect an infinite number of positive-energy states and a finite number of zero-energy states. Show that the latter must satisfy $Q|\psi\rangle = Q^\dagger|\psi\rangle = 0$.

In this problem we are interested in counting the number of zero-energy states. One can show, using the above properties, that the positive-energy eigenstates appear always in pairs consisting of a bosonic and a fermionic state. Therefore it is interesting to consider the following traces over the full Hilbert space, $\text{Tr}[e^{-\beta H}]$ and $\text{Tr}[\mathbf{F}e^{-\beta H}]$. The first expression is the partition function, which is a very complicated function of β , depending on the function $W(q)$. The second expression equals the difference of the partition function for the bosonic states minus the partition function for the fermionic states.

- iv) Argue that $\text{Tr}[\mathbf{F}e^{-\beta H}]$ receives contributions from only zero-energy states. Therefore it must be independent of β and furthermore it must be equal to an integer. What is the meaning of this integer? Expressions that always take integer values are often called ‘index’ in the mathematical literature. The expression at hand is known as the Witten index.

We now consider the field-theoretic representation of the above. The Hamiltonian (14.23) corresponds to a Lagrangian with one bosonic and one fermionic coordinate. The bosonic (Euclidean) action takes the form

$$S_{\text{bosonic}} = \int_0^{\hbar\beta} d\tau \left[\frac{1}{2}m^2\dot{q}^2 + \frac{1}{2}mW^2(q) \right], \quad (14.26)$$

where we suppressed a term of order \hbar . The fermionic action reads,

$$S_{\text{fermionic}} = \hbar \int_0^{\hbar\beta} d\tau \left[\bar{\alpha}(\tau)\dot{\alpha}(\tau) - \bar{\alpha}(\tau)W'(q(\tau))\alpha(\tau) \right], \quad (14.27)$$

where we note the proportionality factor \hbar . To evaluate the partition function one evaluates the path-integral over periodic bosonic and anti-periodic fermionic paths. (The standard fermionic boundary term in the fermionic action is required for deriving this result, so that it was suppressed in (14.27).) To obtain the Witten index, one evaluates the path integral but now with periodic boundary conditions for *both* bosonic and fermionic fields.

Since we have established that the expression does not depend on β , we will evaluate the path integral in the limit $\hbar\beta \rightarrow 0$. The paths can then be taken constant over the $(0, \hbar\beta)$ interval. In class we have followed this approach for a particle moving in some potential $V(q)$, and we derived the following (bosonic) result,

$$Z_\beta \approx \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi\hbar^2\beta}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq e^{-\beta V(q)}. \quad (14.28)$$

To include the fermions, we should simply include their contribution into the potential $V(q)$ and subsequently integrate over $\bar{\alpha}$ and α (because the τ -dependence disappears in the $\hbar\beta \rightarrow 0$ limit, there are only two fermionic variables left).

- v) Show that the expression for the Witten index in the limit described above, amounts to substituting $V(q) = \frac{1}{2}mW^2(q) - \hbar\bar{\alpha}\alpha W'(q)$ in (14.28), subject to an overall integral $\int d\bar{\alpha}d\alpha$. Perform the latter fermionic integrals and show that the answer becomes independent of \hbar , but not (yet) of β .
- vi) By means of a variable change, prove that the resulting bosonic integral equals -1 .
- vi) Scrutinize the variable substitution to argue that other (integer) values remain possible.

15 Feynman diagrams for fermions

The derivation of the Feynman rules and diagrams for fermions proceeds along the same lines as in chapter 9. There are various technical complications, however. The most essential one has to do with the various sign factors arising from the anticommuting nature of the fermion fields. Furthermore, there are complications associated with the fact that relativistic fermion fields transform in representations of the Lorentz group. Those are not typical for fermion fields and also arise for vector fields and the like, which are bosonic fields.

To make matters concrete we consider a simple relativistic field theory for a massive complex fermion field $\psi(t, \vec{x})$ in three space-time dimensions (with c , the velocity of light, equal to $c = 1$), described by the Lagrangian,

$$\mathcal{L} = i\psi^\dagger \partial_t \psi + \psi^\dagger \sigma_3 \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \psi - m\psi^\dagger \sigma_3 \psi. \quad (15.1)$$

Note that ψ_α denotes a two-component fermion field that transforms as a spinor under $(2 + 1)$ -dimensional Lorentz transformations. Furthermore the σ_i are the Pauli matrices satisfying $\sigma_i \sigma_j = \delta_{ij} \mathbf{1} + i \varepsilon_{ijk} \sigma_k$, and conventionally defined by

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (15.2)$$

The same model was already considered in problem 13.6. The arrow refers to vectors in the two spatial dimensions, *i.e.*,

$$\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2), \quad \vec{p} = (p_1, p_2), \quad \vec{\nabla} = (\partial_1, \partial_2), \quad \vec{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2). \quad (15.3)$$

For these vectors the inner product is the usual one, $\vec{x} \cdot \vec{y} = x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2$. The relativistic inner product is an extension thereof with a negative sign for the time component *i.e.*, $\eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu = \vec{\nabla}^2 - (\partial_t)^2$. Hence $\eta^{\mu\nu}$ is a diagonal matrix with eigenvalues $+1, +1, -1$ for $\mu, \nu = 1, 2, 0$.

In the relativistic context it is convenient to define a conjugate field $\bar{\psi}$ (to be regarded as a row spinor) by

$$\bar{\psi} \equiv i\psi^\dagger (\gamma^0)^T, \quad (15.4)$$

where we introduce gamma matrices γ^μ ,

$$\gamma^0 = -i\sigma_3, \quad \gamma^1 = \sigma_1, \quad \gamma^2 = \sigma_2, \quad (15.5)$$

whose defining property is that they satisfy the anti-commutation relations

$$\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2\eta^{\mu\nu}, \quad (15.6)$$

Obviously the definitions of the conjugate spinor field $\bar{\psi}$ and of the gamma matrices are not unique and we caution the reader that there exist many different conventions in the literature.

With the above definitions we rewrite (15.1) as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} &= -\bar{\psi}\left[\gamma^0\partial_t + \vec{\gamma}\cdot\vec{\nabla}\right]\psi - m\bar{\psi}\psi \\ &= -\bar{\psi}[\not{\partial} + m]\psi.\end{aligned}\tag{15.7}$$

Note the convenient notation $\not{\partial} = \gamma^\mu\partial_\mu$, which will be used for any covariant three-vector. We also note the convenient relation

$$\not{\partial}\not{\partial} = \square = \eta^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\partial_\nu,\tag{15.8}$$

which holds by virtue of (15.6).

It is straightforward to derive the field equations for $\psi_\alpha(t, \vec{x})$ and $\bar{\psi}^\alpha(t, \vec{x})$ by requiring the action corresponding to (15.7) to be stationary. Here it is crucial to realize that ψ and $\bar{\psi}$ are independent fields, and furthermore that the variations $\delta\psi$ and $\delta\bar{\psi}$ are also anticommuting. In that situation one is thus dealing with four anticommuting fields, ψ , $\bar{\psi}$, $\delta\psi$ and $\delta\bar{\psi}$. See, however, problem 15.2. The field equation is the Dirac equation,

$$\not{\partial}\psi + m\psi = 0, \quad \bar{\psi}\overleftarrow{\not{\partial}} - m\bar{\psi} = 0.\tag{15.9}$$

The second equation is related to the first one by hermitean conjugation.

To bring out the difference with commuting fields and to consider a number of simple examples, we also introduce a bosonic field ϕ that interacts with the fermions,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{add}} = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\mu^2\phi^2 + g\phi\bar{\psi}\psi.\tag{15.10}$$

We now follow the same procedure as in chapter 9 and decompose the action into a part S_0 that is quadratic in the fields, and interaction terms contained in S_I which may involve any power of the fields (c.f. (9.1)). To S_0 we add external sources, just as in (9.3). In the case at hand we need three types of sources, one for ψ , one for ψ^\dagger and one for ϕ ,

$$S_{\text{sources}} = \int d^3x \left\{ J_\phi(x)\phi(x) + \bar{\psi}^\alpha(x)J_\alpha(x) + \bar{J}^\alpha(x)\psi_\alpha(x) \right\},\tag{15.11}$$

Consistency requires the sources J_α and \bar{J}^α to be anticommuting. Observe that spinor quantities such as J are written with lower indices and conjugate spinors such as \bar{J} with upper spinor indices. The source J_ϕ coupling to the bosonic field ϕ is commuting. Subsequently we consider the expression

$$W_J^{(0)} = \int \mathcal{D}\psi \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} \mathcal{D}\phi \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} S_0[\psi, \bar{\psi}, \phi] + S_{\text{sources}} \right\}.\tag{15.12}$$

Integrating out the fields in this path integral we obtain the expression,

$$\exp \left[\int d^3x d^3y \left\{ \bar{J}^\alpha(x) \Delta_\alpha^\beta(x, y) J_\beta(y) + \frac{1}{2} J(x) \Delta(x, y) J(y) \right\} \right], \quad (15.13)$$

where the expressions for the propagators $\Delta_\alpha^\beta(x, y)$ and $\Delta(x, y)$ are related to the inverse of the quadratic terms appearing in S_0 , as before. This is expressed by the relations,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{i}{\hbar} [\not{\partial}_x + m]_\alpha^\beta \Delta_\beta^\gamma(x, y) &= \delta_\alpha^\gamma \delta^3(x - y), \\ \frac{i}{\hbar} [-\square_x + \mu^2] \Delta(x, y) &= \delta^3(x - y). \end{aligned} \quad (15.14)$$

For the Lagrangians that we discuss the propagators are functions of $x - y$ which are most conveniently written as a Fourier integral,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\alpha^\beta(x - y) &= \frac{\hbar}{i(2\pi)^3} \int d^3k e^{ik \cdot (x-y)} ([i\not{k} + m]^{-1})_\alpha^\beta \\ &= \frac{\hbar}{i(2\pi)^3} \int d^3k \frac{e^{ik \cdot (x-y)}}{k^2 + m^2} [-i\not{k} + m]_\alpha^\beta, \\ \Delta_\phi(x - y) &= \frac{\hbar}{i(2\pi)^3} \int d^3k \frac{e^{ik \cdot (x-y)}}{k^2 + \mu^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (15.15)$$

In the second line we rewrote the integrand by making use of the identity $\not{k}^2 = k^2$.

These propagators can be identified with second derivatives of $\log W_J^{(0)}$ with respect to the relevant external sources,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\alpha^\beta(x, y) &= \langle \psi_\alpha(x) \bar{\psi}^\beta(y) \rangle \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial_L \bar{J}^\alpha(x)} \frac{\partial}{\partial_R J_\beta(y)} \log Z_J^{(0)} \Big|_{J=0} \\ &= \frac{\int \mathcal{D}\phi \mathcal{D}\psi \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} \psi_\alpha(x) \bar{\psi}_\beta(y) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S_0[\phi, \psi, \bar{\psi}]}}{\int \mathcal{D}\phi \mathcal{D}\psi \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S_0[\phi, \psi, \bar{\psi}]}} , \\ \Delta_\phi(x, y) &= \langle \phi(x) \phi(y) \rangle \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial J_\phi(x)} \frac{\partial}{\partial J_\phi(y)} \log Z_J^{(0)} \Big|_{J=0} \\ &= \frac{\int \mathcal{D}\phi \mathcal{D}\psi \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} \phi(x) \phi(y) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S_0[\phi, \psi, \bar{\psi}]}}{\int \mathcal{D}\phi \mathcal{D}\psi \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S_0[\phi, \psi, \bar{\psi}]}} . \end{aligned} \quad (15.16)$$

Here the fermionic derivatives with respect to the sources \bar{J} are *left* derivatives and with respect to the sources J are *right* derivatives. The reader is encouraged to verify that

Figure 3: Diagrammatic representation of (15.18).

possible minus signs that one may encounter when taking fermionic functional derivatives, do not appear in the final expressions.

To obtain the Feynman diagram expansion we rewrite the full functional integral as in (9.6),

$$W = \exp \left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} S_I \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial_L \bar{J}^\alpha}, \frac{\partial}{\partial_R J_\beta}, \frac{\partial}{\partial J_\phi} \right] \right\} Z_J^{(0)} \Big|_{J=0}. \quad (15.17)$$

The only subtlety here is again to ensure that minus signs that may emerge when taking fermionic derivatives are correctly taken into account.

The evaluation of Feynman diagrams follows now from the above results in close analogy with the evaluation of Feynman diagrams for bosonic fields. We close with the example of a one-loop diagram with a single external ϕ -line. It follows from extracting the contribution linear in g from $\exp[iS[\psi, \bar{\psi}, \phi]/\hbar]$ in the integrand of the functional integral,

$$\begin{aligned} \int \mathcal{D}\phi \mathcal{D}\psi \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} \phi(x) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S[\phi, \psi, \bar{\psi}]} &\rightarrow \int \mathcal{D}\phi \mathcal{D}\psi \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} \phi(x) \frac{ig}{\hbar} \int d^3y \phi(y) \bar{\psi}(y) \psi(y) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S_0[\phi, \psi, \bar{\psi}]} \\ &= \frac{ig}{\hbar} \frac{\partial}{\partial J_\phi(x)} \int d^3y \frac{\partial}{\partial J_\phi(y)} \frac{\partial}{\partial_R J_\alpha(y)} \frac{\partial}{\partial_L \bar{J}^\alpha(y)} Z_J^{(0)} \Big|_{J=0} \\ &= -\frac{ig}{\hbar} \int d^3y \Delta_\phi(x, y) \Delta_\alpha^\alpha(y, y). \end{aligned} \quad (15.18)$$

The diagram corresponding to this expression is depicted in Fig. 3. An noteworthy feature concerns the overall minus sign, which is caused by the fact that the order of the derivatives with respect to the fermionic sources is just the opposite from the order that appears in the definition (15.16) of the propagator. This is a systematic feature: every closed fermion loop will induce such a minus sign.

Let us work out the expression (15.18) in more detail. Inserting the expressions for the propagators, one readily obtains,

$$\text{diagram} = \frac{i\hbar g}{(2\pi)^6} \int d^3y d^3k d^3p \frac{e^{ik \cdot (x-y)} \text{tr}[i\not{p} + m]}{k^2 + \mu^2} \frac{1}{p^2 + m^2}$$

Figure 4: Diagrammatic representation of the one-loop fermionic self-energy discussed in problem 15.1.

$$= -\frac{\hbar g}{i(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\mu^2} \int d^3p \frac{2m}{p^2 + m^2}, \quad (15.19)$$

which is independent of x^μ .

The reader is encouraged to consider other diagrams as well. In problem 15.1 we will consider a fermionic self-energy diagrams shown in Fig. 4. In the second of these diagrams there is no closed fermion loop and therefore no extra minus sign emerges.

Needless to say, the evaluation of the Feynman diagrams would become rather laborious if one has to start all the time from the functional integral. Therefore one relies on the systematic Feynman rules for writing down the diagrams and their corresponding expressions.

Problems chapter 15

Problem 15.1 : A one-loop fermionic self-energy diagram. in $2 + 1$ dimensions

Consider the theory described by (15.7) and (15.10) and write down the contribution to the fermion propagator quadratic in g . The corresponding diagrams are shown in Fig. 4. The relevant expression in the functional integral follows from expanding the combined action to second order in g and reads,

$$\frac{1}{2} \int \mathcal{D}\phi \mathcal{D}\psi \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} \psi_\alpha(x) \bar{\psi}_\beta(y) \left(\frac{ig}{\hbar} \int d^3z \phi(z) \bar{\psi}(z) \psi(z) \right)^2 e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S_0[\phi, \psi, \bar{\psi}]} \quad (15.20)$$

Show that this expression leads to the two diagrams shown in Fig. 4 and evaluate the corresponding expressions.

Problem 15.2 : Real fermion fields in $2 + 1$ space-time dimensions

In $2 + 1$ dimensions one can also adopt gamma matrices that are real by identifying

$$\gamma^0 = i\sigma_2, \quad \gamma^1 = \sigma^1, \quad \gamma^2 = \sigma_3. \quad (15.21)$$

In that case the fermion field can be chosen pseudo-real. Such pseudo-real spinors are known as Majorana spinors.

- i) Reconsider problem 13.6, and write out the Dirac Lagrangian (15.7) in terms of the components of ψ and $\bar{\psi}$. Find the correct reality property on the spinors and the proper normalization of the action.
- ii) What does the reality condition imply for the decomposition (13.43)? Can you state the consequences of the pseudo-reality condition for the physical states?
- iii) Can you indicate the changes that are necessary in chapter 15 when dealing with Majorana fermions?

Problem 15.3 : A four-fermion vertex

Consider the four-fermion interaction term for Dirac (complex) fermion fields,

$$\mathcal{L}_I = g (\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi)^2. \quad (15.22)$$

Derive the amplitude in tree approximation for fermion scattering, $F(\vec{p}_1) + F(\vec{p}_2) \rightarrow F(\vec{p}_3) + F(\vec{p}_4)$, paying particular attention to the relative signs. Obviously one must have energy and momentum conservation. Write down the corresponding equations for this.

Problem 15.4 : Fermion masses in perturbation theory

Consider the following Lagrangian of a massive fermion field in four space-time dimensions coupled to some unspecified fields generically denoted by ϕ with coupling constant g ,

$$\mathcal{L} = -\bar{\psi} \not{\partial} \psi - m \bar{\psi} \psi + \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}(g, \bar{\psi}, \psi, \phi), \quad (15.23)$$

where \mathcal{L}_{int} describes the interactions. As usual $\not{\partial} = \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu$ with γ^μ the 4×4 gamma matrices satisfying $\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2\eta^{\mu\nu}$, where $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ denotes the space-time metric such that $\eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu \partial_\nu = -\partial_t^2 + \nabla^2$. The velocity of light has been set to $c = 1$.

- i) Derive the free fermion field equation for ψ , both in the coordinate and in the momentum representation.
- ii) Derive the propagator $\Delta_{\alpha\beta}(p)$ for the fermion field in momentum space (α, β are spinor indices). Consider the poles at $p^2 + m^2 = 0$, and deduce from them how many physical states the fermion has. To see this, you may go to the rest frame using that

$$\gamma^0 = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}. \quad (15.24)$$

When counting the states, take into account that the fermion field is complex. Explain in physical terms why your answer is to be expected.

Due to the (unspecified) interactions there are irreducible self-energy diagrams contributing to the propagator. These diagrams are at least proportional to g^2 and in this case constitute a 4×4 matrix, which we denote by $g^2 \Sigma_{\alpha\beta}(p)$. In principle, Σ may contain terms of even higher order in g . Lorentz invariance leads to the following decomposition,

$$\Sigma(p) = i \not{p} A(p^2) + B(p^2). \quad (15.25)$$

Note that the B -term is meant to be proportional to the unit matrix in the spinor space. The unit matrix is always suppressed in the literature.

- iii) Use the Dyson equation (which is now a matrix equation) to include the self-energy graphs and to obtain the full inverse propagator. The mass of the fermion states is then given by the zero eigenvalue of the inverse propagator. Therefore introduce a spinor $\Psi(p)$ which satisfies $\not{p}\Psi(p) = -iM\Psi(p)$ with M the physical mass and let the inverse propagator act on it. Write down the formula that determines the physical mass M .
- iv) Give the expression for M in leading order of perturbation theory. How many states does the fermion field describe?

Problem 15.5 : An anomaly in the path integral

Consider the following action for massless fermion fields interacting with a photon field in two dimensions,

$$S_{\text{fermion}}[\bar{\psi}, \psi, A] = \int d^2x \, i\bar{\psi}(\not{\partial} - i\not{A})\psi. \quad (15.26)$$

where $\not{\partial} = \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu$, and $\not{A} = \gamma^\mu A_\mu$. For technical reasons we consider the theory in two Euclidean dimensions so that $\mu = 1, 2$ and the 2×2 hermitean gamma matrices satisfy the anticommutation relation $\{\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu\} = 2\delta^{\mu\nu} \mathbf{1}$, where $\mathbf{1}$ denotes the identity matrix in the 2-dimensional spinor space of the fields ψ . Note that $\bar{\psi}$ and ψ will be considered as independent fields and are not related by complex conjugation.

In this problem we will be interested in evaluating the path integral,

$$\Delta(A) = \int d\mu(\bar{\psi}, \psi) e^{-S_{\text{fermion}}[\bar{\psi}, \psi, A]}, \quad (15.27)$$

where the path integral measure is defined by $d\mu = \prod_{x,\alpha,\beta} [\mathcal{D}\bar{\psi}_\alpha(x) \mathcal{D}\psi_\beta(x)]$, and where α and β denote spinor indices. We will not consider a path integral over the photon field. Note that $\Delta(A)$ is formally equal to the determinant of the differential operator $i\not{D} = i(\not{\partial} - i\not{A})$.

- i) Prove that the Lagrangian is invariant under gauge transformations, $\psi(x) \rightarrow \exp[i\Lambda(x)] \psi(x)$, $\bar{\psi}(x) \rightarrow \bar{\psi}(x) \exp[-i\Lambda(x)]$ and $A_\mu(x) \rightarrow A_\mu(x) + \partial_\mu \Lambda(x)$.
- ii) Define a matrix $\gamma^3 = i\gamma^1\gamma^2$. Prove that γ^3 is hermitean and that its square equals the unit matrix. Furthermore show that γ^3 anticommutes with the γ^μ . From identities such as $\gamma^1\gamma^3\gamma^1 = -\gamma^3$, prove that the γ^μ and γ^3 are traceless.
- iii) The Lagrangian is invariant under *constant* ‘chiral’ transformations,

$$\psi(x) \rightarrow e^{i\gamma^3\xi} \psi(x), \quad \bar{\psi}(x) \rightarrow \bar{\psi}(x) e^{i\gamma^3\xi}, \quad A_\mu \rightarrow A_\mu, \quad (15.28)$$

where ξ is an arbitrary constant parameter. Prove the invariance for infinitesimal transformations, $\delta\psi = i\xi\gamma^3\psi$, $\delta\bar{\psi} = i\xi\bar{\psi}\gamma^3$, and $\delta A_\mu = 0$.

Formally the path integral (15.27) is invariant under both gauge and chiral transformations because the measure $d\mu(\bar{\psi}, \psi)$ is invariant. To see this, we note that

$$\frac{\partial\psi'_\alpha(x)}{\partial\psi_\beta(y)} = \begin{cases} [1 + i\Lambda(x)]\delta_\alpha^\beta \delta^2(x-y) \\ [\delta_\alpha^\beta + i\xi(\gamma^3)_\alpha^\beta] \delta^2(x-y) \end{cases} \quad (15.29)$$

where ψ' denotes the field ψ subject to an infinitesimal gauge or chiral transformation.

- iv) Subsequently, consider the Jacobian associated with these transformations in first order of the parameters Λ and ξ . Prove for the gauge transformations that the field ψ contributes a factor $1 - 2i\Lambda$ for each point x^μ in space, to the Jacobian, whereas $\bar{\psi}$ contributes $1 + 2i\Lambda$.

Can you explain the minus sign in the second term?

Prove that the Jacobian is invariant under the gauge transformations. Subsequently, prove that the Jacobians associated with the chiral infinitesimal transformations cancel separately for ψ and $\bar{\psi}$.

To prove that, in reality, the functional integral is *not* invariant, we decompose the fermion fields in a basis of suitable eigenfunctions and integrate over them, in analogy to what was done in chapter 6.2 for the much simpler case of the harmonic oscillator. Here we base ourselves on two-component, *commuting* spinor functions, say φ and ϕ , with a gauge invariant inner product,

$$(\varphi, \phi) = \int d^2x \varphi^\dagger_\alpha(x) \phi_\alpha(x). \quad (15.30)$$

The operator $i\mathcal{D} = i(\not{\partial} - i\mathcal{A})$ is hermitean with respect to this inner product, so that $(\varphi, i\mathcal{D}\phi)^* = (\phi, i\mathcal{D}\varphi)$. Hence the operator $i\mathcal{D}$ must have real eigenvalues λ_n and we may introduce a complete orthonormal set of eigenfunctions, $\{\chi_n\}$ so that $(\chi_n, \chi_m) = \delta_{n,m}$ and

$$i\mathcal{D}\chi_n(x) = i(\not{\partial} - i\mathcal{A})\chi_n(x) = \lambda_n \chi_n(x). \quad (15.31)$$

Subsequently decompose the fields ψ and $\bar{\psi}$ according to

$$\psi(x) = \sum_n a_n \chi_n(x), \quad \bar{\psi}(x) = \sum_n \chi_n^\dagger(x) \bar{b}_n, \quad (15.32)$$

where the a_n and \bar{b}_n are independent *anticommuting* coefficients. Because of the completeness of $\{\chi_n\}$, any transformation of the fields $\bar{\psi}$ and ψ induces a corresponding transformation on the coefficients \bar{b}_n and a_n , respectively.

- v) To be as general as possible we also elevate the constant parameter ξ to a function $\xi(x)$. Prove that the infinitesimal gauge and chiral transformations can be written as (we suggest that you only work out the first and the last transformation below),

$$\begin{aligned} \delta a_n &= i \Lambda_{nm} a_m, & \delta a_n &= i \xi_{nm} a_m, \\ \delta \bar{b}_n &= -i \bar{b}_m \Lambda_{mn}, & \delta \bar{b}_n &= i \bar{b}_m \xi_{mn}, \end{aligned} \quad (15.33)$$

where Λ_{mn} and ξ_{mn} are infinite-dimensional hermitean matrices defined by (we leave the contraction over spin indices implicit),

$$\Lambda_{mn} = \int d^2x \Lambda(x) \chi_n^\dagger(x) \chi_m(x), \quad \xi_{mn} = \int d^2x \xi(x) \chi_n^\dagger(x) \gamma^3 \chi_m(x). \quad (15.34)$$

- vi) We may write the integration measure as

$$d\mu(\bar{\psi}, \psi) = \prod_n d\bar{b}_n da_n. \quad (15.35)$$

Argue that the measure is invariant under infinitesimal gauge transformations and prove that it changes under infinitesimal chiral transformations according to

$$d\mu \rightarrow (1 - 2i \sum_n \xi_{nn}) d\mu. \quad (15.36)$$

- vii) To evaluate the infinite sum, $\sum_n \xi_{nn}$, we introduce a regularization and write,

$$\sum_n \chi_n^\dagger(y) \gamma^3 \chi_n(x) = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_n \chi_n^\dagger(y) \gamma^3 \chi_n(x) e^{-(\lambda_n/M)^2} \right). \quad (15.37)$$

Subsequently, we have to integrate (15.37) with $\int d^2x d^2y \delta^2(x-y) \xi(x)$ to obtain the expression for $\sum_n \xi_{nn}$. These integrals are postponed till the end.

Prove that (15.37) can be written as

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \text{Tr} \left[\gamma^3 \exp[M^{-2}(\partial_\mu - iA_\mu(x))^2 - \frac{1}{2}M^{-2}\gamma^3 \varepsilon^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}(x)] \right] \delta^2(x-y), \quad (15.38)$$

where the trace is over the two-dimensional spinor space, $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ is the field strength, $\varepsilon^{\mu\nu}$ is the antisymmetric tensor, and we have used the completeness of the functions $\{\chi_n\}$. To derive this result, realize that covariant derivatives do *not* commute: $D_\mu D_\nu - D_\nu D_\mu \propto F_{\mu\nu}$.

viii) We can write the delta function as $\delta^2(x - y) = (4\pi^2)^{-1} \int d^2k \exp[ik \cdot (x - y)]$. Furthermore we should perform the x - and y -integrations as mentioned above. It can be shown that the only non-vanishing term in the limit $M \rightarrow \infty$, equals (you do not have to prove this),

$$\sum_n \xi_{nn} = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2 M^2} \int d^2x \xi(x) \varepsilon^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}(x) \int d^2k e^{-k^2/M^2} \quad (15.39)$$

Evaluate the final result by performing the k -integral. What is your conclusion? What is the effect of taking ξ constant?

16 Regularization and renormalization

The momentum integrals we have to perform in the calculation of Feynman diagrams are often divergent. We distinguish two kinds of divergences: ultraviolet divergences (UV), where the divergence comes from the behaviour at large integration momenta and infrared divergences (IR) caused by singular behaviour of the integrands at small momenta. The latter usually arise when the fields are massless. The UV divergences can be characterized by their so-called *superficial degree of divergence*. An integral of the type

$$\int d^d p \frac{p^\beta}{(p^2 + m^2)^\alpha}, \quad (16.1)$$

is called finite when $2\alpha - \beta > d$, logarithmically divergent when $2\alpha - \beta = d$, linearly divergent when $2\alpha - \beta = d - 1$, and so on.

In the presence of divergences we need a mathematical prescription to deal with the integrands and to perform algebraic manipulations on the Feynman diagrams. Such a prescription is called a regularization method. The method can usually be implemented by making certain modifications to the Lagrangian. We mention four methods.

1. *Higher-derivative regularization:*

We can introduce higher-derivative terms into the Lagrangian, for instance by introducing the term

$$\mathcal{L} = -\xi^{-1}(\square\phi)^2 \quad \text{in addition to} \quad \mathcal{L} = -(\partial_\mu\phi)^2$$

Then the propagators are modified according to

$$\frac{1}{q^2} \longrightarrow \frac{\xi}{q^2(q^2 + \xi)},$$

which makes most of the integrals finite. In the limit $\xi \rightarrow \infty$ these divergences reappear. In theories where an invariance principle relates the kinetic term to the interactions, such as a gauge theory or a so-called non-linear sigma model, this method usually renders the theory finite beyond but not at the one-loop level.

2. *Pauli-Villars regularization:*

In this regularization method we add massive regulator fields to the Lagrangian, some with the “wrong” metric and/or statistics. A field ϕ will usually be replaced in the interaction Lagrangian by a linear combination involving regulator fields ϕ_i ,

$$\phi \longrightarrow \phi + \sum_i \phi_i$$

The masses, metric and statistics of the regulator fields ϕ_i are chosen such that the sum of the diagrams containing both the original and the regulator fields become finite. The divergences reappear in the limit that the regulator masses are taken to infinity. To use this method we must choose the same momentum parametrization for corresponding diagrams that involve the original field and the regulator fields. Otherwise the result of this regularization may become ambiguous, due to the fact that one is not allowed to shift the integration variables in certain divergent integrals. In this method special care is required to preserve symmetries of the original theory.

3. *Analytic regularization:*

Here the propagators are changed by replacing $(p^2 + m^2)^{-1}$ by $(p^2 + m^2)^{-\lambda}$ with λ complex. The integrals are then defined by making an analytic continuation in λ . To preserve symmetries in this approach is often problematic.

4. *Dimensional regularization*

In most theories nothing refers specifically to the number d of space-time dimensions. The integrals are defined by an analytic continuation from a region in the parameter d where the integrals do exist. Divergences then emerge as poles at $d = 4$. The method is applicable to a large class of theories. It has problems in the presence of symmetries that explicitly depend on the dimension, e.g. chiral symmetry, supersymmetry, conformal symmetry. We refer to De Wit & Smith for an introduction to this method.

After having introduced a regulator scheme we can rigorously and unambiguously deal with the amplitudes. However, at the end of the calculation we wish to remove the regulators again, for instance, by letting the mass of the regulator fields go infinity (in Pauli-Villars) or by finally taking the limit $d \rightarrow 4$ (in dimensional regularization). In this way we still recover the original infinities, and our next task is to remove or absorb them in order to get finite physical quantities. The method for this is called *renormalization*: one absorbs the infinities of the theory in a well-defined manner into the original parameters of the theory. The renormalization procedure is described in chapter 7 of De Wit & Smith. The reader may be worried that different regularization methods yield different answers, but these (finite) differences can be consistently removed by finite renormalizations, so that at the end the results will coincide (at least in perturbation theory).

Here we give a more formal treatment of renormalization theory. We start with some definitions.

1. A *one-particle irreducible* graph (1PI) is a graph which cannot be divided into two disconnected pieces by cutting only one internal line.

2. *Superficial degree of divergence* (D_Γ) of a 1PI diagram Γ is the overall divergence that one naively extracts by counting powers of integration momenta. This is the leading power in λ if we make the replacement $p \longrightarrow \lambda p$ (external momenta are kept fixed). For one-loop diagrams it is the highest possible degree of divergence. Therefore for a one-loop diagram, being superficially finite implies that it is UV finite, but being superficially divergent does not imply that the actual expression is necessarily divergent. At higher loops a superficially finite integral may still diverge in certain domains of the integration region, for instance those domains that correspond to divergent subdiagrams. We will give an example of this in due course.

A 1PI diagram generally leads to an expression that involves a number of momentum integrals (one for each propagator), momentum-conserving delta functions (one for each vertex) and an integrand that consists of a product of propagators and vertices, i.e.,

$$\int d^d p \cdots \delta^d(p) \cdots \left(\frac{1}{p^2 + m^2} \cdots \right), \quad (16.2)$$

so that the number of integration variables equals the number of propagators I , the number of δ -functions equals the number of vertices V , and the last part between the curly brackets denotes the product of all propagators and vertices. Because we are dealing with a connected graph, all but one of the the δ -functions can be integrated out. The remaining one is a δ -function that only contains the external momenta, expressing energy-momentum conservation. Therefore the number of independent integration momenta is reduced by $V - 1$. This number is equal to the number of loops L . so that we have

$$L = I - V + 1. \quad (16.3)$$

Let us now distinguish different types of internal lines, corresponding to different types of fields ϕ_i . The number of internal lines (propagators) of type i in a given graph is denoted by I_i . The propagators are given by the diagonal terms in the Lagrangian quadratic in the fields. (For simplicity we assume that we either diagonalize the kinetic terms or treat off-diagonal terms as interactions). Because the term in the Lagrangian quadratic in the fields that contains the highest number of derivatives is conventionally not multiplied by a dimensional constant, it determines the dimension d_i of the field ϕ_i . Let us assume that the highest number of derivatives in the part of the Lagrangian quadratic in ϕ_i equals α_i , so that (schematically) we have a term $\mathcal{L}_0 \sim \phi_i \partial^{\alpha_i} \phi_i$ in the Lagrangian. As the action is dimensionless (in units where $\hbar = 1$) the Lagrangian has dimension $[\text{mass}]^d$. Therefore the dimension of ϕ_i is equal to

$$d_i = \frac{d - \alpha_i}{2}. \quad (16.4)$$

By defining the field dimension in this way the behaviour of the propagator for asymptotically large (Euclidean) momenta is governed by the dimension. The propagator associated with ϕ_i behaves as

$$\Delta_i(p) \sim \frac{1}{p^{\alpha_i}} = p^{2d_i-d}, \quad \text{for } p \rightarrow \infty. \quad (16.5)$$

Consider a few examples. For the Klein-Gordon Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2,$$

we have $\alpha = 2$. So $d_\phi = \frac{1}{2}(d-2)$ and the propagator behaves as p^{-2} at large p . For the Dirac Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = -\bar{\psi}(\not{\partial} + m)\psi,$$

we have $\alpha = 1$. So $d_\psi = \frac{1}{2}(d-1)$ and the propagator behaves as p^{-1} at large p . For the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2}(\square\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}m_1^2(\partial_\mu\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}m_2^2\phi^2,$$

we have $\alpha = 4$. So $d_\phi = \frac{1}{2}(d-4)$ and the propagator behaves as p^{-4} at large p .

Let us now consider the vertices. We label different types of vertices by α and denote the number of these vertices by V_α , such that the total number of vertices equals $V = \sum_\alpha V_\alpha$. With each type of vertex we associate a coupling constant g_α . Assuming that the vertex of type α contains n_i^α fields ϕ_i , then the dimension of the vertex is given by

$$\delta_\alpha = \sum_i n_i^\alpha d_i + \text{number of space-time derivatives}. \quad (16.6)$$

With the above definitions it is clear that a propagator gives rise to $2d_i - d$ and a vertex to $\delta_\alpha - \sum_i n_i^\alpha d_i$ momentum factors in the integrand. The number of momentum integrations is fixed by the number L of closed loops. Therefore the superficial degree of divergence of the diagram is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} D_\Gamma &= dL + \sum_\alpha V_\alpha \left(\delta_\alpha - \sum_i n_i^\alpha d_i \right) + \sum_i I_i (2d_i - d) \\ &= \left(\sum_i I_i - \sum_\alpha V_\alpha + 1 \right) d + \sum_\alpha V_\alpha \left(\delta_\alpha - \sum_i n_i^\alpha d_i \right) + \sum_i I_i (2d_i - d) \\ &= d + \sum_\alpha V_\alpha (\delta_\alpha - d) + \sum_i d_i \left(2I_i - \sum_\alpha V_\alpha n_i^\alpha \right). \end{aligned} \quad (16.7)$$

Subsequently we note that in a 1PI diagram the total number of fields ϕ_i emanating from the vertices must be equal to the sum of the number of endpoints of the internal lines and

one of the endpoints of the external lines associated with ϕ_i . Thus we obtain the equality

$$E_i + 2I_i = \sum_{\alpha} n_i^{\alpha} V_{\alpha}, \quad (16.8)$$

where E_i is the number of external lines associated with the field ϕ_i . Using this relation then leads to the result

$$D_{\Gamma} = d - \sum_{\alpha} V_{\alpha} (d - \delta_{\alpha}) - \sum_i E_i d_i. \quad (16.9)$$

This remarkably simple result expresses the superficial degree of divergence in terms of $d - \delta_{\alpha}$, the dimension of the coupling constant g_{α} , and the dimensions of the external fields (d_i). If $D_{\Gamma} \geq 0$ then the diagram Γ is called superficially divergent: $D_{\Gamma} = 0$ corresponds to a logarithmic divergence, $D_{\Gamma} = 1$ to a linear one, etc. For $D_{\Gamma} < 0$ the diagram Γ is called superficially finite.

Suppose now that the dimension of the interaction is not larger than d , i.e. $\delta \leq d$, so that the theory has no coupling constants of negative dimensions. In that case the maximal degree of divergence will not increase in higher orders of perturbation theory and depends only on the number of external lines. Theories that satisfy this condition are called: *renormalizable by power counting*. They are thus characterized by coupling constants with non-negative dimensions. Theories with coupling constants that are positive are called *superrenormalizable*, because in that case the degree of divergence will decrease with the number of interactions. On the other hand, in the presence of coupling constants of negative dimension (16.9) tells us that the superficial degree of divergence will grow with the number of interactions. Then short-distance behaviour becomes worse (i.e. more divergent) in higher orders of perturbation theory. A well-known example is gravity in four space-time dimensions, which is not renormalizable by power counting. The coupling constant is Newton's constant, which has negative dimension. A somewhat more subtle example is the Proca theory (see Problem 16.5).

Now that we can classify the graphs according to their superficial degree of divergence we can discuss the renormalization procedure. First we expand the superficially divergent 1PI graphs in a Taylor series in the external momenta. Such an expansion will be of the form

$$a + b_{\mu} p^{\mu} + c_{\mu\nu} p^{\mu} p^{\nu} + \dots \quad (16.10)$$

where the expansion coefficients now carry a superficial degree of divergence of $D_{\Gamma}, D_{\Gamma} - 1, D_{\Gamma} - 2$, etc. The expansion about zero momenta may be troublesome, in particular in the presence of massless particles, but this is a technical problem that we leave aside.

We are now in a position to state the *subtraction procedure* of Bogoliubov, which is defined in a perturbation theory as an iterative procedure.

1. Calculate in perturbation theory, until one encounters a 1PI diagram Γ , whose superficial degree of divergence, D_Γ , is larger than or equal to zero. We expand those diagrams in a Taylor series in terms of the external momenta as described above.
2. Add to the Lagrangian extra terms (counterterms) chosen to precisely cancel (to this order in perturbation theory) all the superficially divergent terms in the Taylor expansion. These counterterms have the structure of the original diagrams shrunk to a point and may contain a certain number of derivatives. Their dimension is given by

$$\delta_{ct} = \sum_i E_i d_i, \sum_i E_i d_i + 1, \dots, \sum_i E_i d_i + D_\Gamma. \quad (16.11)$$

3. Continue the calculation using the modified Lagrangian.

According to Hepp's theorem this procedure eliminates all divergences, not only the superficial ones.

To illustrate the renormalization procedure, let us once more consider the example of the ϕ^4 theory in four dimensions. We have only two classes of superficially divergent 1PI diagrams. For the selfenergy diagrams, which have $D_\Gamma = 2$, the first two terms in the Taylor expansion, $\Pi(p) = A + Bp^2$, are superficially divergent; the constant A is quadratically ($D_\Gamma = 2$), and the constant B logarithmically ($D_\Gamma = 0$) divergent. There is no three-point function. For the four-point function we have only a superficially logarithmically divergent constant C . Hence the counterterms take the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{ct} = -\frac{1}{2}A\phi^2 - \frac{1}{2}B(\partial_\mu\phi)^2 + \frac{1}{24}C\phi^4,$$

where A, B and C are expressed by power series in the coupling constant. However, these terms already occur in the original Lagrangian, so that we can simply absorb these terms into the original quantities, fields and coupling constants, of the theory,

$$\mathcal{L}_{total} = \mathcal{L} + \mathcal{L}_{ct} = -\frac{1}{2}(1 + B)(\partial_\mu\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(m^2 + A)\phi^2 - (\lambda - \frac{1}{24})C\phi^4.$$

Such theories are called *renormalizable*: the infinities can be absorbed into the original parameters, order by order in perturbation theory.

It is now clear that when a theory is not renormalizable by power counting, we have to introduce more and more different counterterms when going to higher orders in perturbation theory. Therefore such theories have no predictive power. They are called *non-renormalizable*. Also, such theories require additions to the Lagrangian that have more and more derivatives. This will have direct consequences for the unitarity and causality properties of the theory.

We close with some more definitions. A Lagrangian is called *strictly renormalizable* if it is renormalizable by power counting *and* it is the most general Lagrangian with interactions of dimension $\delta \leq d$. A Lagrangian that is not of the most general form can still be renormalizable because fewer counterterms are required than indicated by the general argument. This happens in the presence of a symmetry (or an approximate symmetry if the symmetry breaking is sufficiently “soft”).

In the presence of symmetries it must be shown that the regularization and renormalization preserves the symmetry. This is particularly difficult for non-linear symmetries. The transformation properties of the fields introduce new vertices, which are not present in the original Lagrangian. We have to allow counterterms for these vertices also which leads to a renormalization of the transformation properties, and verify that this is consistent with the renormalization of the Lagrangian. Such complications occur for instance in the non-linear $O(N)$ sigma model, in two-dimensional gravitation, for the BRST transformation in gauge symmetries and for certain supersymmetry models.

Problems chapter 16

Problem 16.1 : ϕ^3 Interactions in four space-time dimensions

Consider a ϕ^3 -interaction in four space-time dimensions. Write down the superficially divergent 1PI graphs. Consider the two-loop self-energy graphs, which are superficially finite. Show that some of these graphs are still infinite and that this divergence is related to certain subdiagrams. Do we need counterterms beyond two loops?

Problem 16.2 :

For the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2 - \lambda\phi^4,$$

verify that

$$d_\phi = \frac{1}{2}(d - 2).$$

The dimension of the ϕ^2 term is thus equal to $d - 2$, and the dimension of the ϕ^4 term equals $\delta = 2d - 4$. Because \mathcal{L} must have dimension d , the dimension of the coupling constant is $d - \delta_\alpha$, so that $\dim[m^2] = 2$ (as expected) and $\dim[\lambda] = 4 - d$. Show that $D_\Gamma = 4 - E$.

Problem 16.3 :

Consider interacting fermions ψ and scalars ϕ in d dimensions with the interaction Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_I \sim (\bar{\psi}\phi\psi)$. We know already that $d_\phi = \frac{1}{2}(d - 2)$, $d_\psi = \frac{1}{2}(d - 1)$, so that

$\delta = \frac{3}{2}d - 2$. Eq. (16.9) then gives

$$D_\Gamma = d + V\left(\frac{d}{2} - 2\right) - E_\psi \frac{d-1}{2} - E_\phi \frac{d-2}{2}.$$

Argue that in four dimensions the superficial degree of divergence depends only on the external lines. In four dimensions this theory needs also counterterms other than $(\bar{\psi}\phi\psi)$, namely proportional to ϕ , ϕ^3 and ϕ^4 , so it is not strictly renormalizable. In two dimensions the theory is renormalizable when we allow shifting the ϕ field by an infinite constant.

Problem 16.4 : ϕ^N interactions in two space-time dimensions

Show that for a $(\phi)^N$ theory in two dimensions the field dimension and the dimension of the interaction terms are zero. This gives

$$D_\Gamma = 2 - 2V.$$

Therefore the superficially divergent diagrams are those with only a single interaction vertex. Argue that the theory is not strictly renormalizable because the counterterms are of the form ϕ^{N-2} , ϕ^{N-4} , \dots . Consequently the theory

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\mu^2\phi^2 + \lambda_0\phi^N + \lambda_1\phi^{N-2} + \lambda_2\phi^{N-4} + \dots,$$

is strictly renormalizable. Can you say something about the possible renormalizability of the $SO(N)$ nonlinear sigma model in two dimensions, defined by the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{(\partial_\mu \vec{\phi})^2}{(1 + \lambda \vec{\phi}^2)^2}.$$

where $\vec{\phi}$ is an $(N-1)$ -dimensional vector of scalar fields $(\phi^1, \dots, \phi^{N-1})$. The above model is called the $SO(N)$ nonlinear sigma model because it is invariant under $SO(N)$.

Problem 16.5 : Massive vector fields

Argue that the following Lagrangian of a massive vector field is *not* renormalizable by power counting in four dimensions.

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}(\partial_\mu V_\nu - \partial_\nu V_\mu)^2 - \frac{1}{2}M^2 V_\mu^2 + ieV_\mu \bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi - \bar{\psi}(\not{\partial} + m)\psi.$$

Note that the dimension of the vector field is generically equal to 1, but since the longitudinal component carries no derivatives in \mathcal{L} , its dimension is equal to 2. Therefore the interaction of this field component to the fermions has $\delta = 5$. The form of the propagator,

$$\frac{\eta_{\mu\nu} + p_\mu p_\nu / M^2}{p^2 + M^2}$$

indeed behaves as $(p)^0$ for longitudinal components.

Problem 16.6 : Renormalizability of massive vector fields

Consider a vector field A_μ coupled to a real scalar field ϕ and a spinor field ψ in four space-time dimensions, described by the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}(\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu)^2 - \frac{M^2}{2q^2} \left| (\partial_\mu - iqA_\mu)e^{iq\phi/M} \right|^2 - \bar{\psi}(\not{\partial} - ig\not{A} + m)\psi.$$

- i) Show that the Lagrangian is invariant under the combined gauge transformations,

$$\begin{aligned} A_\mu &\rightarrow A_\mu + \partial_\mu \xi, \\ \phi &\rightarrow \phi + M\xi, \\ \psi &\rightarrow \exp[ig\xi]\psi, \end{aligned}$$

where $\xi(x)$ is an arbitrary function of space and time.

- ii) Collect all terms quadratic in the fields A_μ and ϕ . Argue that the inverse propagator takes the form of a 5×5 matrix and determine this matrix. Does the propagator exist? (Try to motivate the answer in two different ways: both on the basis of the explicit matrix and on the basis of a more general argument.)
- iii) Argue that $\phi = 0$ is an admissible gauge condition. Determine now the propagator for A_μ in this gauge. What are the physical bosonic states of given momentum described by the resulting Lagrangian? (Note: we do not ask for a detailed derivation.)
- iv) Is the theory renormalizable by power counting and why (not)? Write down the expression for the fermion self-energy diagram in the one-loop approximation (there is no contribution from tadpole diagrams, so one has only one diagram to consider) and determine the degree of divergence of the corresponding integral. What kind of counterterms do you expect to need in order to absorb the infinities of the integral? (Give qualitative arguments; do *not* calculate the integral or the coefficients of these counterterms.)
- v) We now choose another gauge condition by adding the following term to the Lagrangian,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{gauge-fixing}} = -\frac{1}{2}(\lambda \partial_\mu A^\mu - M\lambda^{-1}\phi)^2,$$

with λ an arbitrary parameter. Calculate again the propagators for A_μ and ϕ . What are in this case the physical bosonic states for given momentum described by the corresponding Lagrangian. Compare your result with your previous answer and give your comments.

- vi) In the last formulation, is the theory renormalizable by power counting and why (not)? Write down the expression for the fermion self-energy diagram in the one-loop approximation and determine again the degree of divergence of the integral. In this case, what are the counterterms that are needed in order to absorb the infinities?
- vii) Is the theory now fully renormalizable or not?
- viii) Determine the difference between the expressions for the fermion self-energy diagram in the two gauges on the mass shell, i.e. sandwiched between spinors that satisfy the Dirac equation $(i\not{p} + m)u = 0$ (this implies that $p^2 + m^2 = 0$). Did you expect this result and why (not)?

Problem 16.6 : Renormalizability of Yukawa couplings

Consider a field theory for a massive complex fermion field $\psi(x)$ and a scalar field $\phi(x)$ in $d = 4$ space-time dimensions. The free Lagrangian equals,

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = -\bar{\psi}(\not{\partial} + M)\psi - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2. \quad (16.12)$$

We consider two types of interactions between these fields,

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = g_1 \bar{\psi}\psi\phi, \quad \mathcal{L}_2 = g_2 \bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\psi\partial_\mu\phi. \quad (16.13)$$

- i) Write down the Feynman rules for the two theories given by $\mathcal{L}_0 + \mathcal{L}_1$ and $\mathcal{L}_0 + \mathcal{L}_2$. Explain what happens with the two Lagrangians when shifting the field ϕ with a constant.
- ii) Consider the one-loop diagrams contributing to the fermion self-energy and give the explicit expressions for the two theories. Are the diagrams divergent and, if so, indicate the counterterms that one needs to absorb all the divergencies.
- iii) What are the mass dimensions of the fields and the coupling constants in the two theories? Are these theories renormalizable by power counting and why (not)?
- iv) Which of these two theories are both renormalizable by power counting and strictly renormalizable? Explain your answer.
- v) Answer these last questions iii) and iv) again, but now for $d = 2$. Can you argue that the theory based on \mathcal{L}_1 is in fact super-renormalizable? **Hint:** consider carefully how possible counterterms can be absorbed into the parameters *and* into the fields of the initial Lagrangian.

17 Further reading

Here we list a number of textbooks on quantum field theory. In the lectures we have referred a number of times to and occasionally used text from:

- B. de Wit and J. Smith, *Field theory in particle physics* (Elsevier, 1986).

This book is aimed at particle physics and is intended for experimentalists and beginning theorists. There are other many books on quantum field theory and applications, at various levels. For the convenience of the reader we present a list below:

- A.A. Abrikosov, L.P. Gorkov and I.E. Dzyaloshinskii, *Quantum Field Theoretical Methods in Statistical Physics* (second edition, Pergamon, Oxford, 1965).
- I.J.R. Aitchison and A. Hey, *Gauge Theories in Particle Physics* (Adam Hilger, Bristol, 1982).
- D. Bailin and A. Love, *Introduction to Gauge Field Theory*, Adam Hilger, Bristol, 1986.
- R. Balian and J. Zinn-Justin, Jean (eds.), *Methods in Field Theory*, NorthHolland, Amsterdam, 1976. Proceedings of the 1975 Les Houches Summer School in Theoretical Physics.
- V. B. Berestetskii, E. M. Lifshitz and L.P. Pitaevskii, *Quantum Electrodynamics* (second edition, trans. J. B. Sykes and J. S. Bell), Pergamon, Oxford, 1982.
- J.D. Bjorken and S.D. Drell, *Relativistic Quantum Mechanics* (McGraw-Hill(New Vork, 1964).
- J.D. Bjorken and S.D. Drell, *Relativistic Quantum Fields* (McGraw-Hill, New Vork, 1965).
- D. Bleecker, *Gauge Theory and Variational Principles* (Addisoa-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1981).
- N.N. Bogoliubov and D.V. Shirkov, *Introduction to the Theory of Quantized Fields*, 3rd Ed. (Wiley, New Vork, 1979).
- N.N. Bogoliubov and D.V. Shirkov, *Quantum Fields* (Benjamin/Cummings, Reading, MA, 1983).

- T.-P. Cheng and L.-F. Li, Gauge Theory of Elementary Particle Physics (Oxford University Press, New York, 1984).
- G. Parisi, Statistical Field Theory, Benjamin/Cummings, 1988.
- A.L. Fetter and J.D. Walecka, Quantum Theory of Many Particle Systems, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1971.
- K. Huang, Quarks, Leptons and Gauge Fields (World Scientific, Singapore, 1981).
- C. Itzykson and J.-B. Zuber, Quantum Field Theory (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1980).
- J.M. Jauch and F. Rohrlich, The Theory of Photons and Electrons (second edition), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976.
- M. Kaku, Quantum Field Theory: A Modern Introduction, Oxford University Press, New York, 1993.
- G. Källén, Elementary Particle Physics (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1964).
- L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, The Classical Theory of Fields (fourth revised English edition, trans. Morton Hamermesh), (Pergamon, Oxford, 1975).
- Ma, Shang-Keng, Modern Theory of Critical Phenomena, Benjamin/Cummings, 1976.
- F. Mandl and G. Shaw, Quantum Field Theory (Wiley, New York, 1984).
- C. Nash, Relativistic Quantum Fields (Academic, New York, 1978).
- C. Quigg, Gauge Theories of the Strong, Weak and Electromagnetic Interactions (Benjamin/Cummings, Reading, MA, 1983).
- Pierre Ramond, Field Theory: A Modern Primer (second edition), Addison Wesley, Redwood City, California, 1989.
- L.H. Ryder, Quantum Field Theory (Cambridge University Press, 1985).
- J. Sakurai, Invariance Principles and Elementary Particles (Princeton University Press, 1964).
- J. Sakurai, Advanced Quantum Mechanics (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1973).
- F. Scheck, Leptons, Hadrons and Nuclei (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983).

- W Siegel, Fields, pdf file available from <http://insti.physics.susysb.edu/siegel/plan.html>.
- H.E. Stanley, Introduction to Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1971.
- S.S. Schweber, QED and the Men Who Made It: Dyson, Feynman, Schwinger, and Tomonaga, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1994.
- J. Schwinger, Particles and Sources (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1969).
- J. Schwinger, Particles, Sources and Fields, Vol. I (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1970).
- G. Sterman, Introduction to Quantum Field Theory, (Cambridge University Press, 1993).
- J.C. Taylor, Gauge Theories of Weak Interactions (Cambridge University Press, 1976).
- F.J. Ynduráin, Quantum Chromodynamics, An Introduction to the Theory of Quarks and Gluons (Springer, New York, 1983).
- A. Zee, Field Theory in a Nutshell, (Princeton University Press).
- J. Zinn-Justin, Quantum Field Theory and Critical Phenomena (second edition, Oxford University Press, 1993).