

THE HITCHIN FIBRATION

Seminar talk based on part of Ngô Bao Châu's preprint: *Le lemme fondamental pour les algèbres de Lie* [2].

Here X is a smooth connected projective curve over a field k whose genus is denoted g . We also fix an invertible sheaf D over X . We shall eventually assume that $\deg D > 2g$ and that D is 2-divisible in $\text{Pic}(X)$.

Whenever is at issue a reductive k -group, we shall assume that the characteristic of k does not divide the order of its Weyl group.

1. THE BNR CORRESPONDENCE

Let be given $\mathbf{a} = (a_i \in H^0(X, D^i))_{i=1}^r$. This defines a curve $X_{\mathbf{a}}$ in the total space $\text{Tot}(D)$ of D as the set of $t \in \text{Tot}(D)$ obeying $t^r - a_1 t^{r-1} + \dots + (-1)^r a_r = 0$. The more precise way of giving $X_{\mathbf{a}}$ as defined by a principal ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Tot}(D)}$ is as follows: let $u \in H^0(U, D^{-1})$ be a local generator of D^{-1} on an affine open subset $U \subset X$ so that the coordinate ring of $\text{Tot}(D|U)$ is $\mathcal{O}(U)[u]$. If $\bar{a}_i \in \mathcal{O}(U)$ is the value of a_i on u^i , then $X_{\mathbf{a}} \cap \text{Tot}(D|U)$ is defined by the ideal generated by $u^r - \bar{a}_1 u^{r-1} + \dots + (-1)^r \bar{a}_r$. We shall assume that $X_{\mathbf{a}}$ is integral (i.e., reduced and irreducible). We denote the projection $\text{Tot}(D) \rightarrow D$ by π and its restriction to $X_{\mathbf{a}}$ by $\pi_{\mathbf{a}}$. The latter has degree r .

Suppose L is a torsion free sheaf of rank one on $X_{\mathbf{a}}$. Then $\pi_{\mathbf{a}*}L$ is torsion free on X of rank r . Since X is smooth, this means that $\pi_{\mathbf{a}*}L$ is locally free: it is a vector bundle of rank r . Its degree can be computed with the help of Riemann-Roch:

$$r\chi(X, \mathcal{O}_X) + \deg(\pi_{X_{\mathbf{a}}*}L) = \chi(X, \pi_{X_{\mathbf{a}}*}) = \chi(X_{\mathbf{a}}, L) = \chi(X_{\mathbf{a}}, \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbf{a}}}) + \deg L$$

shows that $\deg(\pi_{X_{\mathbf{a}}*}L) - \deg L$ is independent of L and hence (take $L = \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbf{a}}}$) equal to $\deg(\pi_{X_{\mathbf{a}}*}\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbf{a}}})$. This vector bundle clearly comes with the structure of a module over the \mathcal{O}_X -algebra $\pi_{\mathbf{a}*}\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbf{a}}}$. There is however a bit more to say here. Let us first note that the bundle π^*D over $\text{Tot}(D)$ comes with a tautological section. Denote by $\tau_{\mathbf{a}} \in H^0(X_{\mathbf{a}}, \pi_{\mathbf{a}}^*D)$ the restriction of this section to $X_{\mathbf{a}}$. Then $\ell \in L \mapsto \tau_{\mathbf{a}} \otimes \ell \in \pi_{\mathbf{a}}^*D \otimes L$ is $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbf{a}}}$ -homomorphism whose direct image under $\pi_{\mathbf{a}}$ yields a $\pi_{\mathbf{a}*}\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathbf{a}}}$ -homomorphism

$$\phi : \pi_{\mathbf{a}*}L \rightarrow D \otimes \pi_{\mathbf{a}*}L.$$

We can recover from ϕ the curve $X_{\mathbf{a}} \subset \text{Tot}(D)$ (or rather a general point of that curve) as its *spectral curve*: a local section σ of D (in the étale topology) defines a point of $X_{\mathbf{a}}$ precisely if it is an eigensection of ϕ in the sense that there exists a local section $v \neq 0$ of $\pi_{\mathbf{a}*}L$ such that $\phi(v) = \sigma \otimes v$.

We can now state:

Theorem 1.1 (Beauville-Narasimhan-Ramanan [1] 1989). *The map which assigns to a torsion free sheaf of rank one L on X_α the pair $(\pi_{\alpha*}L, \phi)$ defines a bijection between the set of isomorphism classes of torsion free sheaves of rank one on X_α and the isomorphism classes of pairs (V, ϕ) , where V is a rank r vector bundle on X and $\phi : V \rightarrow D \otimes V$ is a \mathcal{O}_X -homomorphism for which X_α is its spectral curve.*

The set of isomorphism classes of torsion free rank one sheaves on X_α is parameterized by a scheme which contains the Picard variety $\text{Pic}(X_\alpha)$ of X_α (which parameterizes the isomorphism classes of invertible rank one sheaves on X_α) as an open subset. It is also dense (because X_α has only plane curve singularities) and therefore denoted by $\overline{\text{Pic}}(X_\alpha)$. The group structure on $\text{Pic}(X_\alpha)$ extends to an action of $\text{Pic}(X_\alpha)$ on $\overline{\text{Pic}}(X_\alpha)$. We transport this scheme structure to the other side of the BNR correspondence, so that we obtain a moduli space of pairs (V, ϕ) with spectral curve X_α and an action of $\text{Pic}(X_\alpha)$ on that space.

Passage to the principal bundle. The generalization we have in mind requires us to express the foregoing as much as possible in terms of principal bundles. If V is a rank r vector bundle on X , then the associated principal bundle E/X is the bundle of local trivializations of V : a section of E over $U \subset X$ is an isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_U^r \cong V|_U$. It is clear that GL_r acts on the right of E and makes it a torsor of GL_r over X . At the same time the group scheme $\text{Aut}_X(V)/X$ acts on the left and commutes with the GL_r -action: $\text{Aut}_X(V)$ preserves the torsor structure on E . It is in fact easy to see that this identifies $\text{Aut}_X(V)$ with $\text{Aut}_X(E)$. The Lie algebra scheme of $\text{Aut}_X(V) = \text{Aut}_X(E)$ is simply $\text{End}_X(V)$. This is also the so-called adjoint bundle $\text{Ad}(E) = E \times^{\text{GL}_r} \mathfrak{gl}_r$ associated to E .

Twisting with torsors. In the preceding situation, let J_α/X denote the group scheme over X given by the units in the sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -algebras $\pi_{\alpha*}\mathcal{O}_{X_\alpha}$. This group scheme is abelian and is in the generic point a rank r torus. Its Lie algebra scheme $\text{Lie}(J_\alpha)$ is the vector bundle underlying $\pi_{\alpha*}\mathcal{O}_{X_\alpha}$.

Now suppose V arises from the preceding construction: $V = \pi_{\alpha*}L$ for some L on X_α . Then J_α acts on E : we have a homomorphism of group schemes over X , $J_\alpha \rightarrow \text{Aut}_X(E)$. (This might be thought of as a reduction of the structural group of V from GL_r to a torus, at least over a generic point of X in the étale topology.) On the Lie algebra level this yields the $\pi_{\alpha*}\mathcal{O}_{X_\alpha}$ -module structure on V . The group scheme J_α acts on $\text{Ad}(E) = \text{End}_X(V)$ by conjugation. The $\pi_{\alpha*}\mathcal{O}_{X_\alpha}$ -linearity of $\phi : V \rightarrow D \otimes V$ can now be expressed by saying that ϕ is J_α -invariant, when viewed as section of $D \otimes \text{Ad}(E)$. This, in turn, allows us to express the $\text{Pic}(X_\alpha)$ action on pairs (E, ϕ) in a manner that does not directly involve the spectral curve X_α . To see this, let us begin with the remark that we are given a \mathbb{G}_m -torsor on X_α (which essentially amounts to giving an invertible sheaf on X_α), then its direct image under π_α has the structure of a J_α -torsor. Since J_α is abelian, the isomorphism classes

of J_α -torsors form an abelian group $\text{Pic}(J_\alpha/X)$: the difference $[H] - [H']$ of two such is represented by $\text{Iso}_{J_\alpha}(H', H)$, the local J_α -isomorphisms from H' to H (which is indeed a J_α -torsor). We can now ‘twist’ the pair (E, ϕ) with a J_α -torsor H to obtain another pair (E_H, ϕ_H) as follows: we let $E_H := H \times^{J_\alpha} E$. This is indeed a GL_r -torsor because this construction on the left of E does not affect the right action of GL_r . Since J_α is abelian, it still acts on E_H . We have $D \otimes \text{Ad}(E_H) = H \times^{J_\alpha} (D \otimes \text{Ad}(E))$. Since ϕ is a J_α -invariant section of $D \otimes \text{Ad}(E)$, it determines a unique section ϕ_H of $D \otimes \text{Ad}(E_H)$. It is invariant under the J_α -action. We thus have defined an action of $\text{Pic}(J_\alpha/X)$ on the set of isomorphism classes of pairs (E, ϕ) .

2. INTERMEZZO: THE HITCHIN FIBRATION

We fix an invertible sheaf δ over X and consider the moduli stack $\text{SL}_r(X, \delta)$ of rank r vector bundles V on X endowed with an isomorphism $\det V \cong \delta$. The vector bundles V which have no endomorphisms other than the scalars define an open substack $\text{SL}_r(X, \delta)^\circ \subset \text{SL}_r(X, \delta)$. It is smooth of dimension $(g-1)(n^2-1)$: if V represents a point of it, then the tangent space of that point can be identified with $H^1(X, \text{End}^\circ(V))$, where $\text{End}^\circ(V)$ stands for the \mathcal{O}_X -Lie algebra of traceless endomorphisms (which by Riemann-Roch has indeed dimension $(g-1)(n^2-1)$). Hence, Serre duality identifies the cotangent space $T_{[V]}^* \text{SL}_r(X, \delta)$ with $H^0(X, \omega_X \otimes \text{End}^\circ(V))$. Therefore, a covector $\phi \in T_{[V]}^* \text{SL}_r(X, \delta)$ is the same thing as a *Higgs field*, i.e., a section of $\omega_X \otimes \text{End}^\circ(V)$. The coefficients of the characteristic polynomial of such a Higgs field ϕ yield $\alpha_i(\phi) \in H^0(X, \omega^i)$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, but since ϕ has zero trace, $\alpha_1(\phi) = 0$. We thus have defined a map

$$T^* \text{SL}_r(X, \delta)^\circ \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=2}^r H^0(X, \omega^i).$$

With the help of Riemann-Roch, one finds that the right hand side has the same dimension as $\text{SL}_r(X, \delta)^\circ$, namely $(g-1)(n^2-1)$. Now recall that a cotangent bundle of manifold comes with natural symplectic structure.

Theorem 2.1 (Hitchin [3] 1987). *The map $T^* \text{SL}_r(X, \delta)^\circ \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=2}^r H^0(X, \omega^i)$ is a morphism which defines a complete integrable system (in the algebraic setting): the fiber over a general $\alpha \in \bigoplus_{i=2}^r H^0(X, \omega^i)$ is Lagrangian and of the same dimension as $\text{SL}_r(X, \delta)^\circ$. Moreover, the resulting Hamiltonian action on that fiber factors through the Prym variety of the spectral cover $X_\alpha \rightarrow X$.*

We could have instead considered the moduli stack $\text{GL}_r(X)$ of *all* rank r vector bundles V on X . Then a similar result holds. The difference between the two cases resides in the multiplicative group \mathbb{G}_m and indeed, we have a corresponding result in that case, although it is not so exciting: the moduli space of \mathbb{G}_m -torsors on X is $\text{Pic}(X)$, $T^* \text{Pic}(X)$ is naturally identified with $\text{Pic}(X) \times H^0(X, \omega_X)$ with the corresponding Lagrangian map being simply the projection on the second factor.

3. THE ADJOINT QUOTIENT AND THE REGULAR CENTRALIZER

We fix a reductive connected smooth k -group \mathbb{G} . We also fix a maximal torus $\mathbb{T} \subset \mathbb{G}$ and denote by r its rank. The normalizer of \mathbb{T} in \mathbb{G} acts on \mathbb{T} through the Weyl group \mathbb{W} . Recall that we suppose that the order of \mathbb{W} is invertible in k . We denote Lie algebra's of \mathbb{G} and \mathbb{T} by \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{t} respectively.

Let us also fix a Borel subgroup $\mathbb{B} \subset \mathbb{G}$ containing \mathbb{T} . Any pair in \mathbb{G} consisting of a maximal torus and a Borel group containing that torus is conjugate to (\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{B}) . On the other hand any inner automorphism of \mathbb{G} which fixes the pair (\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{B}) is trivial. This means that the outer automorphism group of \mathbb{G} , $\text{Out}(\mathbb{G})$, is represented as the group of automorphisms of \mathbb{G} that leave invariant (\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{B}) . The group $\text{Out}(\mathbb{G})$ is known to be discrete.

The adjoint quotient. According to Chevalley, the algebra of invariants $k[\mathfrak{g}]^{\mathbb{G}}$ is a polynomial algebra admitting r homogenous generators χ_1, \dots, χ_r . If we denote their degrees e_1, \dots, e_r , then $\sum_i e_i = \frac{1}{2}(\dim \mathbb{G} + r)$. We refer to $\text{Spec } k[\mathfrak{g}]^{\mathbb{G}}$ as the *adjoint quotient* of \mathfrak{g} and denote it by \mathfrak{c} . So \mathfrak{c} is an affine space for which (χ_1, \dots, χ_r) is a coordinate system. We denote the obvious morphism $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}$ by χ . It is surjective. The restriction of χ to \mathfrak{t} amounts to taking the quotient by the Weyl group and indeed, this identifies \mathfrak{c} with $\mathbb{W} \backslash \mathfrak{t}$. The discriminant of $\mathfrak{t} \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}$ is denoted $\text{Disc}(\mathfrak{c}/\mathfrak{t})$. Let us point out that \mathfrak{c} is not in general in a natural way a vector space. It does however come with a \mathbb{G}_m -action inherited from scalar multiplication in \mathfrak{g} (and whose weights are e_1, \dots, e_r).

The Kostant section. This is a specific section of the morphism $\chi : \mathfrak{t} \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}$. In the case of GL_r it is a map that finds for every monic polynomial $t^r - a_1 t^{r-1} + \dots + (-1)^r a_r$ of degree r a matrix T having this as a characteristic polynomial: we let T be multiplication by t in $k[t]/(t^r - a_1 t^{r-1} + \dots + (-1)^r a_r)$ and use as basis the residue classes of t^{i-1} , $i = 1, \dots, r$. This section is \mathbb{G}_m -equivariant: if we replace T by λT , then we might use as basis the residue classes of $(\lambda t)^{i-1} = (\lambda T)^{i-1} 1$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, to see that the characteristic polynomial of this transformation is $t^r + (-\lambda) a_1 t^{r-1} + \dots + (-\lambda)^r a_r$. In general, a Kostant section is obtained by choosing a principal \mathfrak{sl}_2 -triple (e, f, h) in \mathfrak{g} : if P denotes kernel of $\text{ad}(f)$ (the space of primitive elements of \mathfrak{g} as a \mathfrak{sl}_2 -representation if you like), then the affine space $e + P$ maps isomorphically onto the adjoint quotient, hence defines a section. If $p \in P$ and $\lambda \in k^\times$, then $\exp(-\lambda h) \in \mathbb{G}$ maps $\lambda^2(e + p)$ to $e + \exp(-\lambda h)\lambda^2 p$.

In other cases, the Kostant section may be homogeneous of degree two.

The regular centralizer. Recall that an element of $z \in \mathfrak{g}$ is said to be *regular* if its isotropy group \mathbb{G}_z in \mathbb{G} has the minimal dimension r . It is known that \mathbb{G}_z is abelian and that the regular elements in any fiber $\chi^{-1}(a)$ make up a single \mathbb{G} -orbit and that this orbit is open-dense in $\chi^{-1}(a)$. This allows us to define an abelian group scheme \mathbb{J} over \mathfrak{g} : a section of \mathbb{J} over $U \subset \mathfrak{c}$

is a morphism $j : \chi^{-1}\mathcal{U} \cap \mathfrak{g}^{\text{reg}} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ with the property that $j(z) \in \mathbb{G}_z$ and $j(\text{Ad}(g)(z)) = gj(z)g^{-1}$ for all $z \in \chi^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$ and $g \in \mathbb{G}$. So if $\mathbb{I}/\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathbb{G} \times \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}$ is the scheme of \mathbb{G} -isotropy groups, then the pull-back of \mathbb{J} over $\mathfrak{g}^{\text{reg}}$ is naturally identified with $\mathbb{I}|_{\mathfrak{g}^{\text{reg}}}$. Since \mathbb{I} is closed in $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathbb{G}$, it is affine and from the fact that $\mathfrak{g} - \mathfrak{g}^{\text{reg}}$ is of codimension ≥ 3 , it then follows that the morphism $\chi^*\mathbb{J}|_{\mathfrak{g}^{\text{reg}}} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$ extends to $\chi^*\mathbb{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}$. To sum up:

Proposition-definition 3.1. *We have defined over the adjoint quotient \mathfrak{c} of \mathbb{G} an abelian group scheme \mathbb{J} characterized by the property that a section of \mathbb{J} over $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathfrak{c}$ is a morphism $j : \chi^{-1}\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ such that $j(z) \in \mathbb{G}_z$ and $j(\text{Ad}(g)(z)) = gj(z)g^{-1}$ for all $z \in \chi^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$ and $g \in \mathbb{G}$.*

The image of $\mathfrak{t}^{\text{reg}}$ in \mathfrak{c} is just $\mathfrak{c} - \text{Disc}(\mathfrak{c}/\mathfrak{t})$. Since the elements of $\mathfrak{t}^{\text{reg}}$ have \mathbb{T} as their common stabilizer in \mathbb{G} , it follows that $\mathbb{J}|_{\mathfrak{c} - \text{Disc}(\mathfrak{c}/\mathfrak{t})}$ can also be obtained as $\mathbb{T} \times^{\mathbb{W}} \mathfrak{t}^{\text{reg}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{c} - \text{Disc}(\mathfrak{c}/\mathfrak{t})$. There is a corresponding description of the Lie algebra scheme $\text{Lie}(\mathbb{J})$ over all of \mathfrak{c} :

$$\text{Lie}(\mathbb{J}) = (\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{t}} \otimes \mathfrak{t}))^{\mathbb{W}}.$$

Observe that the outer automorphism group $\text{Out}(\mathbb{G})$ naturally acts on \mathfrak{c} and the group scheme \mathbb{J} over it.

4. THE HITCHIN SPACE

We fix a group scheme G over X that is a *quasi-split* form of \mathbb{G} : G is locally trivial for the étale topology with fiber \mathbb{G} . One way to obtain such a form of \mathbb{G} over X is to choose a finite subgroup $\mathbb{D} \subset \text{Out}(\mathbb{G})$ and a connected étale \mathbb{D} -covering $\hat{X} \rightarrow X$. If we identify \mathbb{D} with a group of automorphisms of \mathbb{G} which fix (\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{B}) , then $G := \mathbb{G} \times^{\mathbb{D}} \hat{X}$ is of that type. Conversely, any such G is so obtained: the local automorphisms of G/X define a scheme $\text{Aut}_X(G)$ that is étale over X and although not necessarily of finite type (when \mathbb{G} is a torus a closed fiber is the automorphism group of the character group of a torus), the identity component $\text{Aut}_X(G)^0$ of its total space is. The Stein factorization of $\text{Aut}_X(G)^0 \rightarrow X$ has as an intermediate factor a connected étale Galois covering $\hat{X} \rightarrow X$, whose Galois group can be identified with a finite subgroup of $\text{Out}(\mathbb{G})$. The two constructions are easily seen to give each others inverse up to isomorphism.

As long as a \mathbb{D} -equivariant construction on the (split) group scheme \mathbb{G}_X yields a corresponding result for G , there is no loss in generality in assuming that we are in the split case. That is why we assume in the rest of this note that $G = \mathbb{G} \times X \rightarrow X$.

Relative adjoint quotient and regular centralizer. We introduce for G twisted relative versions of the absolute case: we have the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G defined as $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ and likewise $\mathfrak{t} = \mathcal{O}_X \otimes \mathfrak{t}$. We also have defined a sheaf with \mathbb{G}_m -action \mathfrak{c} over X whose sections over $\mathcal{U} \subset X$ are the morphisms $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}$. We put $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{D}} := \mathbb{D} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{D}} := \mathbb{D} \otimes \mathfrak{t}$ and $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathbb{D}} := \mathbb{D} \times^{\mathbb{G}_m} \mathfrak{c}$ (to be understood as that the sections of $\mathfrak{c}_{\mathbb{D}}$ over $\mathcal{U} \subset X$ are the \mathbb{G}_m -equivariant

morphisms $\text{Tot}(D^{-1}|U) \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}$. The latter is not an abelian sheaf, but observe that the basic characters χ_1, \dots, χ_r identify \mathfrak{c}_D with the \mathcal{O}_X -module $\bigoplus_{i=1}^r D^{e_i}$. In particular, its set of global sections can be identified with $\bigoplus_{i=1}^r H^0(X, D^i)$. Although there is no obvious vector space structure on this set of sections, it is more than just a set, for the underlying structure of an affine variety with \mathbb{G}_m -action is naturally defined; we shall denote it by \mathcal{A} . We have a natural sheaf morphism $\mathfrak{g}_D \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}_D$, whose restriction to \mathfrak{t}_D is passing to the W -orbit sheaf. Over $\text{Tot}(\mathfrak{c}_D)$ we have defined an abelian scheme J_D , the analogue of the regular centralizer.

G-torsors and how to twist them. Let E/X be a G -torsor (which in the case that we consider here is the same thing as a G -principal bundle over X). Then $\text{Aut}_X^0(E)$ has a Lie algebra scheme over X that is also obtained from smashing E with the adjoint representation of G and hence is denoted $\text{Ad}(E)$. There is a natural sheaf morphism $\text{Ad}(E) \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}$. We will be mostly concerned with $D \otimes \text{Ad}(E)$, for which we have a natural sheaf morphism $\chi_E : D \otimes \text{Ad}(E) \rightarrow \mathfrak{c}_D$.

Any $\phi \in H^0(X, D \otimes \text{Ad}(E))$ can be composed with $\chi_{E,D}$ to produce a section of \mathfrak{c}_D : $\chi_E \phi \in H^0(X, \mathfrak{c}_D) = \mathcal{A}$. Write \mathfrak{a} for this element and regard it as a morphism $\mathfrak{a} : X \rightarrow \text{Tot}(\mathfrak{c}_D)$. We denote by $J_{\mathfrak{a}}/X$ the pull-back of J along \mathfrak{a} . This is now an abelian scheme over X . The characterizing property of J shows that we also have a homomorphism of group schemes $J_{\mathfrak{a}} \rightarrow \text{Aut}_X^0(E)$ whose induced action in $D \otimes \text{Ad}(E)$ fixes ϕ . (If the image of ϕ contains a regular element, then it is easy to see that $J_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is in fact the stabilizer of ϕ in $\text{Aut}_X^0(E)$.)

Now let H/X be a $J_{\mathfrak{a}}$ -torsor. Then we can twist the pair (E, ϕ) in much the same way as we did for GL_r : we put $E_H := H \times^{J_{\mathfrak{a}}} E$ and ϕ_H is the section of $D \otimes \text{Ad}(E_H) = H \times^{J_{\mathfrak{a}}} (D \otimes \text{Ad}(E))$ that is locally represented by (h, ϕ) , where $h \in H$ is arbitrary. The associated section $\chi_{E_H}(\phi_H)$ of \mathfrak{c}_D coincides with $\chi_E(\phi) = \mathfrak{a}$. In particular, $J_{\mathfrak{a}}$ acts on E_H and stabilizes ϕ_H . Most of this is summed up by:

Proposition-definition 4.1. *The Hitchin space of G (relative to D) is the moduli stack of Hitchin pairs (E, ϕ) as above: here E is a G -torsor and ϕ a section of $D \otimes \text{Ad}(E)$. We denote it by \mathcal{M} . We have a natural morphism (the Hitchin morphism)*

$$\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} = H^0(X, \mathfrak{c}_D), \quad (E, \phi) \mapsto \chi_E(\phi).$$

The evaluation map $\text{ev} : X \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Tot}(\mathfrak{c}_D)$ is smooth and surjective. If $J_{\mathcal{A}}$ denotes the pull-back of J_D over $X \times \mathcal{A}$, then for each $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{A}(\bar{k})$, $J_{\mathfrak{a}}$ acts on any Hitchin pair representing a point of the fiber $\mathcal{M}_{\mathfrak{a}}$. And if $\pi_{\mathcal{A}} : X \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ denotes the projection, then the relative Picard scheme $\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{A} = \text{Pic}(J_{\mathcal{A}}/\mathcal{A})$ acts naturally on the \mathcal{A} -scheme \mathcal{M} .

Proof. The only assertion not yet discussed is that $\text{ev} : X \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{Tot}(\mathfrak{c}_D)$ is smooth and surjective. This however follows from the fact that $\text{Tot}(\mathfrak{c}_D)$ is

the total space of a direct sum of very ample line bundles over X , having \mathcal{A} as its space of sections. \square

Let $\mathcal{M}^{\text{reg}} \subset \mathcal{M}$ represent the set of Hitchin pairs (E, ϕ) for which ϕ takes values in the regular elements of $D \otimes \text{Ad}(E)$ (this makes sense because the set of regular element in \mathfrak{g} is invariant under scalar multiplication). This is clearly an open subset of \mathcal{M} . The following proposition generalizes the BDR-correspondence.

Proposition 4.2. *The open subset \mathcal{M}^{reg} maps onto \mathcal{A} and is a torsor of the relative Picard scheme \mathcal{P}/\mathcal{A} .*

Sketch of proof. The surjectivity of $\mathcal{M}^{\text{reg}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is established by means of a Kostant section.

In order to prove the second assertion, let us begin with making the following observation. Let $\mathbb{I} \subset \mathbb{G}$ be the centralizer of a regular element of \mathfrak{g} . We know that \mathbb{I} is then a connected abelian group of dimension r . We regard \mathbb{G} as a homogeneous space over $\mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{G}$ with \mathbb{G} acting by right translations and \mathbb{I} by left translations. This makes \mathbb{I} an automorphism group of \mathbb{G} , when the latter is regarded as a torsor over itself. If \mathbb{E} is a variety with $\mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{G}$ -action isomorphic to \mathbb{G} , then two $\mathbb{I} \times \mathbb{G}$ -equivariant isomorphisms $\mathbb{G} \cong \mathbb{E}$ will differ by an automorphism of \mathbb{G} which centralizes \mathbb{I} , hence is contained in \mathbb{I} in $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{G})$. In other words, the set of such isomorphisms is a \mathbb{I} -torsor.

Now let (E, ϕ) and (E', ϕ') be Hitchin pairs representing points of \mathcal{M}^{reg} over the same point α of \mathcal{A} . Then we have morphisms $J_\alpha \rightarrow \text{Aut}(E, \phi)$ and $J_\alpha \rightarrow \text{Aut}(E', \phi')$. For U open in X , consider the set of isomorphisms $E|_U \cong E'|_U$ with $J_\alpha|_U$ action. This defines a presheaf whose associated sheaf is a J_α -torsor. One then verifies that (E', ϕ') is obtained from (E, ϕ) by a twist with this torsor. \square

Some dimension computations. We compute the dimensions of some of the spaces that appear in the definition of the Hitchin space. *From now on, we assume that $\deg D > 2g$.*

If E is a general G -torsor, then $\text{Ad}(E)$ has no nonzero global sections. Kodaira-Spencer theory tells us that the moduli stack of G -torsors is smooth at such a E with tangent space identified with $H^1(X, \text{Ad}(E))$. A multiple of the Killing form identifies the vector bundle $\text{Ad}(E)$ with its dual. This implies that $\text{Ad}(E)$ has degree zero and so if we invoke Riemann-Roch, we find

$$\dim H^1(X, \text{Ad}(E)) = (g - 1) \dim \mathbb{G}.$$

Given this E , then Riemann-Roch also gives

$$\dim H^0(X, D \otimes \text{Ad}(E)) = (1 - g + \deg D) \dim \mathbb{G},$$

and so we find that

$$\dim \mathcal{M} = \deg D \dim \mathbb{G}.$$

We verify that this is also $\dim \mathcal{A} + \dim \text{Pic}(J_\alpha)$ for a general $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(\bar{k})$.

Since $\mathcal{A} \cong H^0(X, D^{e_i})$, it follows from Riemann-Roch that

$$\dim \mathcal{A} = r_0 + r(1 - g) + \sum_i e_i \deg D = r_0 + r(1 - g) + \frac{1}{2}(\dim \mathbb{G} + r) \deg D,$$

where r_0 is the number of i 's with $e_i = 1$ (this is also the dimension of the center of \mathbb{G}).

Lemma 4.3. *The Lie algebra scheme $\text{Lie}(J_D)$ on $\text{Tot}(c_D)$ can be identified with the pull-back of $D^{1-e_1} \oplus \dots \oplus D^{1-e_r}$.*

Proof. Recall that $\text{Lie}(\mathbb{J}) = (\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{t}} \otimes \mathfrak{t}))^{\mathbb{W}}$. A suitable multiple of the Killing form identifies \mathfrak{t} with \mathfrak{t}^* as a \mathbb{W} -module and so $\text{Lie}(\mathbb{J}) = (\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{t}} \otimes \mathfrak{t}^*))^{\mathbb{W}}$. The latter can also be written $(\pi_*(\Omega_{\mathfrak{t}}))^{\mathbb{W}}$ and this, in turn, can be identified with $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}}$, because both have $d\chi_1, \dots, d\chi_r$ as a basis. In the present setting this yields the identification

$$(\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\text{Tot}(t_D)} \otimes \mathfrak{t}^* \otimes D^{-1}))^{\mathbb{W}} \cong (\pi_{D^*} \Omega_{t_D/X})^{\mathbb{W}} \cong \Omega_{c_D/X}$$

The left hand side can be written as $(\pi_*(\mathcal{O}_{\text{Tot}(t_D)} \otimes \mathfrak{t}))^{\mathbb{W}} \otimes D^{-1}$, which we recognize as $\text{Lie}(J_D) \otimes D^{-1}$. The right hand side is isomorphic to the pull-back of $D^{-e_1} \oplus \dots \oplus D^{-e_r}$. \square

Corollary 4.4. *We have $\dim \text{Pic}(J_a) = -r_0 + (g - 1)r + \frac{1}{2}(\dim \mathbb{G} - r) \deg D$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.*

Proof. The general theory tells us that the tangent space of $\text{Pic}(J_a)$ at the identity element can be identified with $H^1(X, \text{Lie}(J_a))$. The previous lemma identifies this with $\bigoplus_{i=1}^r H^1(X, D^{1-e_i})$. The assertion now follows from an application of Riemann-Roch. \square

So $\dim \text{Pic}(J_a) + \dim \mathcal{A} = \dim \mathbb{G} \deg D$ is indeed equal to $\dim \mathcal{M}$.

5. CAMERAL COVERS

Over $\text{Tot}(c_D)$ we have the \mathbb{W} -covering $\text{Tot}(t_D)$ whose total space is also smooth. Let us denote by $\widetilde{X \times \mathcal{A}}$ the pull-back of this cover along the evaluation map:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{X \times \mathcal{A}} & \longrightarrow & \text{Tot}(t_D) \\ \pi_{X \times \mathcal{A}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_D \\ X \times \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} & \text{Tot}(c_D). \end{array}$$

The vertical maps are \mathbb{W} -covers and the horizontal maps are smooth surjective. In particular, $\widetilde{X \times \mathcal{A}}$ is smooth and connected. We may refer to the left vertical map as the *universal cameral cover*. We can recover the Lie algebra of the abelian group scheme $J_{\mathcal{A}}$ over $X \times \mathcal{A}$ as

$$\text{Lie}(J_{\mathcal{A}}) = (\pi_{X \times \mathcal{A}*} \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{X \times \mathcal{A}}} \otimes \mathfrak{t})^{\mathbb{W}}.$$

Now consider the projection $\widetilde{X \times \mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. For $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(\bar{k})$, the above diagram restricts to

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{X}_\alpha & \longrightarrow & \text{Tot}(t_D) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \text{Tot}(c_D). \end{array}$$

The left vertical map is called the *cameral cover* attached to α . It is clear that \widetilde{X}_α is a complete intersection in the smooth $\widetilde{X \times \mathcal{A}}$. So the generic fiber is smooth and by a Lefschetz type theorem connected. A form of Zariski's main theorem implies that then in fact all fibers \widetilde{X}_α are connected. A simple local computation also shows that \widetilde{X}_α is smooth precisely when α is transversal to $\text{Disc}(t_D/c_D)$ in the sense that $\alpha^* \text{Disc}(t_D/c_D)$ is reduced. The corresponding locus in \mathcal{A} is therefore the projection in \mathcal{A} of $\text{ev}^{-1}(\text{Tot}(c_D) - \text{Disc}(t_D/c_D))$ and hence open-dense. We denote it by \mathcal{A}^\diamond .

If $\alpha(X) \not\subset \text{Disc}(t_D/c_D)$, then \widetilde{X}_α is reduced (and vice versa); we denote the locus in \mathcal{A} defined by that property by \mathcal{A}^\heartsuit . It is also open in $\mathcal{A}(\bar{k})$ and clearly

$$\mathcal{A}^\diamond \subset \mathcal{A}^\heartsuit \subset \mathcal{A}(\bar{k}).$$

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Beauville, M. Narasimhan, S. Ramanan: *Spectral curves and generalized theta divisor*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 398 (1989) 169-179.
- [2] Ngô Bao Châu: *Le lemme fondamental pour les algèbres de Lie*, (197 pp.), available at [arXiv:0801.0446](https://arxiv.org/abs/0801.0446).
- [3] N. Hitchin: *Stable bundles and integrable connections*, Duke Math. J. 54 (1987) 91-114.